1							
2							
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
4	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION						
5	WESTERN DIVISION						
6							
7	MICHAEL LAVIGNE, ET AL.,)						
8	PLAINTIFFS,)						
9	V.)						
10							
11	HERBALIFE, LTD., ET AL.,)						
12) CV 18-07480-JAK(MRWX) DEFENDANTS.) JANUARY 29, 2020						
13) LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA) (1:40 P.M. TO 3:16 P.M.)						
14	(3:33 P.M. TO 4:09 P.M.)						
15							
16	HEARING						
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. WILNER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
18							
19	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE						
20	COURT REPORTER: RECORDED; COURT SMART						
21	COURTROOM DEPUTY: V. PIPER						
22	TRANSCRIBER: DOROTHY BABYKIN COURTHOUSE SERVICES						
23	1218 VALEBROOK PLACE GLENDORA, CALIFORNIA 91740						
24	(626) 963-0566						
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE.						

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF MICHAEL LAVIGNE, ET AL.: 3 MARK MIGDAL & HAYDEN 4 BY: YANIV ADAR ATTORNEY AT LAW 5 80 SW 8TH STREET SUITE 1999 6 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33130 7 FOR DEFENDANT HERBALIFE, LTD.: 8 9 BIRD MARELLA BOXER WOLPERT NESSIM DROOKS LINCENBERG & RHOW 10 BY: JONATHAN MICHAEL JACKSON MARK T. DROOKS 11 ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST 12 23RD FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 13 14 FOR FLORIDA DEFENDANTS: 15 QUARLES & BRADY LLP BY: MICHAEL S. CATLETT 16 ATTORNEY AT LAW 17 RENAISSANCE ONE TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE 18 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004 19 20 21 22 23 24

Case	2:18-cv-07480-JAK-l	MRW Documer #	nt 267 Filed 04 #:7376	I/22/20 Pag	e 3 of 134 Page	ID
						3
-					`)
1	CV 18-07480-J.	AK(MRW)	I N D E X JANUARY 29, 2020			2020
2	PROCEEDINGS:		MOTION FOR	AN ORDER	COMPELLING	
3		DISCOVERY				
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

4 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; JANUARY 29, 2020; 1:40 P.M. 1 2 THE CLERK: -- JUDGE PRESIDING. 3 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 4 ALL: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE CLERK: CV 18-7480-JAK (MRWX), MICHAEL LAVIGNE 6 VERSUS HERBALIFE. 7 COUNSEL, PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES. 8 MR. ADAR: YANIV ADAR ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND 9 THE PUTATIVE CLASS. 10 THE COURT: MR. ADAR, HI. 11 MR. JACKSON: JONATHAN JACKSON ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT 12 HERBALIFE. 13 THE COURT: MR. JACKSON. 14 MR. CATLETT: MIKE CATLETT ON BEHALF OF THE 44 THIRD 15 PARTIES. I BELIEVE WE'RE REFERRED TO AS THE FLORIDA 16 DEFENDANTS. 17 THE COURT: GOT IT. 18 GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CATLETT. 19 MR. DROOKS: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 20 MARK DROOKS, ALSO ON BEHALF OF HERBALIFE. 21 THE COURT: AND, MR. DROOKS, GOOD AFTERNOON TO YOU. 22 HAVE A SEAT. YOU'RE ALL WELCOME HERE. 23 THE MATTER IS ON HERE TODAY FOR -- WELL, LET'S CALL 24 IT A MOTION TO COMPEL. PERHAPS, IT'S A MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE

THERE'S A RELATED PROCEDURAL DEVICE HERE HAVING TO DO

WITH THE FLORIDA FOLKS BECAUSE OF WHAT IS UNQUESTIONABLY A MESS WITH THE DISCOVERY IN THIS CASE.

I SPENT A FAIR AMOUNT OF TIME LOOKING AT THE DOCKET

OF THE MATTER IN FRONT OF JUDGE KRONSTADT. I'M AWARE THAT SOME

OF YOUR DATES HAVE RECENTLY CHANGED BY A STIPULATION AND ORDER.

AND THE CLASS CERT TRAIN IS RUMBLING ALONG. AND THAT'S WHERE

WE ARE.

I ALSO SPENT SOME QUALITY TIME WITH -- WELL, THIS IS

A MATTER WHERE I'VE HAD A FAIR AMOUNT OF INVOLVEMENT -- AN

EXCEPTIONALLY LARGE AMOUNT OF TIME DEALING WITH DISCOVERY

ISSUES. GAVE YOU A LENGTHY DECISION ON SOME ISSUES TOWARDS THE

TAIL END OF LAST YEAR.

THE ISSUES BUBBLED UP AGAIN. I HAD A LENGTHY

DISCUSSION WITH THE PARTIES. GAVE THEM A NEW SCHEDULE. AND I

RECEIVED TWO SETS OF THREE BRIEFS -- NICE JOB -- IN WHICH ALL

THREE OF OUR TRIANGULAR PARTIES LAID OUT THEIR POSITIONS AND

THEN TURNED AROUND A FEW DAYS LATER AND GAVE ME THEIR TAKE ON

THE OTHER SIDES' POSITIONS. AND I HAVE SOMETHING OF A HANDLE

ON WHAT -- WHAT IS GOING ON HERE.

AFTER HAVING TAKEN A LOOK AT THOSE PAPERS AND BEFORE

GETTING US GOING HERE, I DID REACH OUT TO THE PARTIES. I THINK

I ISSUED ONE OF MY CHATTY ORDERS BECAUSE THERE WAS AN ISSUE

WITH RESPECT TO MATERIALS THAT HAD BEEN REDACTED BY HERBALIFE

ON THIS DISCIPLINARY ISSUE.

AND THE PLAINTIFFS -- YOU KNOW, NOT WRONGLY -- I'LL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE ISSUE BE ADDRESSED.

6 GIVE YOU THAT SORT OF PASSIVENESS THERE -- AND MAYBE RIGHTLY --WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT PROCESS AND MAY NOT HAVE HAD ENTIRELY FULL INFORMATION TO JUDGE THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THAT. SO, I SENT OUT A REQUEST THAT I HAVE THE PARTIES SORT OF LOOK INTO THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE. THAT'S PROBABLY A GOOD PLACE TO START OUR DISCUSSION JUST SO I'M MORE FULLY INFORMED ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON. THEN, MY PRACTICE WOULD BE TO SORT OF GIVE YOU --GIVE YOU MY TENTATIVE THOUGHTS. AND I'M HAPPY TO HEAR FROM ANY OF YOU AT THE LECTERN SO WE CAN PROPERLY RECORD YOU. BUT WITH RESPECT TO MY -- MY PREGAME ORDER FROM A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, HAS THERE BEEN ANY ADVANCEMENT OF THE ISSUE OF THE REDACTIONS ON THE DISCIPLINARY RECORDS? AND WHO WOULD LIKE TO TELL ME ABOUT THAT? MR. ADAR: I'M HAPPY TO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: MR. ADAR. MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. UNFORTUNATELY, NOT MUCH PROGRESS ON THAT END. I DID CONFER WITH MR. JACKSON. WE DID RECEIVE IN THEIR -- I'LL CALL IT "REPLY BRIEF DISCUSSION" ABOUT WHY THEY REDACTED CERTAIN ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS. BUT AS SUGGESTED IN YOUR HONOR'S ORDER, WE ARE NOT SATISFIED WITH THAT RESPONSE, AND WE DO RESPECTFULLY REQUEST

AND WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE UNREDACTED COPIES OF A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS FOR REASONS THAT I'M HAPPY TO DISCUSS NOW OR CAN DISCUSS IN THE DUE COURSE OF THINGS. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. JACKSON, TALK TO ME ABOUT THAT ONE. MR. JACKSON: IS IT EASIER IF I GO TO THE LECTERN, YOUR HONOR, OR FROM HERE? WHAT WORKS BEST FOR YOU? MR. ADAR: MAY I BE EXCUSED, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: UH-HMM. MR. ADAR: OKAY. GREAT. MR. JACKSON: SO, FIRST, YOUR HONOR, JUST PROCEDURALLY WE DID DISCUSS YOUR ORDER THAT CAME OUT A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. AND I WENT OVER WITH MR. ADAR THE PRIOR COMMUNICATIONS WE HAD HAD. JUST -- JUST TO BE CLEAR ON THAT FRONT, ONE OF THE QUESTIONS RAISED IN YOUR ORDER IS WHETHER WE HAD DISCUSSED WHAT HAD BEEN REDACTED AND WHY IT HAD BEEN REDACTED BEFORE THE MOTION PRACTICE. AND WE HAD MY COLLEAGUE GOPI HAD SOME ORAL DISCUSSIONS ON THE TELEPHONE. I HAD SENT AN EMAIL DESCRIBING THE BASIS FOR THE REDACTIONS. AND THEN AS MR. ADAR --THE COURT: WHAT IS IT? WHAT IS THAT BASIS? MR. JACKSON: SO, OUR UNDERSTANDING OF YOUR PRIOR ORDER WAS WE WERE TO PRODUCE -- HERBALIFE WAS TO PRODUCE

DOCUMENTS SUFFICIENT TO SHOW FINDINGS OF MISCONDUCT OR ANY

DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS TAKEN. BUT YOU WEREN'T INTERESTED IN
FORCING US TO PRODUCE INVESTIGATIONS, INVESTIGATIVE FILES OR
JUST UNSUPPORTED ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT.

THE ISSUE AROSE ON OUR END IS THAT WE HAVE THESE
ELECTRONIC CASE FILES THAT CONTAIN ALL OF THE INFORMATION
REGARDING ANY PARTICULAR INVESTIGATION, INCLUDING
UNSUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS AND INCLUDING ANY FINDINGS OF
MISCONDUCT TO THE EXTENT THEY WERE MADE. AND THEY'RE STORED IN
THESE VARIOUS DATABASE FIELDS.

SO, INITIALLY WHAT WE HAD REQUESTED WAS THAT
HERBALIFE PRODUCE ONLY THE DATABASE FIELDS THAT WERE RESPONSIVE
TO YOUR ORDER. AND BASED ON MY REVIEW THERE WERE THREE. THERE
WAS --

THE COURT: I'M SORRY. YOU REQUESTED OF YOUR CLIENT?

MR. JACKSON: RIGHT. CORRECT.

THE COURT: OH, I SEE. OKAY.

MR. JACKSON: AND BASED ON MY REVIEW OF THE DATA -THERE WERE THREE FIELDS THAT WERE RESPONSIVE. THEY WERE I
BELIEVE CALLED "THE FACT SUMMARY FIELD," THE "PROVEN OR
UNPROVEN ANALYSIS FIELD" AND "THE CONCLUSION FIELD," WHICH
ACTUALLY TRACKED IN OUR VIEW QUITE NICELY WITH WHAT WE'VE BEEN
ORDERED TO PRODUCE. BUT NOT TO PRODUCE ANY OF THE OTHER
FIELDS, WHICH, AGAIN, CONTAINED AMONG OTHER THINGS
UNSUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS -- NOTES ON THE INVESTIGATION, ET
CETERA.

LOGISTICALLY SPEAKING THE ISSUE AROSE IN THAT THE SOFTWARE USED WAS UNABLE TO GENERATE THAT REPORT. AND FOR ANY GIVEN INVESTIGATION COULD ONLY SPIT OUT A PDF THAT CONTAINED ALL OF THE INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH ANY GIVEN INVESTIGATIVE FILE.

AND, SO, AT THAT POINT WHAT WE DECIDED TO DO WAS

PRODUCE ONLY THOSE THREE RELEVANT FIELDS THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED

AND REMOVE OR REDACT ALL OF THE OTHER FIELDS CONSISTENTLY

ACROSS ALL OF THE CASE FILES THAT WE PRODUCED.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO --

MR. JACKSON: AND FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE THAT BALANCED WHAT WE WERE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE, WHAT WE WERE TOLD WE SHOULDN'T PRODUCE.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO, THE FIELDS THAT HAVE BEEN

COVERED UP -- JUST TO TAKE PLAINTIFF'S WORDS FOR A SECOND -
ARE?

MR. JACKSON: THEY'RE PRIMARILY THE COMPLAINT FIELD WHICH OFTEN HAS -- YOU KNOW, HOWEVER THE INVESTIGATIVE FILE STARTED, MAYBE A THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT --

THE COURT: GOT IT.

MR. JACKSON: -- OR SOME OTHER COMPLAINT CAME IN.

VARIOUS COMMUNICATIONS FIELDS. SO, THAT WAS BACK AND FORTH

COMMUNICATIONS, WHETHER IT'S WITH A DISTRIBUTOR AT ISSUE OR A

THIRD-PARTY WITNESS, ET CETERA.

AND THEN A VARIETY OF WHAT ARE CALLED "NOTES FIELDS"

10 THAT JUST HAVE ANY NOTES IN TERMS OF THE ONGOING INVESTIGATION. 1 2 SO, THOSE WERE THE THREE PRIMARY FIELDS --3 THE COURT: THESE ARE INVESTIGATIONS BEING CONDUCTED BY WHOM GENERALLY SPEAKING? 4 5 MR. JACKSON: BY HERBALIFE. BY AN INTERNAL 6 DEPARTMENT -- I BELIEVE IT'S THE M.P.C. DEPARTMENT WITHIN 7 HERBALIFE. THE COURT: WHAT DOES "N.P.C."? 8 9 MR. JACKSON: N.P.C. -- I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THAT 10 ACRONYM STANDS FOR. 11 MARK, ARE YOU GOING TO HELP ME? 12 THE COURT: BUT YOU MADE A -- BUT YOU MADE A POINT OF USING IT WITH ME. 13 14 (LAUGHTER.) 15 THE COURT: LIKE I'M SUPPOSED TO KNOW --16 MR. JACKSON: APOLOGIES, YOUR HONOR. I WAS NOT --17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 18 MR. JACKSON: TRYING TO PRETEND THAT THE --THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. 19 20 MR. JACKSON: -- THE LETTERS WERE IMPORTANT. 21 THE COURT: IS IT -- ARE THEY -- ARE -- IS IT -- IS 22 IT LAWYERS? IS IT PART OF A GENERAL COUNSEL FUNCTION? IS IT 23 BUSINESS PEOPLE? 24 DO YOU KNOW?

MR. JACKSON: IT IS NOT ENTIRELY COUNSEL. BUT THEY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

18

20

21

22

25

11 DO DO SOME OF THEIR WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH A LEGAL DEPARTMENT NOT -- NOT SURPRISINGLY. THE COURT: OKAY. SO, THE BASIS FOR WITHHOLDING THESE FIELDS, THE COMPLAINT OR SOURCE OF THE INQUIRY, THE COMMUNICATIONS WITH DISTRIBUTORS AND WITNESSES AND THEN INTERNAL NOTES IS PRIMARILY BECAUSE IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY LEAD TO THE FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS, THE RELEVANCE ISSUE. MR. JACKSON: YEP. THE COURT: IS IT ALSO BASED ON SOME SORT OF ASSERTION OF PRIVILEGE? MR. JACKSON: SO, THE PRIMARY REDACTIONS -- THERE WERE TWO REASONS FOR THEM, YOUR HONOR. 13 NUMBER ONE, AGAIN, IT DIDN'T GET TO THE FINDINGS OF 15 MISCONDUCT OR DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS LIKE YOU SAID. AND RELATED TO THAT, NUMBER TWO, THEY ACTUALLY DID 17 CONTAIN IN CERTAIN CASES A NUMBER OF UNSUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS WHICH OUR READING OF THE ORDER WERE -- WERE SPECIFICALLY NOT TO BE PRODUCED. 19 THE COURT: WELL, IF IT'S --MR. JACKSON: THAT WAS THE --THE COURT: -- IF IT'S UNSUBSTANTIATED, THAT'S 23 CORRECT. OKAY. 24 MR. JACKSON: SO, TO THE EXTENT THEY WERE

SUBSTANTIATED -- JUST TO CLOSE THE LOOP, THAT WOULD BE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 REFLECTED IN THE CONCLUSION FIELD AND THE PROVEN/UNPROVEN ANALYSIS FIELD. SO, TO THE EXTENT ALLEGATIONS WERE, IN FACT, SUBSTANTIATED BY HERBALIFE, THAT INFORMATION APPEARED IN THE FIELDS THAT WE DID PRODUCE. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. JACKSON: NOW, TO YOUR -- TO YOUR LAST QUESTION, IN A HANDFUL OF CASES THERE WERE ADDITIONAL REDACTIONS. AND THESE ARE LISTED OUT IN SOME DETAIL IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OUR REPLY. I THINK IN TWO OR THREE CASES THERE WERE REFERENCE TO PRIVILEGED DISCUSSIONS WITH COUNSEL. AND IN THREE OR FOUR CASES THERE WERE INDIVIDUALS' PHONE NUMBERS AND POSSIBLY AN ADDRESS THAT WERE ALSO REDACTED. AND THAT INFORMATION, AGAIN, WAS IN A SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION THAT WAS PROVIDED WITH OUR REPLY BRIEF. THE COURT: YEAH. NOW, I'VE GOT TO FIND THAT. A LOT OF PAPER. OKAY. SO, THAT'S YOUR -- THAT'S DOCKET 243. MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY, I HAVE THE PAGE AND NUMBER. IT'S 243-1 --THE COURT: YEAH. MR. ADAR: -- PAGE 3.

THE COURT: GOT IT. OKAY.

13 OKAY. NOW -- OKAY. I'VE REVIEWED THAT AND I GOT IT. 1 2 OKAY. 3 OKAY. OKAY. SO, WHAT'S THE PROBLEM? -- BESIDES YOU WANT THE GOOD STUFF. 4 5 MR. ADAR: WE DO WANT THE GOOD STUFF, YOUR HONOR. 6 AND THANK YOU. 7 I THINK THERE'S TWO PRIMARY ISSUES. ONE OF THEM IS THAT WE ARE -- WE UNDERSTAND THE COURT'S CLEAR DIRECTION THAT 8 9 WE'RE NOT ENTITLED TO EVERY SINGLE COMPLAINT. IF SOMEONE WENT 10 ON FACEBOOK AND SAID THIS PERSON STEALS, AND IT'S UNSUBSTANTIATED, WE WOULDN'T BE ENTITLED TO THAT INFORMATION. 11 12 OUR POSITION WAS SIMPLE. IF THERE WAS A 13 SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION, AND WE WERE ENTITLED TO THE 14 INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH THAT ALLEGATION SO WE CAN 15 UNDERSTAND THE UNDERLYING MERITS BEHIND IT AND TO UNDERSTAND 16 WHAT HERBALIFE KNEW. 17 SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE PRODUCED, THE 18 REDACTED DOCUMENTS SIMPLY SAID, YOU KNOW, SOCIAL MEDIA POSTING OR VAGUELY REFERENCED A TOPIC THAT WE COULDN'T GET MORE 19 20 INFORMATION ON. 21 SO, WE ASKED OF OPPOSING COUNSEL DURING OUR 22 CONFERENCES PRIOR TO THE BRIEFING AND DURING THE BRIEFING WAS 23 SIMPLY TO PROVIDE US DOCUMENTS ONLY LINKED TO THOSE WHERE

HERBALIFE -- AND THAT'S A MASSIVE FILTER BECAUSE THEY HAVE AN

INCENTIVE NOT TO FIND WRONGDOING. WHERE HERBALIFE FOUND

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 WRONGDOING WE WANTED INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH THAT AS OPPOSED TO THE MINOR TIDBITS THAT WERE PRODUCED. THAT'S ALL. THE COURT: IS THAT -- WAS THAT MY RULING? MR. ADAR: WELL, YOUR PRIOR RULING, YOUR HONOR, SAID THAT WE WERE NOT ENTITLED TO EVERY SHEET OF PAPER ASSOCIATED WITH IT. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR HONOR'S REFERENCE TO 1983 THAT WE AREN'T GOING TO GET EVERYTHING ASSOCIATED WITH IT. BUT AT A BARE MINIMUM WE SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO INFORMATION TO HELP US UNDERSTAND WHAT THOSE CONCLUSIONS WERE. BASED ON THE REDACTIONS THAT WERE PRODUCED, WE DIDN'T HAVE AN ADEOUATE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HERBALIFE WAS ON NOTICE OF. AND IF THERE ARE PRIVILEGED --THE COURT: WAS ON NOTICE OF OR FOUND TO BE PROBLEMATIC AND LED TO DISCIPLINE? MR. ADAR: THE LATTER. AND I WOULD USE THE ON NOTICE OF AS A SUBSET OF THAT. AS YOUR HONOR'S ORDER POINTED OUT, WE -- THE ONLY REASON WHY WE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO THIS INFORMATION IS TO KNOW WHAT HERBALIFE KNEW. SO, WE AREN'T CAPABLE AS THE PLAINTIFFS OF UNDERSTANDING WHAT HERBALIFE KNEW FOR THOSE SUBSET OF FINDINGS WHERE THEY DID FIND GUILT WITHOUT HAVING MORE OF THE CASE FILE.

AND I DON'T WANT TO GO WITH A BACK AND FORTH

REGARDING OUR CONFERENCES. WE HAD NO IDEA WHAT THE SUBSTANCE

15

2 OF THAT WAS UP UNTIL MR. JACKSON'S DECLARATION WAS FILED. 3 DURING OUR PRIOR CONFERENCES WE ASKED 4 MR. PANCHAPAKESAN --5 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, I -- I DON'T HAVE THIS 6 MATERIAL, RIGHT? I DON'T HAVE THAT -- THE PDF DOWNLOAD, RIGHT? 7 MR. JACKSON: YOU HAD -- SO, WE SUBMITTED ONE 8 EXEMPLARY CASE FILE THAT WE HAD FURTHER REDACTED BECAUSE THEY 9 WERE PRODUCED AEO JUST TO REMOVE ANYONE'S NAME OR IDENTIFYING 10 INFORMATION. AND THAT JUST SHOWS AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT'S IN THE 11 FACT SUMMARY, THE CONCLUSION FIELD, AND THE PROVEN/UNPROVEN 12 ANALYSIS FIELD. MR. ADAR: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE DID TRY TO HAVE A 13 14 DISCUSSION WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL TO AT LEAST DESCRIBE WITH 15 PARTICULARITY THE CONTENT. AND I HAD REPEATED DISCUSSIONS WITH 16 MR. PANCHAPAKESAN REGARDING WHAT WAS THE CONTENT THAT WAS 17 REDACTED. AND WE HAVE SOME INFORMATION REGARDING THE FIELDS, BUT WE STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE NOT PRODUCING. SO WE HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING WHETHER IT'S RESPONSIVE TO THE COURT'S ORDER. 19 20 THE COURT: THAT'S NOT WHAT'S ATTACHED TO YOUR 21 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF --22 MR. JACKSON: SO, APOLOGIES, YOUR HONOR. 23 AND ATTACHED TO THE INITIAL DECLARATION --24 THE COURT: RIGHT. 25 MR. JACKSON: -- THERE WAS AN EXAMPLE CASE FILE.

16 THAT WOULD BE 231-1 --1 2 THE COURT: 231. 3 MR. JACKSON: -- THE VERY LAST COUPLE OF PAGES, EXHIBIT 7 TO MY ORIGINAL DECLARATION. 4 5 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 6 THE COURT: SO, YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THIS MATERIAL? 7 RIGHT? 8 MR. JACKSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. 10 THIS IS ON -- DOES IT EVEN IDENTIFY THAT PERSON? 11 MR. JACKSON: IT DOES NOT IN THE -- IN THE VERSION 12 THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT IN A PUBLIC FILING IT DOES NOT 13 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 MR. JACKSON: -- WHAT WAS PROVIDED WITH. 16 THE COURT: SO, WE'LL CALL THAT PERSON X, BUT YOU 17 KNOW WHO PERSON X IS. 18 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO, YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT PERSON X DID? 19 20 MR. ADAR: IN THAT EXAMPLE WE DO, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MR. ADAR: IN OTHER EXAMPLES WE DO NOT. 23 THE COURT: HOW MANY? 24 MR. ADAR: THERE WERE 44 PAGES THAT WERE PRODUCED. I 25 WOULD ESTIMATE 15 TO 20 OF THEM WE WOULD REQUIRE FURTHER

17 1 INFORMATION ON. 2 THE COURT: NOT HOW MANY PAGES. HOW MANY PEOPLE. 3 HOW MANY PEOPLE DO -- HOW MANY PEOPLE THAT THEY DESCRIBED, THAT THEY MADE FINDINGS AGAINST, AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE 4 5 TALKING ABOUT? 6 MR. ADAR: ALL BUT TWO OR THREE. AND I THINK THAT 7 WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 15 TO 18 PEOPLE. I APOLOGIZE. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT NUMBERS. 8 9 THE COURT: OKAY. 10 AND THEN THE TOTAL QUANTITY OF PAPER WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS 40-SOME-ODD PAGES? 11 12 MR. ADAR: YES, I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT. 13 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT ME TO DO AN IN CAMERA REVIEW 14 OF THAT? 15 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. 17 ARE YOU WILLING TO PAY 37(A)(5) FEES IF YOU'RE NOT SUBSTANTIALLY JUSTIFIED IN THAT REQUEST? MR. ADAR: I WILL CONFER WITH MY CLIENTS. AND IF I 19 20 21 THE COURT: THAT WOULD NOT BE A CLIENT PAYMENT. 22 MR. ADAR: NO, THAT WOULD BE -- I UNDERSTAND. MY 23 CO-COUNSEL. 24 THE COURT: OH. OH, OKAY. 25 (LAUGHTER.)

18 1 MR. ADAR: THE BANK. 2 BUT I WILL -- WE DO FEEL WE ARE ENTITLED TO THAT, 3 YOUR HONOR. AND --4 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. THE BANK? 5 MR. ADAR: WELL, THE -- THE --6 THE COURT: CHECKBOOK. 7 MR. ADAR: YES, EXACTLY. CORRECT. YOUR HONOR, IF I'M --8 9 THE COURT: WE DON'T HAVE TO DECIDE THAT TODAY. 10 MR. ADAR: IF YOU DON'T MIND, IF I CAN CONFER WITH MY 11 PARTNERS. 12 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. AND I -- I MEAN, I'M -- I 13 DISLIKE IN CAMERA REVIEW. IT'S INCREDIBLY BURDENSOME ON ME. 14 I'M DISAPPEARING NEXT WEEK INTO A TRIAL. I'M THE PERSON WHO 15 KNOWS THE LEAST ABOUT ALL OF THIS. BUT I'M AWARE OF WHAT'S 16 BEEN GOING ON HERE. AND I'M AWARE OF THE CONTENTIOUSNESS. AND IF THERE'S A QUESTION OF TRUST OR USABILITY OR GAMESMANSHIP, 17 I'LL GET INVOLVED. 18 BUT I NEED TO KNOW IT'S THAT SERIOUS. 19 20 AND, MR. JACKSON, I'M GOING TO LOOK AT YOU, SIR, AND 21 ASK YOU, DO YOU WANT IN CAMERA REVIEW TO DEMONSTRATE THAT YOU 22 HAVE BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY JUSTIFIED IN THE REDACTIONS YOU'VE 23 TAKEN, YOU'VE MADE AND THE POSITION YOU'RE TAKING HERE? 24 MR. JACKSON: I THINK OUR POSITION IS IN CAMERA

REVIEW WOULDN'T BE NECESSARY. BUT TO THE EXTENT IT IS, WE'RE

1 HAPPY TO HAVE IT. 2 THE COURT: I'M NOT ASKING -- WELL, ALL RIGHT. NECESSARY OR NOT. 3 4 BUT, I MEAN, IF -- IF I MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS, WOULD 5 YOU WANT TO RECONSIDER YOUR POSITION BEFORE I SPEND TIME ON 6 THIS? BECAUSE THERE'S 37(A)(5) FEES COMING YOUR WAY AS WELL. 7 MR. JACKSON: NO. REALLY. NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 8 9 MR. JACKSON: BUT THANK YOU FOR --10 THE COURT: YOU MISSED IT. 11 MR. JACKSON: -- GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY. 12 THE COURT: BECAUSE MR. DROOKS -- MR. DROOKS WAS SERENELY NODDING THE ENTIRE TIME. 13 14 (LAUGHTER.) 15 MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY JUST MAKE ONE 16 ADDITIONAL POINT. 17 THE COURT: YES, SIR. 18 MR. ADAR: ONE THING THAT WE WERE STRUGGLING, AND I TRIED TO RESEARCH THIS POINT, I DID NOT FIND ANY BASIS FOR THEM 19 20 BEING ABLE TO REDACT DOCUMENTS IF THERE'S NO PRIVILEGE. 21 SO, WHILE IT'S TOUGH FOR ME OR MY PARTNERS OR MY 22 CLIENTS TO BE ABLE TO COMMIT TO UNDERSTANDING WHETHER THERE'S 23 GOOD STUFF IN THERE, WHETHER THERE'S RESPONSIVE STUFF IN THERE, 24 THEY'VE DECIDED UNILATERALLY TO REDACT INFORMATION THAT COULD

25

BE RESPONSIVE.

AND WE'RE IN A VACUUM. WE'RE NOT IN A POSITION TO KNOW. AND WE'VE TRIED TO HAVE GOOD FAITH DISCUSSIONS REGARDING WHAT THAT CONTENT IS AND WHAT WE WERE GIVEN DESPITE REPEATED REOUESTS WAS SIMPLY WHAT'S IN THE DECLARATION.

THE COURT: HEAR ME NOW. I GET IT.

MR. ADAR: OKAY.

THE COURT: THAT'S ALMOST ALWAYS THE CASE. SOMEONE ELSE HAS THE FILES AND DOESN'T TURN THEM OVER. AND WHETHER IT'S COP FILES OR EDUCATION FILES OR EMPLOYMENT FILES OR MEDICAL FILES OR WHATEVER THESE ARE. YEAH, I MEAN, DISCOVERY IS, YOU KNOW, TRUSTING THAT, YOU KNOW, THE FOLKS AT BIRD MARELLA ARE NOT PUTTING THEIR LAW LICENSES ON THE LINE AND ENGAGING IN MISCONDUCT.

BUT HOW DO YOU KNOW. YOU DON'T. AND, YOU KNOW,
WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT YOU GOT AND WHAT YOU CAN'T
SEE. YOU DON'T KNOW IF WHAT YOU HAVE IS PATENTLY INADEQUATE
FOR YOU TO REPRESENT YOUR CLIENTS AND TO CROSS WITNESSES,
IMPEACH WITNESSES, ADVANCE YOUR CLAIMS OF, YOU KNOW, RAMPANT
MISCONDUCT BY THESE FOLKS, THEN, YOU HAVE TO ASK ME -- WELL,
YOU HAVE TO ASK THEM AND PUSH THEM TO GET THIS STUFF. AND IF
YOU DON'T GET IT, YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY ENTITLED TO COME TO ME FOR
IT.

IF YOU'RE JUST BEING AGGRESSIVE AND YOU'RE WRONG,
THAT'S NOT A LEGITIMATE LITIGATION POSITION. NEITHER IS

OVERREDACTING AND CONCEALING RELEVANT MATERIAL. AND THAT'S --

AND I DON'T KNOW EITHER, RIGHT? I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY -- WHAT THEY HAVEN'T SHOWN ME AND WHAT -- AND IF WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS, I'M GOING TO GET THE REDACTED VERSION AND THE UNREDACTED VERSION. AND THE UNREDACTED VERSION IS GOING TO, YOU KNOW, LIKELY SHOW ME WHAT WAS REDACTED. MAYBE I'LL TAKE A BRIEF AS TO WHY, WHICH WOULD BE IN CAMERA AS WELL WHICH I SOMETIMES DO.

AND, THEN, YOU'RE IN MY HANDS.

NOW, THE BASIS FOR REDACTING MATERIAL THAT IS

PERSONAL OR PRIVATE, NOT APPROPRIATE TO BE TURNED OVER, NOT

RESPONSIVE TO DISCOVERY CERTAINLY FALLS WELL WITHIN THE

PARAMETERS OF MY ORDER.

AND IF THEY KEPT THINGS OUT THAT THEY JUST SAID

AREN'T PART OF THE REQUESTS AND SHOULDN'T GO OVER,

NOTWITHSTANDING THE EXISTENCE OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER, I WILL

TELL YOU RIGHT NOW I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. I DON'T

HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

AND IF THEY JUST BLACKED OUT STUFF THAT'S IRRELEVANT OR DIDN'T FALL WITHIN MY ORDER, THAT'S NOT GOING TO BE A PROBLEM WITH ME.

BUT IF THEY TURNED OVER STUFF THAT'S UNUSABLE OR JUST WAY TOO -- WAY TOO FLINT-EYED FOR YOU TO DO ANYTHING WITH IT, THAT'S A PROBLEM. THAT'S --

MR. ADAR: YOUR GUIDANCE IS WELL RECEIVED, YOUR HONOR.

22 1 THE COURT: GOOD. 2 MR. ADAR: AND WE WILL LET YOU KNOW. IS THERE A 3 TIMETABLE WHEN YOU'D LIKE FOR US TO RESPOND BY OR LET THE COURT KNOW BY? 4 5 THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. 6 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: BUT I ALSO WANTED TO GIVE BOTH SIDES ONE 8 CLEAR CHANCE AND TO CONVEY THE SERIOUSNESS OF WHAT WE'RE 9 TALKING ABOUT. IF I'M GOING TO TAKE SEVERAL HOURS TO READ THIS 10 -- BECAUSE I'M NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET THROUGH IT IN A FEW MINUTES -- AND NOT ONLY MY TIME IS MORE VALUABLE THAN YOU GUYS, 11 12 I PROBABLY BILL OUT FAR LESS THAN YOU DO. I DEFINITELY BILL 13 OUT FAR LESS THAN YOU DO. 14 BUT IT'S SERIOUS. AND THE FACT THAT IT'S GOTTEN PUSHED TO THIS LEVEL TRIGGERS 37(A)(5). 15 16 OKAY. 17 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT ONE. BUT THANK YOU FOR THE DISCUSSION. 19 20 ALL RIGHT. 21 MR. ADAR: MAY I HAVE A SEAT? 22 THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. 23 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU. 24 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO ASK. JUST GO. 25 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

1 THE COURT: JUST TAKING A NOTE BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO 2 BE HERE AWHILE. 3 BY THE WAY, THANKS FOR GETTING HERE EARLY, EVERYBODY. 4 ALL RIGHT. SO, NOW PPV SALES DATA. FIRST I THOUGHT 5 THAT WAS PAY-PER-VIEW. THEN I REALIZED THAT THAT WAS MY AUTO 6 CORRECT FOR THE PEOPLE VERSUS WHEN I DO STATE HABEAS CASES 7 INVOLVING CRIMINAL CONDUCT. GOT NOTHING TO DO HERE. 8 WHAT DOES PPV STAND FOR, GUYS? 9 MR. JACKSON: PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME. 10 THE COURT: PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME. THAT'S ITEMS THAT DISTRIBUTORS BUY FROM HERBALIFE FOR RESALE? 11 12 MR. JACKSON: YES. 13 THE COURT: INVENTORY? 14 MR. JACKSON: FOR PERSONAL USE. 15 YES. THAT'S THE PRODUCT THAT'S BOUGHT BY AN 16 INDIVIDUAL DISTRIBUTOR, EITHER FOR RESALE OR PERSONAL USE. 17 THE COURT: SURE. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 18 OKAY. SO, THE CONTENTION AS I UNDERSTAND IT FROM MR. ADAR IS THAT I ORDERED PRODUCTION OF --19 20 NO, WAIT. I'VE GOT THIS. I'VE GOT THIS. 21 (BRIEF PAUSE.) 22 THE COURT: I DON'T GOT THIS. 23 THE BIZWORKS REPORT, RIGHT. 24 "FOR A PERIOD OF TIME HERBALIFE WILL PRODUCE THE 25 BIZWORKS SPREADSHEET OR INFORMATION IN ANOTHER

WORKABLE FORMAT SHOWING AGGREGATE INFORMATION 1 2 ABOUT ANNUAL SOURCES OF INCOME FOR THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME COVERED BY 3 4 THE AMENDED COMPLAINT." 5 SO, IT'S WHAT SALES PEOPLE EARNED AND DOWNLINE 6 BUCKETS OF INCOME. 7 I DIDN'T MAKE THAT STUFF UP. THAT WAS WHAT YOU HAD 8 REQUESTED, MR. ADAR. AND I WENT YOUR WAY. 9 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME, BUT YOU DON'T HAVE TO 11 THANK ME. 12 AND THERE WAS A TIME PERIOD THAT WAS COVERED BY THIS. 13 AND, THEN, THE CONTENTION IN THE MOTION RIGHT NOW IS 14 THAT YOU DIDN'T GET IT ALL, YOU GOT IT FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, 15 AND YOU DID NOT GET THE PPV STUFF, PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME. 16 WHAT'S THIS ABOUT? 17 MR. ADAR: SO, YOUR HONOR, IN YOUR ORDER YOU REQUIRED 18 THEM TO PRODUCE -- YOU DEFINED AGGREGATE AS THE AMOUNTS OF 19 MONEY EARNED PER YEAR IN THE DIRECT SALES AND DOWNLINE BUCKETS 20 OF INCOME. 21 I -- WE VIEW THOSE AS TWO SEPARATE THINGS. 22 AND AS YOU ARE AWARE WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT IS YOU 23 HAVE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO EVENTS AND THEY'RE SPEAKING AND 24 SAYING IF YOU LISTEN TO ME AND DO AS I SAY, YOU WILL SUCCEED IN

25

RETAIL SALES.

YOU SAID THAT TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION, HERBALIFE HAS TWO OPTIONS. OPTION ONE IS THEY PRODUCE BIZWORKS REPORTS. OR OPTION TWO IS THEY PRODUCE THE ANALOGOUS OR SOME SORT OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENT THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.

WHAT THEY'VE GIVEN US INITIALLY -- AND THEY HAVE

SUPPLEMENTED IT. WE WERE ABLE TO RESOLVE THE MARK HUGHES BONUS

DISPUTE. THEY PROVIDED US WITH THAT. THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT

WAS ORIGINALLY RAISED IN OUR EMAIL. THEY PROVIDED US AGGREGATE

DATA THAT FOR THE YEARS PRIOR TO 2017 MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR US

TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR DIRECT SALES WERE.

THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY AGGREGATE DATA --

MR. ADAR: YES.

THE COURT: -- WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. ADAR: WELL, THEY PROVIDE THE TOTAL COMPENSATION
THAT THEY RECEIVED FROM HERBALIFE.

AND FOR YEARS 2017 ONWARD, THEY PRODUCED THE CELL OR A ROW CALLED "RETAIL PROFIT." SO, WE WERE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND FOR 2017 ONWARD HOW MUCH MONEY THEY EARNED THROUGH RETAIL PROFIT OR RETAIL SALES. IT WAS A FRACTION, LESS THAN --

THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY RETAIL PROFIT -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THESE TERMS MEAN IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CASE.

MR. ADAR: RETAIL PROFIT I WOULD BE SPECULATING AS TO WHAT IT MEANS. IT HASN'T BEEN DEFINED. BUT MY GUESS IS IT'S THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THEY MADE THROUGH -- HERBALIFE IS -- THEY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 SELL SHAKES AND NUTRITIONAL SUPPLEMENTS. SO, IT WOULD BE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT THEY MADE THROUGH THEIR DIRECT SALES OF RETAIL -- RETAIL SALES. WHAT THEY MADE FOLLOWING THE ADVICE THAT THEY GIVE AT THE EVENTS. THE COURT: WHAT THE DISTRIBUTORS MADE. MR. ADAR: CORRECT. DIRECTLY. THE COURT: SO, THE DISTRIBUTORS BOUGHT OR GOT THE SHAKES AND SOLD THEM. AND HERBALIFE KNOWS THEIR PROFIT? MR. ADAR: THAT'S WHAT THEY PRODUCED. ALL WE WANTED TO KNOW WAS THE DIRECT SALES AMOUNT. THEY PRODUCED RETAIL PROFITS. THE COURT: AND DIRECT SALES IS REFERRING TO WHAT? MR. ADAR: SO, AS OPPOSED TO -- THERE ARE MULTIPLE SOURCES OF INCOME FOR DISTRIBUTORS, TWO OF WHICH RELEVANT HERE. ONE OF THEM IS THEY MAKE MONEY IF THEY WERE TO RECRUIT 10 PEOPLE TO SELL UNDER THEM. THEY GET A COMMISSION OF EACH OF THOSE 10 PEOPLE'S SALES. AND IF EACH OF THOSE 10 PEOPLE RECRUIT 10 MORE, THEY GET FOR THE ENTIRE DOWNLINE TREE EVERYONE THAT SELLS UNDER THEM IN THEIR TREE. THAT'S WHERE THEY MAKE THE BULK OF THEIR MONEY BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN PRODUCED. WE WANT TO KNOW IF THEY MADE \$5 MILLION FROM HERBALIFE, WHETHER A HUNDRED DOLLARS IS MADE FROM RETAIL SALES,

OR \$4.9 MILLION IS MADE FROM RETAIL SALES.

27 1 THE COURT: SO, THE SALES FROM DOWNSTREAM SALES 2 PEOPLE THAT MONEY GOES INTO HERBALIFE. 3 HERBALIFE OR HERBALIFE, WHICH DO YOU ALL PREFER? 4 MR. JACKSON: HERBALIFE. 5 THE COURT: SORRY? 6 MR. JACKSON: HERBALIFE. 7 MR. ADAR: HERBALIFE. 8 THE COURT: HERB. 9 MR. ADAR: YES. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. GOT IT. 11 AND, THEN, HERBALIFE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DISTRIBUTING 12 OR AT LEAST ACCOUNTING FOR THE MONEY THAT THE MORE SENIOR 13 PEOPLE HAVE EARNED AS A RESULT OF THOSE TRANSACTIONS? 14 MR. ADAR: YES. THAT'S IN ESSENCE ACCURATE. 15 WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IS IF THERE'S -- IF PERSON A WERE 16 TO MAKE SALES, THEY WOULD GIVE IT TO HERBALIFE. BUT THEN IF 17 PERSONS B, C, AND D ARE UNDER THEM, AND THEY MAKE SALES, THE MONEY WOULD GO TO HERBALIFE, AND THEN HERBALIFE WOULD PAY A 19 COMMISSION --20 THE COURT: GOT IT. 21 MR. ADAR: -- TO EVERYONE ON THE TREE. 22 THE COURT: SO, THE MOTHER SHIP KNOWS ABOUT 23 COMMISSIONS THAT ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO SENIOR MEMBERS OF THIS 24 DISTRIBUTION CHAIN.

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

28 THE COURT: AND THAT'S THE INFORMATION YOU WANT? 1 2 MR. ADAR: THAT'S THE INFORMATION WE HAVE. WE WANT 3 TO KNOW WHETHER THEY MADE ANY MONEY IN THEIR OWN DIRECT SALES, 4 WHETHER THEY ARE HITTING THE PAVEMENT SELLING NUTRITIONAL 5 SUPPLEMENTS AND HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OR ANY BASIS WHATSOEVER FOR 6 THEIR CLAIMS TO PEOPLE THAT THEY SAY, LISTEN TO ME, AND I WILL 7 TEACH YOU THE TRICK OF THE TRADE TO BE ABLE TO LEARN HOW TO 8 SELL HERBALIFE SUPPLEMENTS. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, A SENIOR DISTRIBUTOR WHO MAY 10 BE ONE OF THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS IS HERSELF OR HIMSELF OUT 11 SELLING PRODUCTS, GETS TO KEEP A LOT OF MONEY FOR THAT. AND 12 THEN ALSO HAS OTHER FOLKS DOWNSTREAM SELLING AND THAT, AGAIN, 13 FLORIDA PERSON RECEIVES A PORTION OF THEIR COMMISSIONS. 14 MR. ADAR: WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY'RE OUT SELLING 15 PRODUCTS. WE'VE ASKED, AND WE HAVE NO IDEA. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. 17 BUT THAT -- BUT WHEN YOU SAY YOU WANT DIRECT SALES 18 INFORMATION, THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT? 19 MR. ADAR: CORRECT. 20 THE COURT: OKAY. 21 AND YOU THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE MORE -- WELL, YOU 22 DON'T KNOW. BUT YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE FLORIDA -- THESE ARE 23 THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS, RIGHT? 24 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

29 SO, AN INDIVIDUAL FLORIDA DEFENDANT EARNS HER OR HIS 1 2 OWN SALES AMOUNTS AND THEN GETS SOME DERIVATIVE AMOUNT FROM THE 3 FOLKS DOWNSTREAM? 4 MR. ADAR: THE ONLY WAY I WOULD RECHARACTERIZE THAT, 5 YOUR HONOR, IS BASED ON WHAT WE'VE SEEN IS IT'S NOT SOME 6 AMOUNT. NINETY-NINE PERCENT OF WHAT THEY RECEIVE IS THE 7 DERIVATIVE AMOUNT. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. 9 MR. ADAR: AND LESS THAN 1 PERCENT IS THE DIRECT 10 SALES. THE COURT: OKAY. SO, WE'RE FIGHTING ABOUT WHAT? 11 MR. ADAR: WELL, THAT'S FOR THE LIMITED DATA THAT 12 13 WE'VE SEEN. WHAT WE'D LIKE TO KNOW IS PRIOR TO 2017 MAYBE THEY 14 15 HAD SUCCESS AT RETAIL SALES. AND THERE WOULD BE A BASIS FOR 16 JUSTIFYING THAT THEY KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING IN HOW TO SELL 17 RETAIL PRODUCTS. 18 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, THE PRE-2017 DATA THAT YOU RECEIVED DOES NOT DISTINGUISH BETWEEN DIRECT SALES COMMISSIONS 19 20 AND PROFITS FROM THE DOWNSTREAM SALES COMMISSIONS AND PROFITS. 21 MR. ADAR: CORRECT FOR POST- -- AND IN FAIRNESS TO 22 HERBALIFE, THEY DIDN'T TRACK THE RETAIL PROFITS PRIOR TO 2017. 23 SO, WE ARE -- WE WOULD LIKE --24 THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY "RETAIL PROFITS," DO YOU

25

MEAN DIRECT SALES?

1 DO YOU --2 MR. ADAR: I -- I -- THAT'S A QUESTION THEY DON'T --3 THAT WE WERE UNABLE TO ASCERTAIN FROM HERBALIFE. 4 SO, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT RETAIL PROFITS NECESSARILY 5 MEANS. 6 WE DO KNOW THAT THEY DON'T TRACK DIRECT SALES PRIOR TO 2017. YOUR ORDER REQUIRED THEM TO PRODUCE IT. AND THEY 7 DON'T TRACK IT. 8 9 SO, THE ONLY --10 THE COURT: MY ORDER REQUIRED THEM TO PRODUCE IT 11 BECAUSE YOU ASKED FOR IT. 12 MR. ADAR: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. 14 MR. ADAR: AND THAT INFORMATION WOULD BE AVAILABLE IF 15 THEY WERE TO PRODUCE THE ENTIRE BIZWORKS REPORT. BUT BECAUSE 16 THEY DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION, WE WOULD BE ABLE TO 17 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DIRECT SALES WERE BASED ON THE PPV DATA. 18 THE COURT: THAT ONE WENT REALLY QUICK. DO IT AGAIN. MR. ADAR: SURE, YOUR HONOR. 19 20 SO, IF WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DIRECT SALES 21 ARE, THEY TRACK RETAIL PROFITS. THIS IS BASED ON OUR 22 CONFERENCES. BUT THEY STARTED DOING IT IN 2017 IN RESPONSE TO 23 AN FTC ORDER. 24 SO, PRIOR TO 2017 THEY DIDN'T TRACK RETAIL PROFITS.

WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH MONEY THEY MADE FROM

31 1 RETAIL SALES. 2 ONE METRIC IS --3 THE COURT: YOU'RE USING THREE DIFFERENT TERMS THERE, 4 MR. --5 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: RETAIL SALES, RETAIL PROFITS, DIRECT 7 SALES. 8 MR. ADAR: RETAIL AND DIRECT SALES I WOULD USE 9 INTERCHANGEABLY. WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT RETAIL SALES ARE. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. 11 MR. ADAR: HOW MUCH MONEY DID THEY MAKE SELLING 12 HERBALIFE PRODUCTS. THEY DON'T HAVE THAT SPECIFIC INFORMATION PRIOR TO 13 14 2017, AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT'S BEEN REPRESENTED TO US. AND WE 15 HAVE NO REASON TO DOUBT THAT. 16 WHAT THEY DO HAVE, HOWEVER, AT THE CLICK OF A BUTTON 17 -- BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY UNDUE BURDEN ANALYSIS OR ANY CLAIM OF UNDUE BURDEN -- IS THEY DO HAVE THROUGH THEIR SOFTWARE 19 THE ABILITY TO PRODUCE PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME WHERE WE 20 WOULD BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH VOLUME THEY INDIVIDUALLY 21 PURCHASED. 22 AND WHATEVER THEY INDIVIDUALLY PURCHASED, A SUBSET OR 23 ALL OF THAT, WOULD BE WHAT THEY WOULD RESELL. 24 THE COURT: OKAY. SAVE FOR WHAT THEY DRANK OR ATE.

MR. ADAR: CORRECT OR GAVE AWAY.

32 1 THE COURT: FAIR ENOUGH. OKAY. 2 AND FOR POST-2017, YOU'VE TOLD ME THAT'S AN 3 INFINITESIMAL AMOUNT OF MONEY, RIGHT? 4 MR. ADAR: YES. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. 6 AND YOU SPECIFICALLY ASKED FOR THE BIZWORKS REPORTS 7 BECAUSE YOU TOLD ME AT A CLICK OF THE BUTTON YOU'D GET THE 8 INFORMATION YOU WANTED. 9 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. DID YOU GET BIZWORKS REPORTS FOR PRE-2017? 11 12 MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN IT FOR ANY YEAR. 13 14 AND --15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MR. ADAR: AND WE ALSO HAVE IN ADDITION TO OUR 17 REQUEST WE ASKED TO INSPECT THEIR SOFTWARE. WE DID A REQUEST 18 FOR INSPECTION. AND THAT WAS OBJECTED TO. AND WE CONFERRED WITH THEM. THEY SAID LET US KNOW 19 20 WHAT YOU NEED. AND WE'LL GIVE IT TO YOU RATHER THAN HAVING YOU 21 COME TO OUR HEADQUARTERS AND INSPECTING OUR SERVERS. 22 WE --23 THE COURT: SURE ABOUT -- I'M SURE ABOUT THAT. 24 MR. ADAR: AND WE STILL HAVEN'T GOTTEN WHAT WE NEED. 25 WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS THE BIZWORKS REPORTS. AND IF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

33

WE CAN'T GET IT, THEN, WE WOULD LIKE AT A MINIMUM THE PPV SALES SO WE CAN UNDERSTAND WHETHER THE PEOPLE THAT ARE SAYING LISTEN TO ME. I KNOW HOW TO SELL THINGS -- ACTUALLY SOLD ANYTHING. THE COURT: OKAY. GETTING THERE. SO, YOU EITHER WANT DIRECT INFORMATION ABOUT -- SORRY. YOU EITHER WANT INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECT SALES AND THE DOWNLINE STREAM OR THE BIZWORKS REPORTS OR THE PPV REPORTS. AND YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT EITHER OF THOSE TWO ALTERNATIVES, BIZWORKS OR PPV, WOULD GET YOU TO THE INFORMATION THAT YOU WANT. MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT WAS MY ORDER? MR. ADAR: YOUR ORDER REQUIRED THEM TO PRODUCE THE BIZWORKS REPORTS OR INFORMATION IN ANOTHER WORKABLE FORMAT SHOWING AGGREGATE INFORMATION REGARDING ANNUAL SOURCES OF INCOME FOR THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME COVERED BY THE AMENDED COMPLAINT. THE COURT: YES, I DID. THAT'S PARAGRAPH 19. MR. ADAR: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. GOT IT. OKAY. I SHOULD HEAR FROM HIM, SHOULDN'T I? MR. ADAR: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. JACKSON.

MR. JACKSON: SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK ONE WAY THAT I
THINK WILL HELP MAKE THIS DISPUTE A LITTLE MORE MANAGEABLE IS
TO TAKE A STEP BACK TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF BRIEFING AND WHAT LED
UP TO YOUR LAST ORDER.

AND IF YOU MAY RECALL, THERE WERE TWO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AT ISSUE RELEVANT TO THIS DISPUTE.

ONE WAS A REQUEST FOR ALL OF THE INFORMATION

REGARDING THESE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS, THE INDIVIDUAL

DISTRIBUTORS' EARNINGS. ESSENTIALLY WHAT THEY WERE BEING PAID

BY HERBALIFE.

THE SECOND WAS THE FLIP SIDE OF THAT -- MONEY GOING THE OTHER WAY, INFORMATION REGARDING ANYTHING THAT THOSE DISTRIBUTORS WERE PAYING TO HERBALIFE. SO, ESSENTIALLY WHAT THEY HAD BEEN PURCHASING FROM HERBALIFE. AND THAT'S HOW THE DISPUTE STARTED WITH THOSE TWO DIFFERENT REQUESTS SEEKING TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF INFORMATION. SO, EARNINGS FROM THE DISTRIBUTORS ON THE ONE HAND AND ALSO PURCHASES BY THE DISTRIBUTOR ON THE OTHER.

AND IN THE COURSE OF THE BRIEFING WE THOUGHT THAT PLAINTIFFS HAD ABANDONED THEIR REQUEST FOR THAT PURCHASE INFORMATION AND WERE SEEKING ONLY EARNINGS INFORMATION.

AND WHEN YOUR ORDER CAME OUT WE THOUGHT IT WAS VERY

CLEAR THAT ALL THAT WAS CONTEMPLATED IN YOUR ORDER WAS EARNINGS

INFORMATION FOR THESE DISTRIBUTORS -- NOT ANY PURCHASES THEY

MIGHT HAVE MADE WHICH ARE SUBSTANTIALLY LESS RELEVANT WITH 1 2 RESPECT TO THE ALLEGATIONS THAT THEY'RE MAKING. SO --THE COURT: OH, I DON'T THINK MR. ADAR CARES HOW MANY 3 MILKSHAKES THESE FOLKS BOUGHT FROM HERBALIFE. I THINK HE WANTS 4 5 TO KNOW ABOUT THE MONEY THAT THESE FOLKS GOT. 6 MR. JACKSON: AND I THINK THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE 7 PRODUCED, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. 9 MR. JACKSON: IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO LOOK -- AGAIN, 10 WE PRODUCED A REDACTED SPREADSHEET OF WHAT WAS PRODUCED. THIS IS THE --11 12 THE COURT: THIS IS ATTACHED --13 MR. JACKSON: -- A SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION TO THE 14 REPLY --15 THE COURT: -- TO YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION. 16 YEAH. ALL RIGHT. 17 MR. JACKSON: SO, IT WOULD BE 243-1 --18 THE COURT: YEP. 19 MR. JACKSON: -- THE LAST HANDFUL OF PAGES --20 THE COURT: YEP. 21 MR. JACKSON: AND YOU CAN MAYBE LOOK JUST AT THE FIRST PAGE. YOU CAN AT LEAST SEE THE COLUMN HEADERS TO SHOW 23 WHAT INFORMATION WAS PRODUCED. SO --24 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, MARK ADDY, YOU HAVE HIM ON

25

YOUR LIST FROM 2009 THROUGH 2019.

MR. JACKSON: RIGHT. AND JUST READING STRAIGHT

ACROSS IN TERMS OF HIS EARNINGS FOR EACH ONE OF THOSE YEARS,

THERE'S COMMISSIONS, ROYALTIES, AND PERSONAL BONUSES -- AND

APOLOGIES. THOSE HEADERS ARE SPIT OUT BY MACHINE. NOT REAL

EASY TO READ.

THE COURT: I -- THAT'S -- THAT'S HOW THE BUSINESS RUNS. I GOT IT.

MR. JACKSON: FOR THE YEARS IN WHICH IT WAS TRACKED

BY HERBALIFE -- WHICH MR. ADAR POINTED OUT I BELIEVE WAS 2017,

'18 AND '19, THERE'S THIS RETAIL PROFIT FIELD. THAT DOES

CORRESPOND WITH WHAT YOU IN YOUR ORDER CALLED "THE DIRECT SALES

BUCKET."

THE REST OF THESE COLUMNS ARE ESSENTIALLY THE DOWNLINE BUCKET BROKEN INTO A FEW DIFFERENT PIECES OF INCOME.

THE TOTAL EARNINGS -- AND THEN AT THE END YOU'LL SEE
WE HAVE THIS MARK HUGHES BONUS THAT WE MET AND CONFERRED ABOUT
WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL AND ADDED TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL PRODUCTION.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO, I'M LOOKING AT A REDACTED VERSION. SO, I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE INFORMATION ACTUALLY SAYS.

MR. JACKSON: EXACTLY. WE JUST WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR WHAT GENERALLY HAD BEEN INCLUDED WITHOUT SHOWING SPECIFIC DOLLAR FIGURES.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. JACKSON: AND WE TRIED TO MAKE THE REDACTIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

37

ACCURATE SO THAT YOU COULD SEE THAT RETAIL PROFIT WAS ONLY TRACKED FOR THOSE THREE YEARS. SO, IT WASN'T PROVIDED FOR EVERY YEAR. THAT'S CORRECT. THERE'S NO DISPUTE. AND IN OUR VIEW, NUMBER ONE, THIS WAS EXACTLY WHAT WAS CALLED FOR BY THE ORDER AND RESPONSIVE TO THE ORDER. DOESN'T JUST SHOW AGGREGATE INCOME. IT'S BROKEN OUT TO EVERY ONE OF THE BUCKETS THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO -- YOU KNOW, WE'RE ABLE TO TRACK. THE COURT: OKAY. SO, THE COMMISSION BUCKET IN THE FIRST -- IN THE FIRST COLUMN CORRESPONDS TO WHAT? MR. JACKSON: SO, I'M NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO GIVE YOU THE EXTREMELY DETAILED ANSWER TO THIS. I CAN TELL YOU --THE COURT: GOOD. GOOD. MR. JACKSON: -- THE --THE COURT: DON'T WANT THE EXTREMELY DETAILED. I WANT --MR. JACKSON: THE FIRST -- THE FIRST THREE, COMMISSIONS, ROYALTIES AND BONUSES. SO, COMMISSIONS RELATE DIRECTLY TO THESE DOWNLINE SALES. AND THEN THE ROYALTIES AND BONUSES ARE SOME COMBINATION OF METRICS BASED ON THOSE SALES, BONUSES AWARDED BY HERBALIFE, ET CETERA. THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. JACKSON: BUT I CAN'T TELL YOU THE EXACT

CALCULATIONS THAT GO IN THERE. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 3 MR. JACKSON: THE MARK HUGHES BONUS, THE MHB AT THE 4 FAR RIGHT, SIMILAR AGAIN. IT'S A -- IT'S A BONUS BASED ON 5 SALES VOLUME, DOWNLINE SALES VOLUME, ET CETERA. 6 BUT AGAIN THOSE -- THOSE COLUMNS ALL CORRESPOND TO 7 WHAT YOU, YOU KNOW, GENERALLY REFERRED TO IN YOUR ORDER AS THAT 8 DOWNLINE SALES --9 THE COURT: OKAY. 10 MR. JACKSON: -- BUCKET. THE COURT: OKAY. SO -- SO, YOU FEEL LIKE YOU'VE 11 ADEQUATELY DISCLOSED THE DOWNLINE COMMISSIONS FOR THE RELEVANT 12 13 PERIOD. 14 CORRECT? 15 MR. JACKSON: CORRECT. AND --16 THE COURT: OKAY. 17 MR. JACKSON: -- I'D LIKE TO JUST ADD. 18 ONE ADDITIONAL POINT IN TERMS OF THE RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE'RE SEEKING NOW, NUMBER ONE, I DON'T THINK 19 20 THERE'S ANY DISPUTE THAT FOR ALL OF THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS WHO 21 ARE THE MOST SUCCESSFUL HERBALIFE DISTRIBUTORS OR SOME OF THE 22 MOST SUCCESSFUL HERBALIFE DISTRIBUTORS AROUND THE COUNTRY, THE 23 VAST MAJORITY OF THEIR INCOME IS NOT COMING FROM THEIR OWN 24 PERSONAL SALES. IT'S GOING TO BE FROM THEIR TEAMS THAT THEY

RECRUITED OVER THE YEARS AND THAT ARE SELLING ON THEIR BEHALF,

1 ET CETERA. 2 FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW, THAT'S -- THAT'S NOT REALLY A DISPUTED FACT. THAT'S JUST A NATURAL OUTGROWTH OF THE LAWFUL 3 4 USE OF THE MULTILEVEL MARKETING SYSTEM. THERE'S NOTHING 5 UNLAWFUL ABOUT RECRUITING PEOPLE AND EARNING COMMISSIONS ON 6 THEIR SALES. 7 AND CERTAINLY WE DON'T DISPUTE THAT FOR THESE HIGHLY 8 SUCCESSFUL DISTRIBUTORS THEIR PERSONAL SALES VOLUME IS GOING TO 9 BE A VERY SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OVERALL SALES. I DON'T THINK THAT'S IN DISPUTE. 10 11 AND, FRANKLY, THAT'S DEMONSTRATED --THE COURT: I DON'T -- I DON'T --12 13 MR. JACKSON: -- BY THE DATA WE'VE ALREADY PRODUCED, 14 THE 278 -- 2017, 2018, 2019. IT IS IN FACT A SMALL PERCENTAGE. THE COURT: OKAY. SO, WHY ARE YOU UNABLE -- I MEAN, 15 16 WHETHER YOU PROVE IT, WHETHER -- I MEAN, I UNDERSTAND THE 17 ARGUMENT YOU'RE GOING TO MAKE WITH THE DISTRICT JUDGE ON THOSE 18 ISSUES. BUT FOR DISCOVERY PURPOSES, YOU KNOW, TERIS WOULD VERIFY. HE WANTS TO HAVE THE SAME INFORMATION FOR THAT EARLIER 19 20 PERIOD OF TIME WITH RESPECT TO DOWNLINE SALES AND, YOU KNOW, IT 21 MAY BE AN INSIGNIFICANT NUMBER BUT THE DIRECT SALES OR MAYBE IF 22 SOMEBODY HAS LIKE A BIG STORE OR WHATEVER, I DON'T KNOW. 23 WHAT'S THE IMPEDIMENT TO PRODUCING THAT INFORMATION. 24 WHY WASN'T IT DONE?

MR. JACKSON: HERBALIFE SIMPLY DIDN'T TRACK IT BEFORE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

40 2017. THEY -- THEIR SYSTEMS DIDN'T TRACK THAT INFORMATION. IT'S NOT AVAILABLE. AND THAT'S BEEN DISCLOSED IN DISCUSSIONS WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL. THE COURT: SO, WHEN THEY ASKED FOR THIS BIZWORKS REPORT, DOES THAT DOCUMENT CONTAIN THAT INFORMATION? MR. JACKSON: NO. THERE WILL BE NO DOCUMENT WE COULD CREATE THAT WOULD CONTAIN THAT INFORMATION. AND I THINK -- I THINK TO BE FAIR TO MR. ADAR'S POSITION, THAT I THINK APPEARS TO BE WHY THEY'RE NOW SEEKING A DIFFERENT TYPE OF INFORMATION. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. JACKSON: THERE'S PERSONAL PURCHASE VOLUME. AND, AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. THAT VOLUME, NOT DOLLARS, THE VOLUME MEASURED IN SOME SORT OF POINT SYSTEM OF THE PRODUCTS THAT THEY PURCHASED FROM HERBALIFE. THIS IS NOT RETAIL SALES OR PROFITS FROM RETAIL SALES, ET CETERA. MONEY GOING IN THE OTHER DIRECTION OR PRODUCT GOING IN THE OTHER DIRECTION. IT'S THE VOLUME OF PRODUCT THAT THESE INDIVIDUAL DISTRIBUTORS --THE COURT: IT'S THE ORDERS. MR. JACKSON: RIGHT, RIGHT. ORDERS FROM HERBALIFE. HEY, GIVE ME A THOUSAND SHAKES. THAT KIND OF THING. IT'S NOT THE COURT: AND CAN THAT BE DISTINGUISHED BETWEEN --

WELL, I MEAN, I GUESS BY DEFINITION THAT'S THAT PERSON'S

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

41 PERSONAL ORDERS AS OPPOSED TO ORDERS ON BEHALF OF PEOPLE WHO ARE DOWNSTREAM? MR. JACKSON: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. SO, WHY NOT TURN THAT OVER? MR. JACKSON: TWO REASONS, YOUR HONOR. NUMBER ONE, IN OUR VIEW THIS IS ALREADY PREVIOUSLY BRIEFED AND ADDRESSED AND NOT INCLUDED IN YOUR PRIOR ORDER. AND, NUMBER TWO, YOU KNOW, MAYBE NOT SURPRISINGLY IT'S NOT QUITE AS EASY AS THE CLICK OF A BUTTON. THERE ACTUALLY IS SOME WORK THAT GOES INTO GETTING THIS INFORMATION. AND, SO --THE COURT: LIKE WHAT? MR. JACKSON: IT'S -- LIKE, I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY THE STEPS THAT ARE INVOLVED. I DO KNOW THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, WITH THE MARK HUGHES BONUS INFORMATION, THAT WAS RELATIVELY OBTAINABLE. SO WE PRODUCED A SUPPLEMENTAL PRODUCTION WITH THE MARK HUGHES BONUS INFORMATION. WITH THE PPV VOLUME IT'S A LITTLE BIT MORE INVOLVED. I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW EACH AND EVERY STEP THAT'S INVOLVED IN IT. BUT TO BE CLEAR, THE RELEVANCE OF THAT INFORMATION FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE IS SO TANGENTIAL. WE'VE GONE BEYOND --THE COURT: WHY?

MR. JACKSON: -- YOU KNOW, DIRECT SALES --

1 THE COURT: WHY? 2 MR. JACKSON: -- VERSUS DOWN- --3 THE COURT: WHY IS IT TANGENTIAL? 4 MR. JACKSON: AGAIN, SO, WHAT WE PRODUCED SHOWED 5 EARNINGS OF A DISTRIBUTOR BROKEN OUT INTO THESE DIFFERENT 6 BUCKETS. 7 THE PERSONAL PURCHASE VOLUME HAS -- DOESN'T RELATE DIRECTLY TO ANY OF THESE EARNINGS. IT'S WHAT IT'S ORDERING 8 9 FROM HERBALIFE. THEY MAYBE USED IT FOR PERSONAL USE. THEY MAY 10 HAVE SOLD IT. THEY MAY HAVE DISCARDED IT. THERE'S NO --THE COURT: SO, THE SALES DATA MAY -- SORRY. THE 11 12 INVOICES MAY NOT SHOW PROFIT RELATED TO THOSE PRODUCTS? 13 MR. JACKSON: IT WON'T. I MEAN, IT WON'T SHOW ANY OF 14 THAT INFORMATION. IT'S JUST A VOLUME METRIC THAT I BELIEVE IS 15 MEASURED IN TERMS OF POINTS AS OPPOSED TO NUMBER OF ORDERS. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. 17 MR. ADAR, WHY DO YOU WANT THAT STUFF? HOW IS THIS A 18 SUBSTITUTE FOR OTHER DATA? MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR, PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME 19 20 WOULD SHOW THE CEILING OF WHAT THEIR DIRECT SALES COULD BE. 21 THE COURT: SURE. 22 MR. ADAR: SO, AS WE DISCUSSED, THE ONLY -- AND WE'VE 23 CONFERRED WITH THEM AT LENGTH ABOUT THIS. 24 AND REGARDING THEIR UNDUE BURDEN ANALYSIS, I JUST 25 WANT TO CLARIFY, WE'VE DONE OUR BEST TO NARROW THE ISSUES

BEFORE THE COURT. THE ONLY OBJECTION THEY HAVE FOR PPV IS RELEVANCE. AND I'LL ADDRESS THAT RIGHT NOW.

WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH MONEY THEY MADE IN DIRECT SALES. WE DIDN'T KNOW PRIOR TO THEIR PRODUCTION THEY DIDN'T TRACK DIRECT SALES PRIOR TO 2017. THAT'S WHY WE DIDN'T BRIEF THE ISSUE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

2.1

MR. ADAR: SO, THE SECOND BEST THING TO US IS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS THEIR PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME. THAT WOULD CREATE A CEILING OF WHAT THEIR DIRECT SALES POSSIBLY COULD BE. IT'S NOT PERFECT. IDEALLY WE WOULD KNOW THE DIRECT SALES. BUT TO THEIR CREDIT WHEN WE CONFERRED, THEY SAID FOR THEM TO BE ABLE TO DETERMINE DIRECT SALES THEY'D HAVE TO GO THROUGH TENS OF THOUSANDS -- THIS IS IN THEIR BRIEF -- DOCUMENTS AND INVOICES TO BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND WHICH IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DIRECT SALES.

WE'RE NOT ASKING THEM TO DO THAT. WHAT WE ASKED THEM FOR WAS TO GIVE US A METRIC THAT WE UNDERSTAND THEY TRACK WHICH IS PERSONALLY PURCHASED VOLUME. I ASKED THEM, IS THAT A BURDEN TO PRODUCE AND, IF SO, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE BURDEN TO ME. WE WERE MET WITH CRICKETS.

SO, THE ONLY QUESTION BEFORE YOUR HONOR IS IT

RELEVANT AND IS IT PERFECT. NO. BUT WE DIDN'T KNOW THEY

DIDN'T TRACK DIRECT SALES. THIS WOULD PROVIDE US THE MAXIMUM

AMOUNT OF THEIR DIRECT SALES. WE KNOW IT WOULD BE A SUBSET OF

1 THAT. 2 THE COURT: WELL, IT COULDN'T BE BIGGER. AND WHAT ARE YOU HOPING TO FIND? 3 4 MR. ADAR: WE'RE HOPING TO FIND THAT WHEN THESE 5 INDIVIDUALS AT NO POINT FROM 2009 TO NOW -- WE WANT TO CONFIRM 6 THIS -- HAD ANY MEANINGFUL AMOUNT OF SALES. 7 THE PERCENTAGE OF SALES IS DIRECT SALES TO THEIR 8 CREDIT, TO HERBALIFE'S CREDIT, ISN'T THAT CRITICAL BECAUSE THEY 9 MADE A LOT OF MONEY WITH THE DOWNLINES. 10 THE COURT: YEAH. MR. ADAR: HOWEVER, IF THEY MADE NO MONEY IN RETAIL 11 12 SALES OR HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS OF RETAIL SALES, AND THEY'RE GOING 13 AND TELLING PEOPLE COME TO OUR EVENTS, I WILL TEACH YOU HOW TO 14 SUCCEED IN THE HERBALIFE BUSINESS MODEL, YET, THEY, THEMSELVES, 15 ARE FAILURES WHEN IT COMES TO THE DIRECT SALES AND ARE ONLY 16 SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE THEY WERE ABLE TO RECRUIT OTHERS IN THEIR 17 DOWNLINE SYSTEM, THAT'S RELEVANT. 18 THE COURT: WELL, ONLY FAILURES OR MAY NOT EVEN BE 19 TRYING BECAUSE THE OTHER SIDE IS SO LUCRATIVE. BUT I GET YOUR 20 POINT. MR. ADAR: AND IF THEY'RE NOT TRYING, THEY SHOULDN'T 21 22 BE PRESENTED AS EXPERTS IN THE FIELD. 23 THE COURT: WELL, THOSE WHO CAN, DO; THOSE WHO CAN'T, 24 TEACH. 25 (LAUGHTER.)

MR. ADAR: AND IF THEY WANT TO COMMIT TO THAT IN A POSITION, SURE, THAT WOULD BE OKAY. BUT THEY -- THEY HAVE NOT.

THE COURT: SO, YOU HAVE A DECENT PICTURE FOR A LIMITED PERIOD OF TIME, RIGHT? 2017 THROUGH THE PRESENT?

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ADAR: AND IT'S POSSIBLE AT TRIAL THEY CAN SAY,
WELL, IN 2017 THEY ONLY HAD \$1,600 IN RETAIL SALES OF THEIR 8
MILLION. BUT IN 2016 THROUGH THEIR DILIGENCE AND INVOICES -THAT WE DON'T HAVE BECAUSE THEY'RE CLAIMING UNDUE BURDEN -THEY ACTUALLY SOLD 9 MILLION DOLLARS' WORTH OF RETAIL SALES BUT
THEN GAVE THAT UP BECAUSE IT WAS MORE LUCRATIVE TO RECRUIT AND
DO THE COMMISSION REPORTS.

WE JUST DON'T KNOW. AND WE'RE NOT ABLE TO ADEQUATELY PREPARE OUR CLAIMS WITHOUT HAVING THAT BASIC INFORMATION. THE PPV IS A COMPROMISE, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE NOT ASKING THEM TO GO AND CREATE A METRIC THEY DON'T HAVE. WE'RE LOOKING FOR THE SIMPLEST WAY TO GET IT. AND THEY HAVEN'T PRESENTED ANY EVIDENCE OR RELATED TO US ANY EVIDENCE OF UNDUE BURDEN.

THE COURT: MR. JACKSON.

MR. JACKSON: JUST A COUPLE OF QUICK POINTS, YOUR HONOR.

NUMBER ONE, YOU KNOW, I THINK WHAT'S BEING ASKED FOR NOW IS THIS PPV DATA GOING BACK FROM 2009 I GUESS THROUGH 2016. BECAUSE IN 2017 IS WHEN THIS OTHER INFORMATION PICKED

UP. A LOT OF THESE DISTRIBUTORS WORKED FOR HERBALIFE SINCE THE 1990S. I DON'T KNOW THAT THE 2009 TO 2016 INFORMATION WOULD BE PARTICULARLY RELEVANT WITH RESPECT TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY DEVELOPED THEIR EXPERTISE OVER TIME.

AND, NUMBER TWO, JUST GENERALLY ON THE UNDUE BURDEN
FRONT. OUR VIEW IS THAT THE UNDUE BURDEN HAD BEEN LITIGATED IN
THE LAST ROUND OF BRIEFING, WHERE THERE HAD BEEN DECLARATIONS
AND OTHER THINGS PUT FORWARD ABOUT HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO GET
PURCHASING INFORMATION.

MR. ADAR IS CERTAINLY RIGHT. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO RELITIGATE THAT. AGAIN, WE THOUGHT THIS HAD BEEN DECIDED IN THE PRIOR ORDER. AND IT WAS JUST THE EARNINGS, NOT THE PURCHASES FROM THE DISTRIBUTORS, THAT WERE RELEVANT.

THE COURT: YEAH, BUT YOU HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THAT.

MR. JACKSON: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. WE ONLY HAVE THE DATA IN 2017, 2018 AND 2019. AND IT --

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. JACKSON: -- SHOWS WHAT IT SHOWS, WHICH I THINK MR. ADAR ACCURATELY CHARACTERIZED.

THE COURT: YEAH. AND, SO, I WAS MISINFORMED ABOUT YOUR ABILITY TO COMPLY, WHICH IS A PROBLEM FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE.

AND THEN THE PROBLEM FROM MR. ADAR'S PERSPECTIVE IS

THIS MAY BE REALLY MEANINGLESS. AND THAT RAISES A REAL CONCERN

2

3

UNDER 26(B)(1). BECAUSE I DON'T WANT THE COMPANY TO INCUR A

LOT OF EXPENSE -- WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE TRUE BECAUSE I DON'T

47

HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF ME. AND YOU COULDN'T, YOU KNOW, IN FAIRNESS TELL ME TODAY WHAT THE BURDEN IS TO DO THIS. 4 5 BUT THE PAY-OFF HERE SEEMS REALLY PRETTY MINIMAL. 6 AND I'M KIND OF -- I'M KIND OF BAFFLED THAT YOU HAVEN'T BEEN 7 ABLE TO -- AND I CAN'T IMAGINE WHY YOU WOULDN'T STIPULATE TO 8 SOMETHING LIKE THIS. YOU KNOW, IF YOU CAN CONFIDENTLY SAY OR, 9 AT LEAST, WON'T CONTEST THAT PERSONAL SALES REPRESENT, YOU 10 KNOW, LESS THEN X PERCENT OR NO MORE THAN Y PERCENT OF A PERSON'S INCOME, THAT WOULD BE A HUGE WIN FOR YOU. YOU 11 12 WOULDN'T HAVE TO WADE THROUGH THIS DATA, AND IT WOULD BE AN 13 UNCONTESTED FACT. 14 AND I ALREADY SEE THEM KIND OF SHRUGGING AND NODDING. 15 YOU DON'T WANT THE DETAILS, MR. ADAR. YOU WANT THE 16 WIN. 17 MR. ADAR: MAY I RESPOND, YOUR HONOR? 18 THE COURT: LET'S LET THEM FINISH THEIR HUDDLE. 19 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU. 20 THE COURT: BECAUSE I DON'T WANT THEM MISSING YOUR 21 PEARLS OF WISDOM. 22 MR. JACKSON: NO, I APOLOGIZE. I DIDN'T MEAN TO 23 WAIT. 24 THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. 25 MR. JACKSON: IF -- WE WERE JUST -- I WAS JUST

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

48 DISCUSSING THE LOGISTICS OF WHETHER AND TO WHAT EXTENT WE WOULD BE WILLING TO ENTER INTO A STIPULATION. SO, I THINK THE SHORT ANSWER IS WE'D WANT TO MAKE SURE WHATEVER WE STIPULATED TO WAS ACCURATE. SO THAT WOULD TAKE SOME DIGGING ON OUR END PROBABLY TO SOME DEGREE. BUT I DON'T THINK WE'D BE UNWILLING TO ENTER THE STIPULATION. THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT LOOKING TO BIND YOU FOLKS, YOU KNOW, FOR ALL PURPOSES DOWN THE ROAD. BUT I MEAN YOU COULD EASILY DRAFT SOMETHING FOR PURPOSES OF THIS CASE OR FOR PURPOSES OF CLASS CERT OR WHATEVER. YOU WON'T OPPOSE, OR YOU'LL ACKNOWLEDGE -- WITHOUT RESEARCHING --MR. JACKSON: YEAH. THE COURT: YOU'RE LAWYERS. YOU KNOW HOW NOT TO COMMIT. (LAUGHTER.) THE COURT: I THANK YOU FOR SMILING FOR THAT ONE. MR. JACKSON: I THINK YOU LOOKED AT ME WHEN YOU SAID WE COULD AGREE TO SOMETHING WITHOUT RESEARCH. THE COURT: YEAH, YEAH. THAT ALLOWS THEM TO ADVANCE THIS WITHOUT SPENDING MORE TIME AND BRAIN TIME ON THIS. MR. ADAR, I REALIZE THIS IS -- I'M SPRINGING SOMETHING ON YOU, BUT WE'RE NEGOTIATING HERE. BECAUSE, YOU

KNOW, IF YOU GET REAMS AND REAMS OF PAPER ON THIS AND YOU'RE

JUST FUMBLING THROUGH JUST TO FIND OUT HOW MANY POPTARTS THEY

1 SOLD, THAT'S A WASTE OF YOUR TIME. 2 MR. ADAR: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE'RE NOT ASKING THEM TO GIVE US REAMS AND REAMS OF PAPER. WHAT WE'VE ASKED THEM -- AND 3 4 THEY CAN'T ANSWER TO THE COURT'S SIMPLE QUESTION IS, IS IT 5 BURDENSOME TO PROVIDE PPV DATA. 6 IT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING -- WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN ANY 7 NEGATIVE EVIDENCE OR COMMENTS TO THE CONTRARY THAT THIS DATA IS 8 TRACKED. SO, THEY DIDN'T TRACK DIRECT SALES FROM 2017 ONWARD. 9 PPV IS TRACKED IT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING FROM 2009 ONWARD. 10 AND THE PERCENTAGE OF DATA IS CERTAINLY RELEVANT. THE PERCENTAGE OF THE INCOME EARNED ATTRIBUTABLE TO DIRECT 11 12 SALES IS RELEVANT. BUT IT'S ALSO RELEVANT THE SHEER SIZE OF 13 THAT NUMBER. IF THEY MADE --14 THE COURT: BUT YOU COULD --15 MR. ADAR: -- A HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS --16 THE COURT: YOU CAN DO THAT MATH. THAT'S EASY. 17 MR. ADAR: WELL, BUT WE CAN'T UNLESS WE KNOW WHAT THE 18 UNDERLYING RAW DATA IS UNLESS WE KNOW WHAT THE CEILING IS OF HOW MUCH THEY POSSIBLY COULD HAVE SOLVED. 19 20 BASED ON THE DATA WE'VE SEEN -- AND I'M DOING MY BEST 21 NOT TO STEER CLEAR FROM -- TO HONOR THE PROTECTIVE ORDER. 22 GOING TO SPEAK IN GENERALITIES NOT IN SPECIFICS -- IT'S A 23 MINUSCULE NUMBER SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE FRACTION OF THE 24 PERCENTAGE IT IS. SO, IF THESE PEOPLE ARE SAYING FOLLOW MY

LEAD, YOU WILL LEARN HOW TO MAKE SALES, AND YOU WILL MAKE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

50 HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS AND BE ABLE TO BUY BOATS AND BE ABLE TO LIVE LIKE KINGS AND PRESIDENTS, BUT THEY ONLY THEMSELVES SOLD 400 DOLLARS' WORTH OF SALES OVER THE COURSE OF A YEAR OR \$1,400, THAT WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH THE REPRESENTATIONS THEY'RE MAKING AT THESE EVENTS. THE COURT: YEAH, BUT YOU KNOW -- BUT YOU KNOW THE PERCENTAGES. YOU KNOW THE RATIO FROM 2017 FORWARD? CORRECT? MR. ADAR: WELL, WE KNOW -- FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WE KNOW HOW MUCH -- YES, WE DO KNOW THAT FOR '17 ONWARD. THE COURT: YEAH. MR. ADAR: BECAUSE WE KNOW HOW MUCH THEY SOLD. THINK IT'S EQUALLY AS IMPORTANT TO KNOW THEIR TOTAL NUMBER OF DIRECT SALES. THE COURT: NOW, THIS IS MATH. IF YOU KNOW THE DOWN -- THE SIZE OF THE DOWNSTREAM SALES -- AND YOU DO. MR. ADAR: YES. THE COURT: FOR ALL PERIODS OF TIME? MR. ADAR: CORRECT. THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOU KNOW THE DIRECT SALES FOR '17,'18 AND '19. MR. ADAR: YES. THE COURT: THERE'S A RATIO THERE. MR. ADAR: YES.

THE COURT: YOU'VE TOLD ME SEVERAL TIMES VERY

51 CANDIDLY IT'S A REALLY SMALL RATIO. 1 2 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: IF THEY COMMIT TO SAY -- AND THAT SMALL RATIO IS A GOOD FACT FOR YOU. 4 5 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. EXCELLENT. 6 THE COURT: IT'S -- I GOT IT. I GOT IT. 7 MR. ADAR: YES. THE COURT: YOU ALL CAN RESERVE WHATEVER YOU THINK 8 9 ABOUT THAT, BUT IF THEY COMMIT AND SAY IT'S THE SAME RATIO --OR WE'LL SAY -- WE'LL ACKNOWLEDGE IT'S THE SAME RATIO GOING 10 11 BACK INTO TIME. 12 MR. ADAR: THAT WOULD BE SATISFACTORY, YOUR HONOR. YES. WE WOULD NOT NEED THE DATA IF THEY WERE TO COMMIT TO 13 14 THAT. THE COURT: MATH IS A GOOD THING. 15 16 MR. ADAR: IT IS, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: AND MATH SAVES YOUR CLIENTS. 18 I'M NOT GOING TO COMMIT YOU TO THIS RIGHT NOW IF YOU WANT SOME TIME TO THINK ABOUT THAT. I'M JUST TRYING TO ADVANCE 19 20 THE BALL HERE. 21 MR. DROOKS, YOU CAN -- YOU CAN TALK IF YOU'D LIKE. I'D BE HAPPY TO HEAR FROM YOU, SIR. 23 MR. DROOKS: I DIDN'T WANT TO DOUBLE-TEAM, YOUR 24 HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I'LL STAND UP FOR

MYSELF IF NEED BE. IF YOU'D RATHER GO THROUGH HIM, HE'S DOING 1 2 A GOOD JOB. 3 HE IS, RIGHT? 4 MR. ADAR: YES. EXCELLENT, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. DROOKS: I MEAN, YOUR HONOR, WE'LL LOOK INTO IT. 6 AND IF WE CAN REPRESENT -- IF WE CAN DETERMINE IT, WHICH I 7 SUSPECT WE CAN IN SOME GENERAL WAY, AND WE CAN STIPULATE THAT 8 THE RATIO IS NOT MATERIALLY DIFFERENT BETWEEN 2009 AND 2016, 9 WE'LL PERHAPS IDENTIFY THOSE FOR WHOM WE BELIEVE IT MIGHT BE 10 MATERIALLY DIFFERENT AND NOT THE OTHERS. 11 THE COURT: WHICH WOULD BE -- YOU KNOW, ANY SPECIFICITY WOULD AGAIN BE TO YOUR ADVANTAGE --12 13 MR. DROOKS: RIGHT. 14 THE COURT: AND WOULD HELP YOU FOLKS. 15 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 16 MR. DROOKS: WE'LL LOOK INTO THAT AND SEE IF WE CAN 17 DO IT. 18 THE COURT: I MEAN, THE ALTERNATIVE IS IF THE 19 GOALPOSTS HAVE CHANGED FOR WHATEVER REASON, AND IF WHEN YOU 20 CAME TO COURT WE DIDN'T KNOW THE FACTS AND PARAMETERS OF 21 CERTAIN REPORTS, I MEAN, I GET IT. YOU KNOW, YOU ALL ARE ON 22 THE CLOCK WITH YOUR DISCOVERY CUTOFF WITH JUDGE KRONSTADT, 23 WHICH IS WEIGHING ON ME AS I'M SURE IT'S WEIGHING ON YOU. 24 BUT, YOU KNOW, I MIGHT NEED TO HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT.

I MAY NEED TO TAKE SOME TESTIMONY FROM SOMEBODY. I MIGHT, YOU

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

53 KNOW, WANT TO SEE SOME OF THESE REPORTS. I MIGHT ORDER A SAMPLING. BUT, YOU KNOW, NONE OF THAT IS GOING TO BE GREAT FOR AND IT MAY NOT END UP BEING WORKABLE OR USABLE FOR YOU DOWN THE ROAD. AND, SO, I'M TRYING -- I'M TRYING TO MOVE THIS CASE FORWARD, WHICH IS MY OBLIGATION TO JUDGE KRONSTADT AND TO YOU ALL. MR. DROOKS: AND WE THANK YOU FOR THAT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WELL, I WASN'T FISHING FOR THAT, BUT THANKS. BUT I'M TRYING TO GET THIS GOING. ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TBD. OKAY. WHAT ELSE? SO, WE'VE GOT A COUPLE OF REQUESTS FOR MATERIALS FROM MR. CATLETT'S CLIENTS. I HAVEN'T FORGOTTEN ABOUT YOU, SIR. THEIR BUSINESS RECORDS AND EMAILS. AND THEN GETTING ALL THESE PEOPLE TO SIT DOWN FOR DEPOSITIONS. AND I HEARD A LOT OF DIFFERENT STORIES ON THAT FROM SOME PRETTY TALENTED LAWYERS, WHICH IS REALLY KIND OF PROBLEMATIC. REALLY HARD FOR ME TO FIGURE OUT WHAT'S GOING ON HERE AND REALLY HARD FOR ME TO AVOID CONCLUDING THAT THERE'S BEEN SOME OVERREACH BY PLAINTIFFS IN TERMS OF ASKING FOR EVERY BUSINESS RECORD AND LOOKING TO TAKE, YOU KNOW, SO MANY DEPOSITIONS.

IT'S ALSO GOT A WHIFF OF SOME BIG FIRMING AGAINST YOU

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

54 IN THAT, YOU KNOW, SOME DEPOSITIONS CAME ON CALENDAR, WENT OFF CALENDAR. IT'S REALLY HARD FOR ME AND REALLY FRUSTRATING TO READ LAWYER EMAILS AND FIGURE OUT WHO SAID WHAT, WHEN, ABOUT WHAT. AND IT REALLY DOESN'T LEAD TO GOOD POSITIVE RESULTS. BUT I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR A LOT OF THIS DISCOVERY. AND I MEAN, WE CAN -- WE CAN BE SPECIFIC. WHAT, PARENTHESES, ON EARTH, CLOSE PARENTHESES, DO YOU NEED: "ALL BUSINESS ENTITY FORMATION DOCUMENTS, ALL BUSINESS LEDGERS, ALL MERCHANT ACCOUNT INFORMATION, AND ALL TAX RETURNS FOR COMPANIES INVOLVED WITH THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS." MR. ADAR: SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THEY WERE SEEKING ONLY BUSINESS RECORDS. AND WHEN I READ THE BRIEFS I WAS A BIT CONFUSED WHEN I SAW THE FLORIDA DEFENDANT'S BRIEF BECAUSE THEY FOCUSED A LOT ON PERSONAL FINANCIAL RECORDS. AND I WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT WE ARE NOT SEEKING PERSONAL FINANCIAL RECORDS. WE HAVE TESTIMONY THAT SUPPORTS THE PROPOSITION THAT SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES WERE CREATED TO FURTHER THEIR ENTERPRISE AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE.

WHAT HAPPENS IS THESE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS WHAT THEY

WOULD DO -- OR THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS' FEATURED SPEAKERS, THEY

WOULD CREATE ENTITLES, THE SOLE PURPOSE OF WHICH TO OUR

UNDERSTANDING IS TO TRACK TICKET SALES, TO BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH THE VENUE, TO BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH THE EVENT PROGRAM THAT IS AT ISSUE IN OUR COMPLAINT.

WE HAVE -- INITIALLY WE DID ASK FOR PERSONAL FINANCIAL RECORDS. AFTER CONFERRING WITH COUNSEL FOR THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS AND HERBALIFE, WE ARE NO LONGER SEEKING THAT BECAUSE WE RECOGNIZE THERE THE BURDEN AND WE RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT THEY -- WE WERE ABLE TO GET THE INFORMATION A DIFFERENT WAY.

SO, HERE WE'VE NARROWED THE REQUEST SUBSTANTIALLY AND ARE ONLY ASKING FOR BUSINESS RECORDS, BASICALLY FINANCIAL RECORDS AND FORMATION DOCUMENTS UNDERSTANDING WHO THE MEMBERS ARE, CORPORATE GOVERNING DOCUMENTS, IDENTITY OF OWNERSHIP FOR THESE ENTITIES THAT WERE CREATED TO FURTHER THE ENTERPRISE.

THE COURT: BUSINESS LEDGERS, MERCHANT ACCOUNT INFORMATION, AND TAX RETURNS.

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. I MEAN, A SECRETARY OF STATE FILING IS REALLY NOT WORTH FIGHTING ABOUT, AND IT'S PROBABLY ACCESSIBLE WITH THE PUSH OF A BUTTON ON WESTLAW.

MR. ADAR: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. I'M NOT SURE WHY YOU HAD TO COME
TO FEDERAL COURT TO GET THOSE AND WHY THAT'S WORTH YOUR WHILE.

BUSINESS LEDGERS, MERCHANT -- WHAT IS MERCHANT ACCOUNT INFORMATION? WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

MR. ADAR: SO, WHEN YOU GO TO AN EVENT, IF THERE'S A

POINT -- I FORGOT THE EXACT TERMINOLOGY, BUT IF THERE'S A

MACHINE TO TRACK CREDIT CARDS, IF SOMEONE WERE TO PAY BY CREDIT

CARD TO BE ABLE TO PAY FOR THEIR TICKETS, WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO

HAVE THE MERCHANT PROCESSOR ACCOUNT INFORMATION TO UNDERSTAND

--

THE COURT: CREDIT CARD RECORDS?

MR. ADAR: WELL, WE WERE -- WE WOULD GET TO A VIABLE WAY TO PRODUCE IT. WE CAN REDACT THAT INFORMATION. AND WE CAN WORK ON WHAT NARROW SCOPE WE'D BE ENTITLED TO. BUT THEY'RE SAYING THAT WE'RE NOT ENTITLED TO ANYTHING. THAT'S THE PROBLEM.

WE DO THINK THAT WE'D BE ENTITLED TO THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF SALES FOR EACH PARTICULAR EVENT. WE'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH WAS DONE BY CREDIT CARD, HOW MUCH WAS DONE BY, YOU KNOW, CASH, HOW MUCH WAS DONE BY -- THROUGH OTHER MEDIUMS. WE JUST WANT TO BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH MONEY WAS GENERATED AT THESE EVENTS. AND WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND THE DETAILS OF THESE EVENTS. THAT'S THE CORE OF OUR AMENDED COMPLAINT AND THE CORE OF THIS CASE.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT THE CORE OF YOUR CASE WAS THAT PEOPLE WERE DUPED INTO JOINING HERBALIFE WITH GRANDIOSE PROMISES OF PERSONAL PROFITS.

MR. ADAR: AT EVENTS. THAT'S THE -- THAT'S -- AND THAT'S THE TWO WORDS THAT I WOULD ADD TO THAT.

THE COURT: YES. IF YOU SHOW UP AT THESE EVENTS, YOU 1 2 WILL BE REMARKABLY SUCCESSFUL. 3 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND OUR DAMAGES -- PART OF OUR DAMAGES MODEL IS THAT 4 5 WE -- PEOPLE HAD TO SPEND MONEY TO GO TO THESE EVENTS. 6 AND WE'RE SEEKING RELIEF ON BEHALF OF A PUTATIVE 7 CLASS. WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND THE NATURE AND SALES OF THESE 8 EVENTS. WE'VE ASKED FOR THIS INFORMATION BUT HAVE NOT BEEN 9 ABLE TO OBTAIN IT THROUGH OTHER MEANS. 10 AND BUSINESS RECORDS, YOU KNOW, AT LEAST IN FLORIDA WHERE A LOT -- SOME OF THESE DEFENDANTS --11 12 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. THIS IS NOT THE CORE OF YOUR 13 CASE. THIS IS YOUR DAMAGES CASE. 14 MR. ADAR: IT ALSO GOES TOWARDS THE CORE OF OUR CASE 15 TO UNDERSTAND DETAILS ASSOCIATED WITH THE EVENTS AND HOW IT WAS PUT ON. 16 17 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU CARE HOW IT WAS PUT ON? DO YOU WANT -- DO YOU WANT INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLOR OF LIGHT 19 BULBS? 20 MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR, WE DON'T. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MR. ADAR: BUT --23 THE COURT: IF IT HAD -- IT OCCURRED AT AN EVENT. I 24 MEAN, I'M NOT BEING SARCASTIC BUT I -- HOW DOES HAVING A 25 CORPORATE -- THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS OPERATE THROUGH, YOU KNOW,

SMALL CORPORATIONS, RIGHT? 1 2 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. 4 AND THOSE CORPORATIONS FILE TAX RETURNS. 5 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: AND WHAT INFORMATION ARE YOU GOING TO GET 7 FROM A FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURN THAT'S RELEVANT TO YOUR CLAIM 8 THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS CONSPIRED WITH HERBALIFE TO DEFRAUD YOUR 9 CLIENTS? 10 MR. ADAR: WE WILL BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND HOW MANY PEOPLE ATTEND THESE EVENTS, WHETHER FOR --11 12 THE COURT: FROM A TAX RETURN? 13 MR. ADAR: YES. BECAUSE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT TICKET 14 SALES WERE, LIKE THE -- WE HAVE INFORMATION THAT THE AVERAGE 15 TICKET SALE WAS 75 OR \$115. WE'RE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND HOW MANY EVENTS WERE PUT ON 16 17 SO WE COULD, AS YOUR HONOR POINTED OUT, THROUGH MATH UNDERSTAND 18 HOW MANY PEOPLE ATTENDED THESE EVENTS BY LOOKING AT THE 19 FINANCIAL RECORDS. 20 THE COURT: AND THE PROPORTIONAL WAY OF GETTING THE 21 INFORMATION ABOUT TICKET SALES IS BY SUBPOENAING THEIR FEDERAL 22 INCOME TAX RETURNS? 23 MR. ADAR: FOR THE BUSINESS RECORDS. 24 IF IT IS AN UNDUE BURDEN, AND THERE'S ANY DIFFICULTY

IN PRODUCING THOSE, WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION. BUT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

59 THEY DIDN'T PROVIDE ANY ALTERNATIVES OR OTHER WAYS THAT WE WERE ABLE TO GET THAT INFORMATION. THE COURT: COME ON. THAT'S -- THAT'S YOUR CLAIM? MR. ADAR: WELL --THE COURT: YOU WANT TO FIND OUT TICKET SALES. SO, YOU ASK FOR THEIR TAX RETURNS? MR. ADAR: FOR BUSINESS RECORDS. AND WE ALSO WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHETHER THERE'S --THE COURT: WHAT'S THE POINT? WHY DO YOU KEEP SAYING BUSINESS RECORDS? SO WHAT? MR. ADAR: WELL, WE'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT PURPOSE THESE -- LET ME TAKE A STEP BACK, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE YOUR POINT IS A FAIR ONE. WE ALSO BELIEVE THERE COULD BE NEFARIOUS ACTIVITY THAT OCCURRED WITH THESE ENTITIES. WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE'S -- WE HAVE ACCUSED IN OUR COMPLAINT THAT THERE COULD BE ISSUES OF MONEY LAUNDERING. THERE COULD BE ISSUES WHERE MONEY WENT ELSEWHERE. WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THESE ENTITIES -- LIKE WHERE THE MONEY WENT. WE KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT FOR SOME EVENTS HERBALIFE FUNDED THE EVENT. THEY ADVANCED MONEY TO PAY FOR --THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR MONEY LAUNDERING? MR. ADAR: I BELIEVE THAT IN OUR PLEADINGS WE DO --

ONE OF THE WAYS THAT WE ARE -- WE -- LET ME TAKE A STEP BACK,

YOUR HONOR.

60

2 THE AMENDED COMPLAINT SAYS THAT THESE PEOPLE MADE MONEY IN A WAY THAT WASN'T THROUGH PRACTICING WHAT THEY PREACH. 3 4 WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHETHER THERE WERE 5 ANY OTHER NEFARIOUS ACTIVITIES THAT HAPPENS AT THESE EVENTS. 6 AND WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW THE MONEY FLOWED. WE WANT TO 7 UNDERSTAND TO BE ABLE TO TRACK THE DOLLARS. AND WE'RE NOT 8 ASKING FOR IT FROM A PERSONAL FINANCIAL STANDPOINT. WE'RE 9 ASKING --10 THE COURT: SO, IF AN INDIVIDUAL --11 MR. ADAR: -- FOR BUSINESSES ONLY. 12 THE COURT: IF ONE OF THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS TOOK 13 MONEY IN FROM TICKET SALES --14 MR. ADAR: YES. 15 THE COURT: -- AND USED THAT MONEY TO BUY HEROIN, 16 WOULD YOU -- WOULD IT BE RELEVANT TO YOUR CASE TO ISSUE A 17 SUBPOENA FOR ALL RECORDS RELATED TO HEROIN TRANSACTIONS DERIVED 18 FROM MONEY RECEIVED FROM YOUR CLIENTS? 19 MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR -- WELL, I MEAN -- I HAVE 20 TO THINK ABOUT THAT ONE. I THINK THAT THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING 21 THAT WE WOULD NOT NECESSARILY --22 THE COURT: WELL, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT MISUSE OF 23 FUNDS AFTER IT'S BEEN -- AFTER YOUR CLIENTS HAVE BEEN 24 DEFRAUDED, RIGHT? AFTER -- AFTER THEY'VE BECOME CULPABLE? 25 MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR. IT WOULD BE BEFORE AS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

61 WELL. SO, WHEN THESE PEOPLE ARE -- THE FEATURED PRESENTERS ARE GOING TO THESE EVENTS, AND THEY'RE SPEAKING. AND THEY'RE SAYING WE MADE OUR MONEY BECAUSE WE FOLLOW THE PLAN. AND THEY ARE MAKING THEIR MONEY ELSEWHERE. SO, THESE ENTITIES COULD HAVE PURPOSES OTHER THAN TICKET SALES. THEY COULD GET INCOME -- IF THEY'RE CREATED --IF THEY WERE TO TESTIFY UNDER OATH AND THEY SAY I CREATED THIS, AS THEY HAVE, I CREATED THIS BUSINESS ENTITY TO TRACK TICKET SALES. AND THERE'S ONLY A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS' WORTH OF TICKET SALES, YOU KNOW, THIS PARTICULAR ENTITY --THE COURT: SO, IF ONE OF YOUR FLORIDA DEFENDANTS RECEIVES A LARGE INHERITANCE FROM GRANDMA --MR. ADAR: YES. THAT WOULD NOT BE IN THEIR BUSINESS RECORDS. THAT WOULD BE IN THEIR PERSONAL RECORDS. IT SHOULDN'T FLOW THROUGH --THE COURT: MAYBE GRANDMA LEFT IT TO THE COMPANY. MR. ADAR: THAT WOULD BE SUSPICIOUS, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHAT IF THE ENTITY IS A TRUST? MY POINT IS YOU WANT TO DELVE INTO ALL OF THE FINANCES OF ALL OF THESE PEOPLE TO EXAMINE WHETHER THERE ARE STATEMENTS ABOUT "I GOT RICH THROUGH HERBALIFE" ARE TRUE OR NOT. MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY NARROWED THAT. WE ARE NOT ASKING FOR ALL THEIR PERSONAL

FINANCIAL RECORDS. AND I THINK WHAT THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

62

HAVE PRESENTED IN THEIR BRIEFS IS MAKING IT SEEM LIKE WE'RE SEEKING PERSONAL FINANCIAL RECORDS. WE ARE NOT. THE COURT: NO, NO, I UNDERSTAND. IT'S BUSINESS RECORDS? MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. BUT THESE ARE FOR INDIVIDUALS, RIGHT? I MEAN, THEY'RE LIMITING THEIR LIABILITY. THAT'S WHY PEOPLE DO STUFF IN BUSINESSES -- BUSINESS FORMS. MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S WHY WE WANT CORPORATE COVERING DOCUMENTS. IN SOME OF THE DEPOSITIONS WE'VE LEARNED THAT, NO, IT'S NOT JUST WHOLLY OWNED BY AN INDIVIDUAL. THERE COULD BE OTHERS INVOLVED AS WELL. WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER HERBALIFE HAS A STAKE IN THESE ENTITIES. BUT WE KNOW THAT THESE ENTITIES WERE CREATED IN FURTHERANCE OF THE EVENT SYSTEM. AND WE'D LIKE TO HAVE INFORMATION ABOUT IT TO HELP UNDERSTAND OUR CASE. WE ARE NOT SEEKING TO UNDERSTAND WHERE THEY GOT INHERITANCES FROM THEIR GRANDMOTHER. WE DON'T WANT PERSONAL FINANCIAL RECORDS. WE'RE NOT SEEKING THAT IN THE SCOPE OF THIS REQUEST. WE'RE SEEKING DOCUMENTS STRICTLY RELATED TO SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES THAT WERE CREATED REGARDING THE EVENT SYSTEM. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR. MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MY QUESTION IS WHY AND WHAT THIS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RECORDS. WE DON'T KNOW.

63 INFORMATION IS GOING TO SHOW YOU THAT IS RELEVANT TO A CLAIM OR DEFENSE IN THIS ACTION. AND I GOT TO TELL YOU I DON'T HAVE ANY CLUE HOW A LOT OF THESE RELATE, OR IF THEY MARGINALLY RELATE, WHY THIS FEDERAL COURT SHOULD ORDER THE PRODUCTION OF A LOT OF THESE RECORDS. I'M ALSO CONSIDERING WHETHER TO EXERCISE MY AUTHORITY UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES TO CHANGE THE SEQUENCE OF THESE THINGS. IF YOU NEED TO GET ANSWERS TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, IF WHAT YOU'RE REALLY LOOKING FOR IS HOW MANY TICKETS DID WE SELL AND HOW MUCH MONEY DID WE BRING IN FROM THESE EVENTS, WHICH ASSUME THAT HAS SOME RELEVANCE, THEN, ASK THE QUESTION, AND WE'LL SEE WHERE WE GO. AND IF YOU'VE TRIED THAT AND HAVEN'T GOTTEN THERE, THAT'S NOT BEEN MADE CLEAR TO ME AT ALL. BUT ASKING FOR EVERY PIECE OF PAPER IN THE HOUSE, BUSINESS RECORD --MR. ADAR: RIGHT. THE COURT: -- IS INCREDIBLY, INCREDIBLY -- WELL, LET'S USE A BETTER WORD -- "PROBLEMATIC." MR. ADAR: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE -- AND WHEN WE HAD THE DISCUSSION, WE DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE NATURE OF THE DOCUMENTS ARE. IT COULD BE THERE'S ONLY 14 RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS TO THE REQUEST FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT. IT COULD BE -- THERE COULD JUST BE A

LEDGER THAT SHOWS TICKET SALES, AND THERE COULD BE BUSINESS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

64

SO, I THINK PROPORTIONALITY REQUIRES, YOUR HONOR, TO BALANCE A NUMBER OF FACTORS TO DETERMINE RELEVANCE IS ONLY ONE OF THEM. AND I RESPECT THAT. THE COURT: NO. RELEVANCE IS NOT ONE OF THE PROPORTIONALITY FACTORS. MR. ADAR: WELL, IT'S NOT ONE OF THE PROPORTIONALITY FACTORS, BUT SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE RELEVANT IN ORDER -- IF IT'S COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT, THEN, WE WOULDN'T BE ENTITLED TO IT. THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT. MR. ADAR: SO, WE BELIEVE THAT THERE COULD BE RELEVANCE --THE COURT: PROPORTIONALITY PRESUMES RELEVANCE. MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. FAR MORE ARTICULATELY STATED THAN I SAID A MOMENT AGO. THE COURT: KIND OF WHAT I DO FOR A LIVING. MR. ADAR: SO --THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE RELEVANCE OF A LOT OF WHAT YOU'RE ASKING. AND IF THERE IS RELEVANCE, IT SEEMS PRETTY MARGINAL. AND THAT DOES GO TO THE PROPORTIONALITY FACTORS UNDER RULE 26(B)(1). MR. ADAR: OKAY. YOUR HONOR, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE DON'T KNOW. THESE SPECIAL -- OUR POSITION WAS THESE SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES WERE CREATED IN FURTHERANCE OF THE ENTERPRISE.

CAST A WIDE NET. BUT I APPRECIATE THE DIRECTION THE COURT IS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

65

GOING IN. IF YOUR HONOR DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT THEY -- THAT THE REQUESTS ARE PROPORTIONAL, THEN, I ACCEPT THAT POSITION. THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR. AND YOU'VE SPENT 10 MINUTES -- AND YOU'VE VERY EARNEST. AND I LIKE TALKING TO YOU. YOU'RE VERY SMART. MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. I DON'T GET IT. MR. ADAR: THESE -- THEY WERE -- THEY WERE ENTITIES THAT WERE CREATED IN FURTHERANCE OF THE ENTERPRISE. THESE ENTITIES AS WE UNDERSTAND FROM OUR -- FROM TESTIMONY TAKEN WERE CREATED SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY WANT TO BE ABLE TO FACILITATE THE EVENTS THAT ARE PUT ON -- THE STS EVENTS, THE HERBALIFE EVENTS, THE EVENTS THAT THESE FEATURE PRESENTERS ARE PUTTING ON. SO, WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND BECAUSE --THE COURT: WHY? MR. ADAR: BECAUSE WE THINK THAT THIS -- THE EVENT SYSTEM, OUR WHOLE ALLEGATION IN THE COMPLAINT IS THAT THE WHOLE THING IS A SHAM. IT'S A FRAUD. AND WE WANT TO LEARN MORE INFORMATION ABOUT IT SO WE CAN --THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE WHOLE THING IS A SHAM.

PEOPLE LIED AT THE EVENTS IS YOUR ALLEGATION.

1 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. BUT IT WAS ALSO --2 THAT'S ONE OF THE ALLEGATIONS. 3 OUR POSITION IS ALSO THAT THIS WHOLE EVENT SYSTEM WAS 4 CREATED NOT TO HELP PEOPLE. IT WAS CREATED TO FURTHER THE 5 POCKETS OF HERBALIFE AND THE FUTURE PRESENTERS. THERE'S NO 6 GOOD PURPOSE FOR THEM. THERE'S NO VALID PURPOSE FOR THEM. IT'S JUST -- THE WHOLE THING IS A FRAUD. THE WHOLE EVENT 7 8 SYSTEM IS A FRAUD. 9 SO, PART OF THAT FRAUD IS THE FACT THAT AT THE EVENTS 10 FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS ARE MADE, BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE DON'T 11 KNOW. 12 AND WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT 13 THESE SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES THAT WERE CREATED JUST ABOUT 14 THESE -- TO --15 THE COURT: RIGHT. 16 MR. ADAR: RIGHT. 17 THE COURT: BUT WHAT INFORMATION IN THOSE MATERIALS 18 COULD BE RELEVANT TO YOUR CLAIM ABOUT FRAUD? 19 MR. ADAR: OTHER THAN TICKET SALES, IT WOULD BE 20 HELPFUL TO KNOW WHO THE OWNERS ARE. 21 THE COURT: WHY? 22 MR. ADAR: BECAUSE IF HERBALIFE HAS AN OWNERSHIP 23 STAKE IN THESE EVENTS THAT WOULD BE RELEVANT. IF THERE ARE 24 OTHER FEATURED PRESENTERS OR UPLINES OR DOWNLINES THAT HAVE 25 OWNERSHIP STAKES, THAT COULD BE RELEVANT AND WOULD BE RELEVANT

TO UNDERSTANDING HOW THIS ENTERPRISE WORKS. 1 2 BUT I'M DOING MY BEST TO READ THE BODY LANGUAGE OF THE COURT. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT IF --3 4 THE COURT: WELL, IT SOUNDS AWFULLY SPECULATIVE. IF 5 YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THESE RECORDS HAVE, AND YOU DON'T KNOW HOW 6 THEY RELATE TO YOUR CLAIMS OR DEFENSE IN THIS ACTION -- WHEREAS 7 WHEN WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT EARNINGS --8 MR. ADAR: YES. 9 THE COURT: -- YOU WERE PRETTY COMPETENT ON THAT, 10 MAN. 11 YOU COULD TELL ME THAT, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE SAID X, BUT THE DOCUMENTS SHOW THAT THEY EARNED Y. OKAY. 12 13 MR. ADAR: RIGHT. THE COURT: I GOT THAT. AND I WENT YOUR WAY ON THAT. 14 15 OKAY. 16 THESE PEOPLE SAID THEY'RE SQUEAKY CLEAN. AND, IN 17 FACT, THEY'VE BEEN DISCIPLINED BY THE COMPANY. THAT'S A 18 MISSTATEMENT. 19 I AGREED. AND I SAID YOU'RE ENTITLED TO SOME RECORDS 20 ON THAT. AND IF YOU DIDN'T GET THE RIGHT ONES, I'LL GO TO BAT. 21 AND I'LL READ THEM. 22 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: OKAY. HERE YOU WANT MATERIALS -- FORGET 24 ABOUT PERSONAL AND PRIVILEGE AND PRIVACY AND WHATEVER -- YOU

WANT STUFF THAT YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT IT'S GOING TO SHOW.

68 YOU'RE JUST HOPING THAT SOMEHOW YOU GET SOME GOOD STUFF OUT OF 1 2 IT. THAT'S A FISHING EXPEDITION. AND THAT'S REALLY 3 PROBLEMATIC. 4 MR. ADAR: OKAY. UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD. 6 AND I DON'T THINK MR. CATLETT WANTS TO TALK ABOUT 7 THAT ONE. 8 DO YOU, SIR? 9 (LAUGHTER.) 10 MR. CATLETT: YOU'RE CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: THAT'S GOOD LAWYERING. 12 ALL RIGHT. MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR --13 14 THE COURT: LET --MR. ADAR: -- BEFORE WE GO ON TO THE -- THE NEXT 15 16 TOPIC. 17 THE COURT: YES, SIR. 18 MR. ADAR: I MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE A BIT OF CLARITY REGARDING NUMBER OF DEPOSITIONS. 19 20 THE COURT: DO IT. 21 MR. ADAR: SO, WHEN WE ORIGINALLY SENT OUR SUBPOENAS 22 OUT, WE ASKED FOR 12 DEPOSITIONS BEYOND THE 10-DEPOSITION RULE. 23 AND THE MATH WAS --24 THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE 22. YES --

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: -- WE GOT ISSUES ABOUT, LIKE, WHETHER THE

1

69

2 30(B)(6) IS COUNTED MORE THAN ONCE. 3 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 4 SO, WE HAVE ENGAGED IN SEVERAL GOOD FAITH MEET AND 5 CONFERS BETWEEN THEN. AND THE WAY THAT I LOOK AT THE NUMBERS 6 IS WE ORIGINALLY WANTED -- THERE WAS ONE -- ASSUMING THERE'S 7 ONE HERBALIFE CORPORATE REP. WE'VE ALREADY TAKEN FIVE 8 ADDITIONAL NONPARTIES. SO, THAT WOULD BE SIX. AND AN OFFER 9 THAT WAS MADE BY HERBALIFE THAT IS IN THEIR PAPERS -- BECAUSE 10 THEY WANT TO PRESENT TO THE COURT AS IF THEY'RE REASONABLE --IS THAT THEY ARE OFFERING --11 12 THE COURT: OKAY. WE DON'T NEED THAT. 13 MR. ADAR: NO, NO, NO. AND I -- THAT WASN'T MEANT TO 14 BE THAT WAY, YOUR HONOR. I APOLOGIZE. BECAUSE WE WANT TO BE 15 REASONABLE AS WELL. 16 THE COURT: AND YOU'RE DOING FINE. THEY'RE DOING 17 FINE. 18 MR. ADAR: YES. 19 THE COURT: I ENJOY THE TIME SPENT WITH YOU. VERY 20 BRIGHT PEOPLE. DON'T TAKE ANY OF THIS THE WRONG WAY. 21 MR. ADAR: YES, OF COURSE. 22 THE COURT: PLAY NICE. KEEP --23 MR. ADAR: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: KEEP GOING. KEEP GOING. WHAT DO YOU 25 GOT?

MR. ADAR: SO, WHAT THEY OFFERED IN THERE IS THEY SAID WE WILL OFFER AN ADDITIONAL TWO OR THREE DEPOSITIONS BEYOND THE TEN.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. ADAR: SO, BY MY MATH, THAT WOULD BE FOUR
DEPOSITIONS BECAUSE WE HAVE FOUR MORE TO GET US TO TEN, PLUS
TWO.

I CALLED MR. PANCHAPAKESAN AND I SAID, YOU KNOW WHAT,
BEFORE I FLY OUT TO CALIFORNIA. I DON'T WANT TO BOOK TICKETS.

THAT'S THE BIGGEST ISSUE. THE PPV, EVERYTHING ELSE I'LL BE

ABLE TO WORK OUT. WE WILL ACCEPT YOUR OFFER.

BUT HERE'S THE PROBLEM, YOUR HONOR. IT'S NOT SIMPLY
THE NUMBER OF DEPOSITIONS THAT'S THE ISSUE. IT'S THE EXECUTION
ON AN AGREEMENT. BECAUSE I'M HEEDING THE COURT'S ORDER ON THE
TELEPHONE CALL. YOU DO NOT WANT US TO SPEND AN HOUR OF YOUR
TIME GOING BACK AND FORTH ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED BETWEEN JANUARY
2019 AND TODAY. BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT THERE HAS BEEN AT A
MINIMUM AN INABILITY TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WHERE THEY WILL
PRODUCE DOCUMENTS BY DATE CERTAIN. AND AFTER THAT DATE CERTAIN
WE WILL BE ABLE TO DEPOSE THEM.

INSTEAD, AND YOU'VE SEEN IN OUR BRIEFS, THEY PRODUCED DOCUMENTS OFTEN AFTER THE DEPOSITION IS COMPLETE, THE NIGHT BEFORE. THESE ARE TENS OF THOUSANDS OF PAGES OF DOCUMENTS.

SO, ON THE FIRST ISSUE REGARDING THE NUMBER OF DEPOSITIONS, WE CAN GET TO THAT IN A MINUTE, AND I'LL EXPLAIN

TO YOU WHY WE FEEL WE'RE ENTITLED TO MORE. 1 2 BUT I THINK THE BIGGEST ISSUE IS THAT WE JUST NEED A 3 COURT ORDER -- AND WE'VE ASKED THEM FOR THIS AND ASKED THEM TO 4 AGREE TO THIS -- WHERE THEY HAVE TO AGREE TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS 5 BY A DATE CERTAIN 14 DAYS BEFORE A DEPOSITION. AND THEN WE 6 TAKE THE DEPOSITION. AND THAT'S IT. 7 AND WE'VE TRIED TO COME TO THAT AGREEMENT IN THE 8 THOSE DEADLINES HAVE BEEN AGREED TO, NOT TANGIBLY, AND 9 HAVEN'T BEEN MET. THE COURT: LET'S -- LET'S HEAR SOMETHING ON THAT. 10 11 MR. ADAR: OKAY. 12 THE COURT: MR. -- OH, HERE WE GO. 13 MR. ADAR: THERE YOU GO. 14 THE COURT: MR. CATLETT. 15 MR. CATLETT: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, JUDGE. 16 WE -- LIKE MR. ADAR SAID, WE'VE TRIED TO WORK WITH 17 WE'VE TRIED TO BE REASONABLE. I THINK EVERYONE HAS DONE 18 THAT. 19 THE ISSUE WITH A DATE CERTAIN IS THAT UNTIL WE GET A 20 PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL IDENTIFIED WITH A DATE AND A TIME LOCKED 21 DOWN, AND WE GO TO THAT INDIVIDUAL WITH OUR EDISCOVERY VENDOR, 22 AND WE HAVE THE CONVERSATION WITH THEM ABOUT HERE'S WHAT WE 23 NEED. WE NEED ALL YOUR PASSWORDS, WE NEED TO DOWNLOAD YOUR 24 SOCIAL MEDIA ACCOUNTS. AND THEN WE ACTUALLY GO OUT AND WE DO

THAT. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT VOLUME OF DOCUMENTS WE'RE TALKING

ABOUT.

WITH CERTAIN OF THE INDIVIDUALS IT'S BEEN

SIGNIFICANTLY MORE THAN OTHERS. I DON'T WANT TO AGREE TO A

DEADLINE THAT I THEN VIOLATE BECAUSE WE'LL BE RIGHT BACK IN

FRONT OF YOUR HONOR. AND I'LL BE -- YOU KNOW, I'LL -- WE'LL

HAVE ISSUES OF WHETHER WE'RE COMPLYING WITH OUR OBLIGATIONS OR

NOT.

IT'S A VERY FLUID PROCESS DEALING WITH THESE FOLKS

AND THEIR ESI. WE'VE ATTEMPTED -- ONCE WE KNOW WHO'S GOING TO

BE DEPOSED, AND WE HAVE THE DATE AND TIME, WE'VE ATTEMPTED TO

MOVE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. WE GET FOLKS ON THE PHONE

IMMEDIATELY. AS WE ALLUDED TO IN OUR PAPERS, WE HAVE A

WAREHOUSE IN THE OUTSKIRTS OF PHOENIX WITH 10 LICENSE FOLKS WHO

ONCE THE INFORMATION IS PROCESSED AND HOSTED ACTUALLY GO

THROUGH IT AND REDACT ANYTHING THAT IS PERSONAL AND IRRELEVANT

AND MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE A RELEVANT SET OF DOCUMENTS THAT

WE'RE ACTUALLY PRODUCING TO PLAINTIFFS.

ON ONE OCCASION THAT PROCESS WENT OVER AND WENT
BEYOND THE DATE OF THE DEPOSITION. WE PRODUCED A SMALL SET I
BELIEVE ON THE MONDAY FOLLOWING A THURSDAY OR FRIDAY
DEPOSITION. AND ALL THE OTHERS WE'VE MANAGED TO GET THE
DOCUMENTS OUT TO THEM.

PRIOR TO THE DEPOSITION I UNDERSTAND THAT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT IT'S BEEN, YOU KNOW, THOUSANDS OF PAGES,
AND THEY WOULD LIKE IT SOONER. ALL I CAN SAY IS WE'RE DOING

73 OUR BEST TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT HAPPENS. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. I MEAN, IT'S VERY HARD FOR ME TO, 3 YOU KNOW, FIGURE OUT WHETHER YOU ARE ACTUALLY DOING YOUR BEST, WHAT YOUR BEST MEANS --4 5 MR. CATLETT: SURE. 6 THE COURT: -- WHETHER YOU'RE HAVING DIFFICULTY 7 DEALING WITH THE OTHER SIDE. AND WHEN I HEAR ABOUT FLUIDITY I MEAN MY FIRST COUPLE OF PASSES THROUGH THE EMAILS AND THE --8 9 THE BRIEFS, YOU KNOW, MAY HAVE SHOWN FLUIDITY OVER THERE ABOUT 10 WHAT YOU WANTED TO DO, WHEN YOU WANTED TO DO IT, WHAT THE DEMAND THEY ASK. 11 12 I MEAN, THIS IS A MESS, GUYS. THIS IS A MESS. AND I'M NOT HERE TO PLAY GOTCHA WITH ANY OF YOU. OKAY. IF WE'RE 13 14 GOING TO GET SIX PEOPLE IN A ROOM OR WHATEVER THE NUMBER IS 15 THESE DAYS, FIGURE IT OUT. FIGURE IT OUT AS PROFESSIONALS. 16 YOU KNOW, YOU'VE ASKED FOR A LOT OF STUFF. IT TAKES A LOT OF 17 WORK TO GET IT. YOU'VE GOT A COMMITMENT TO GET IT TO THEM. 18 AND DATES FOCUS THE MIND. I MEAN, THERE ARE WAYS TO DO THIS THAT DON'T INVOLVE 19 20 JUST THROWING AWAY SIX MONTHS OF CALENDAR WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY 21 WHAT IT FEELS LIKE HAS HAPPENED HERE. BECAUSE ASPECTS OF THIS 22 PROCESS STARTED EASILY LAST SUMMER, RIGHT? 23 MR. ADAR: JANUARY -- FEBRUARY OF 2019, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: YEAH, FEBRUARY. ALL RIGHT. SO COMING UP

25

ON A YEAR.

AND, YOU KNOW, I UNDERSTAND THE BENEFITS OF, YOU KNOW, RUN THE CLOCK OUT. AND I SEE THAT A LOT. AND I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT, YOU KNOW, THE REMEDY TO THAT IS COME INTO COURT AND, YOU KNOW, GETTING ME TO DO SOME STUFF. AND I'M -- I'M WELL ABLE TO WHACK ALL OF YOU AROUND ON SOME OF THESE ISSUES AND MAKE IT FINANCIAL OR MAKE IT TIMINGWISE. YOU KNOW, I CAN MAKE IT AS DIFFICULT AS ANY OF YOU NEED. OR I CAN GIVE YOU A HAND IN GETTING THE DISCOVERY YOU WANT IN AN EFFICIENT AND COST-EFFECTIVE MANNER FOR YOUR CLIENTS SO WE CAN GET THIS GOING.

AND I'M JUST NOT SURE HOW THIS HAPPENS. BECAUSE BY
THE TIME I GET UP TO SPEED ON SOME OF THESE ISSUES, BECAUSE I
CAN'T GET IT ON A PHONE CALL WHERE EVERYBODY IS SHOUTING AT
EACH OTHER -- YOU'RE NOT THAT SHOUTY, BUT, YOU KNOW, THERE WAS
SOME OF IT. YOU KNOW, THIS IS A PROBLEM.

SO, I CAN SIT HERE AND MEDIATE THIS AND DO YOUR MEET AND CONFER. I CAN GIVE -- I CAN GIVE YOU A FEW MINUTES TO MAYBE PULL OUT A CALENDAR, FIGURE OUT A PROTOCOL.

I CAN ENDORSE IT, MR. ADAR, AND MONITOR IT. AND, YOU KNOW, MAYBE THAT MOTIVATES SOME FOLKS ON BOTH SIDES. BUT, YOU KNOW, THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME COMPROMISES WITH THIS AND SOME COMPROMISES PERHAPS IN WHAT THE DOCUMENTS ARE THAT ARE BEING REQUESTED FOR SITTING DOWN FOR WHAT FEEL LIKE KIND OF REPETITIVE DEPOSITIONS. BECAUSE I THINK SOME OF THE THINGS YOU WANT TO COVER MAY GO WELL BEYOND ASPECTS THAT ARE BOLLOXING

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

75 THIS THING UP, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, GETTING THE EMAILS, GETTING SOCIAL MEDIA, SCREENING IT, YOU KNOW, THINGS THAT OBVIOUSLY, OBVIOUSLY TAKE A LOT OF LAWYER TIME AND MONEY WHEN YOU'RE ASKING FOR THESE THINGS. MR. CATLETT: SO --THE COURT: AND, SO -- AND, YOU KNOW, COST-SHIFT ISSUES MAY BE COMING UP HERE, TOO. I MEAN, THERE'S A LOT TO THINK ABOUT HERE. GO AHEAD. MR. CATLETT: WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUE OF THE NUMBER OF DEPOSITIONS, OUR POSITION ON BEHALF OF OUR CLIENTS HAS LARGELY BEEN THAT'S AN ISSUE BETWEEN HERBALIFE AND THE PLAINTIFFS. THE COURT: YEP. MR. CATLETT: SO, ONCE THEY IDENTIFY WHO ELSE IS GOING TO BE DEPOSED --THE COURT: YEP. MR. CATLETT: -- THEY LET US KNOW. WE'LL WORK WITH OUR FOLKS AND GET DATES AND TIMES. AND, THEN, WE'LL BE OFF TO THE RACES ON THE ESI PROCESS. I THINK WE'VE COMMUNICATED THAT POSITION TO BOTH SIDES IN THE CASE. IF WE HAVEN'T, THEN, YOU KNOW, I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. BUT I THINK THAT'S REALLY THE STEP NUMBER ONE. THE COURT: AND --

MR. CATLETT: AND I THINK THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

76 THAN THE 25-DOCUMENT SUBPOENAS THAT THEY WANT IN ADDITION TO WHATEVER REMAINING DEPOSITIONS WE AGREE UPON IN TERMS OF NUMBER AND WHO THOSE FOLKS ARE. THE COURT: YEAH. I MEAN, YOU KNOW, QUERY WHETHER THEY WANT TO TAKE THE TESTIMONY OR WHETHER THEY WANT THE PAPER OR BOTH. WHAT'S THE STATUS OF THE FLORIDA CASE BY THE WAY? IS THAT --MR. CATLETT: WE ARE STILL STAYED. WE ARE ON APPEAL WITH THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT. WE JUST RECEIVED NOTIFICATION LAST WEEK THAT THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT HAS DECIDED TO HOLD ORAL ARGUMENT. AND THAT -- THAT IS TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED FOR THE WEEK OF APRIL 20TH. THE COURT: AND THIS IS BASED ON YOUR MOTION FOR ARBITRATION? MR. CATLETT: OUR MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO TRANSFER VENUE. THE COURT: AND THE DISTRICT COURT IN FLORIDA GRANTED THE ARBITRATION COMPONENT? MR. CATLETT: WITH RESPECT TO OUR CLIENTS --THE COURT: YEAH. MR. CATLETT: -- THE DISTRICT COURT IN FLORIDA DENIED BOTH MOTIONS. THE COURT: OH, I BEG YOUR PARDON.

MR. CATLETT: SAID YOU'RE STAYING HERE WITH ME.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. SO --2 MR. CATLETT: SO, THAT'S WHY WE -- WE HAVE APPEALED. THE COURT: GOT IT. 3 4 MR. CATLETT: THE 44 DISTRIBUTORS HAVE APPEALED TO 5 THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT IN AN ATTEMPT TO TRY TO GET THAT -- THAT 6 RULING THAT WE ARE TO BE SPLINTERED OFF AND TO STAY IN FLORIDA 7 TO GET THAT OVERTURNED. AND THAT WILL BE HEARD ON APRIL 20TH. AND WHO KNOWS 8 9 HOW LONG THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT WILL TAKE TO DECIDE. 10 THE COURT: NOT ME. 11 (LAUGHTER.) THE COURT: SO, I'M SORRY. YOU'RE APPEALING THE? 12 13 MR. CATLETT: THE DENIAL OF OUR MOTION TO COMPEL 14 ARBITRATION. 15 THE COURT: RIGHT. 16 MR. CATLETT: AND THE DENIAL OF OUR MOTION TO 17 TRANSFER VENUE. THE COURT: SO, YOU WANT TO BE HERE WITH HERBALIFE IN 18 LOS ANGELES? 19 20 MR. CATLETT: THAT WAS OUR ALTERNATIVE REQUEST, YES. 21 THE COURT: OR -- OR YOU WANTED TO BE IN ARBITRATION. 22 THE DISTRICT JUDGE GAVE YOU NEITHER. THAT'S UP --23 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 24 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, IF THE CIRCUIT AFFIRMS ALL 25 ASPECTS OF THE DISTRICT COURT DECISION, YOU GET TO KEEP GOING

78 1 IN TO --2 MIAMI? FORT LAUDERDALE? 3 MR. CATLETT: MIAMI. 4 MR. ADAR: MIAMI, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. CATLETT: SOUTHERN DISTRICT. CORRECT. 6 THE COURT: SO -- SO, THE CASE AGAINST YOUR CLIENTS 7 WILL RESUME THERE? 8 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 9 THE COURT: GOT IT. OKAY. 10 OKAY. WELL, GOOD LUCK WITH THAT. OKAY. SO -- ALL RIGHT. 11 MR. ADAR, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER I'M HEARING THIS FOR 12 THE TIME, WHETHER IT'S A NICE SPEECH FOR THE JUDGE --13 14 WHAT YOUR REACTION IS HERE? 15 WHAT ARE YOU THINKING? 16 MR. ADAR: I THINK THAT SOUNDS GREAT. AND I THINK 17 WHAT YOU SAID WE WOULD LOVE TO ACCEPT YOUR OFFER OF A JUDICIAL 18 ENDORSEMENT. IF WE'RE ABLE TO COME UP WITH A DEAL -- NOT A DEAL --19 20 THAT'S THE WRONG WORD -- WHEN WE COME UP WITH A COMPROMISE. 21 AND I THINK WE --22 THE COURT: WHY IS THAT NOT A -- WHY IS THAT NOT A 23 DEAL? 24 MR. ADAR: IT IS A DEAL, BUT I DON'T LIKE THE

25

CONNOTATION OF THAT.

THE -- THE OTHER SIDE -- THE TRIANGLE, I GUESS.

WE'VE HAD NUMEROUS CONFERENCES. AND WE JUST WANT TO COMMIT TO A SCHEDULE. WE ARE PREPARED -- AND I'VE TOLD THEM THIS -- TO COMMIT TO SIX INDIVIDUALS. I CAN NAME THEM RIGHT NOW.

IN THE INTEREST OF WHERE THIS CASE IS AND HOW WE'RE ALMOST IN FEBRUARY, WE STILL STAND BY THE FACT THAT 12

ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS IS PROPORTIONAL IN THIS CASE. BUT WE ARE NOW ONLY SEEKING IN THE INTEREST OF COMPROMISE AND MOVING FORWARD TO ACCEPT THEIR OFFER OF SIX ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS

WHICH IS MOOTING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THE 30(B)(6) REP COUNTS AS THREE.

AND -- YES.

THE COURT: OKAY. I GOT IT.

LET'S SAY ABSTRACTLY -- BECAUSE I DO WANT TO GIVE YOU

ALL A CHANCE TO GO INTO THE HALL OR TAKE MY ATTORNEY ROOM OR

FIGURE OUT A PLACE TO TALK AND IF IT CAN GET DONE TODAY --

BUT SORT OF REALISTICALLY WHAT DO YOU THINK THE TIMELINE IS FOR SIX ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS OF IDENTIFIED PEOPLE?

MR. ADAR: IN ORDER FOR THOSE DEPOSITIONS TO BE FRUITFUL, WE WANT TO HAVE THE DOCUMENTS TWO WEEKS IN ADVANCE.

SO, WE HAVE -- THERE'S TWO INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE FLORIDA DEFENDANT'S BRIEF, FOR EXAMPLE, LESLIE STANFORD AND SUSAN PETERSON. WE DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY STAND ON THE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

80 DISCOVERY PRODUCTION. WE DON'T KNOW WHEN THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO PRODUCE IT. SO, WE'RE PREPARED TO TAKE THE DEPOSITIONS RATHER QUICKLY, BUT WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE AT LEAST TWO WEEKS TO BE ABLE TO REVIEW THE DOCUMENTS THEY ULTIMATELY PRODUCE. SO, I CAN'T ANSWER HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE THEM. THEY HAVE THE NAMES. WE ARE NOW GIVING A TRUNCATED VERSION BUT --THE COURT: I GOT IT. MR. CATLETT, LET'S PUT ASIDE FOR THE MOMENT THE AMOUNT OF LEAD TIME. LET'S SAY HE GAVE YOU THE NAME OF SIX PEOPLE TODAY. CAN YOU GIVE ME ANY KIND OF SCALE OR SCOPE AS TO WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO GET RELEVANT MATERIALS REVIEWED -- COMPILED, REVIEWED, PRODUCED IN HIS HANDS? MR. CATLETT: FIRST SIX FOLKS, I MEAN, WITH THE CURRENT SET OF SEARCH TERMS THAT WE'RE USING -- AND THIS WAS IN -- YOU KNOW, THIS WAS MENTIONED IN THE BRIEF -- WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT BETWEEN 400 AND 500 HOURS OF REVIEW TIME TOTAL. WITH TEN FOLKS, THAT'S -- YOU KNOW, THEY'RE SPENDING A WEEK, A WEEK AND A HALF FULL TIME JUST TO REVIEW ONE PERSON'S DOCUMENTS. THE COURT: WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? WHAT MATERIALS ARE BEING REVIEWED? MR. CATLETT: SO, WE ARE OBTAINING ALL EMAILS FROM

OUR CLIENTS. WE ARE OBTAINING ALL SOCIAL MEDIA ACCOUNTS, BOTH

PUBLIC FACING AND ANYTHING PRIVATE. SO, CERTAIN SOCIAL MEDIA
APPS HAVE A DIRECT MESSAGE FEATURE. WE'RE MAKING SURE THAT WE
GRAB ANY OF -- THAT IS NOT PUBLIC FACING. WE'RE MAKING SURE
THAT WE GRAB ANY OF THOSE DIRECT MESSAGES BETWEEN OUR FOLKS AND
ANYONE ELSE. THIS IS JUST THE INITIAL GRAB. THIS IS NOT -AFTER WE TAKE THE GRAB, THEN WE APPLY THE SEARCH TERMS TO TRY
TO NARROW THE REVIEW SET. BUT THE REVIEW SET IS ALL EMAILS,
ALL SOCIAL MEDIA, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE, ANYTHING WE'RE IMAGING,
HARD DRIVES. WE ARE IMAGING IPHONES OR WHATEVER OTHER BRAND OF
CELL PHONE THEY MAY HAVE.

WE'RE ASKING FOR ANY HARD COPY DOCUMENTS THEY MIGHT HAVE THAT RELATE TO THE ISSUES IN THE CASE.

AND IF I -- IF I'M ANTICIPATING WHERE YOUR HONOR

MIGHT BE GOING, IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL FOR US IN ORDER TO GET

THROUGH SOME OF THIS STUFF QUICKER IF WE NARROWED THE SOURCES

OF INFORMATION THAT WE ARE HAVING TO OBTAIN TO SAY EMAIL AND

PRIVATE SOCIAL MEDIA OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

THE COURT: WELL, I MEAN, I ALSO RECALL -- I BELIEVE

IT WAS IN YOUR PAPERS -- THAT WHEN THE DEPOSITIONS OCCURRED,

NOT A LOT OF THOSE PIECES OF PAPER WERE LAID ON THE TABLE IN

FRONT OF PEOPLE.

MR. CATLETT: CORRECT, JUDGE.

THE COURT: OKAY.

NOW, THERE'S NOT A REQUIREMENT THAT THAT HAPPEN.

MR. CATLETT: NO.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

82

THE COURT: RIGHT. I MEAN, I COULD LOOK AT RECORDS AND NOT SHOW THEM TO YOU AND JUST ASK QUESTIONS BASED OFF OF THAT. OKAY. MR. ADAR: WE WOULD DISAGREE WITH THAT CHARACTERIZATION, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHICH CHARACTERIZATION? MR. ADAR: THAT THE DOCUMENTS WEREN'T USED. THEIR CHARACTERIZATION IS THAT WE DIDN'T USE ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS. WE USED MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS IN OUR AMENDED COMPLAINT. AND WE DO USE THEM AT THE DEPOSITIONS. UNFORTUNATELY, BECAUSE THEY'RE PRODUCED SOMETIMES 30 HOURS OR 10 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE DEPOSITION, WE'RE NOT ABLE TO REVIEW ALL OF THEM IN ADVANCE. SO, WE CAN ONLY USE THE DEPOSITION -- THE DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AT THAT TIME. BUT THE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN INCREDIBLY HELPFUL, RELEVANT, AND HAVE BEEN UTILIZED IN THIS CASE. THE COURT: WELL, YEAH. I MEAN, I READ -- I READ --I READ THAT THEY ARE USED. I MEAN, DOZENS OF PAGES WERE MARKED AS EXHIBITS. IT DOESN'T REALLY TELL ME MUCH. I MEAN, IT'S A DOZEN-PAGE DOCUMENT. THAT'S REALLY NOT MUCH. I MEAN -- I MEAN, THE INDIVIDUALS I DON'T THINK ARE SEEKING RELIEF FROM THE DEPOSITION DOCUMENT SUBPOENAS. CORRECT, MR. CATLETT?

MR. CATLETT: WELL, WE ARE AND WE AREN'T, I GUESS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

83 TO THE EXTENT THAT -- THAT HERBALIFE AND THE PLAINTIFFS MAKE AN AGREEMENT ON SOME SET PORTION OF DEFENDANTS, WE HAVE INDICATED THAT WE WOULD CONTINUE -- OR DEPONENTS. I'M SORRY -- WE HAVE INDICATED WE WOULD CONTINUE TO USE THE SAME PROTOCOL. THE COURT: RIGHT. BUT IT WOULD GO FASTER FROM MR. ADAR'S PERSPECTIVE IF, SAY, YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO SEARCH A PHONE. MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. THE COURT: OR YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO IMAGE A DRIVE. AND IF THERE WAS MAYBE A LIMIT TO JUST WHAT YOU PUT ON FACEBOOK. MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. OR WE -- AND/OR WE SIGNIFICANTLY NARROWED THE SEARCH TERMS THAT WERE THEN HAVING TO BUMP UP AGAINST THAT DATA. THE COURT: HOW MANY TERMS ARE THERE? MR. CATLETT: PROBABLY ABOUT 50. AND SOME OF THEM ARE LIKE QUALIFY, RETREAT, TICKET, ZOOM, LDW. THERE'S A LOT OF ACRONYMS HERE. I'M NOT EVEN SURE WHAT THEY -- WHAT EXACTLY THEY MEAN. EXTRAVAGANZA. THE COURT: YEAH. I MEAN, MR. ADAR IS A DILIGENT PLAINTIFF AND DOESN'T KNOW WHAT'S OUT THERE. SO, HE ASKED FOR STUFF TO BE DILIGENT. MR. CATLETT: SURE. THE COURT: AND THAT TAKES TIME. AND THAT TAKES MONEY. AND THAT'S NOT UNREASONABLE.

AND IF THAT'S BEEN PART OF THE PROCESS FOR THIS --

1 PART OF THE REASON FOR THIS BEING A SLOW PROCESS, I GET IT. 2 AND I'M TRYING TO EXPEDITE THIS BECAUSE I FIGURE, MR. ADAR, YOU WANT THESE DEPOS DONE. YOU WANT THESE DEPOS DONE IN 3 4 AN INTELLIGENT WAY SO YOU DON'T MISS STUFF BECAUSE --5 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: BECAUSE THAT DOESN'T HELP YOU. 6 7 MR. CATLETT: IT DOES NOT. 8 THE COURT: BUT, YOU KNOW, I THINK WHAT YOU REALLY 9 WANT MORE THAN ANYTHING IS TO GET THESE FOLKS IN THE ROOM AND 10 ASK THEM THE QUESTIONS YOU -- YOU ALREADY HAVE IN MIND. MR. ADAR: YOUR HONOR, THE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE 11 PRODUCED LEAD TO QUESTIONS THAT ARE HIGHLY RELEVANT AT THESE 12 13 DEPOSITIONS. AND THEY DO GUIDE THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE ASKED. 14 I DON'T WANT TO DOWNPLAY THE IMPORTANCE OF THOSE 15 DOCUMENTS IN ADVANCE OF THE DEPOSITIONS. 16 THE COURT: YEAH. THEN YOU'RE GOING TO WAIT. 17 MR. ADAR: AND IF THAT'S WHAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN, YOUR 18 HONOR, THEN WAITING IS ACCEPTABLE. BUT WE UNDERSTAND THESE THINGS TAKE TIME. 19 20 I THINK IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE THINGS, THAT WITHOUT 21 DWELLING ON THE PAST, IF THAT SEARCH PROCESS BEGIN NOW, THEN, 22 THINGS CAN MOVE FASTER RATHER THAN -- AND IF THERE COULD BE A 23 SENSE OF URGENCY TO GET THIS DONE THAT WOULD -- IF IT WOULD 24 TAKE WEEKS AS OPPOSED TO MONTHS IS WHERE WE WOULD BE LOOKING TO 25 GO.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

85 THE COURT: WELL, I MEAN, MY OBLIGATION -- AND JUDGE KRONSTADT -- YOU ALL ARE KIND OF LUCKY BECAUSE I THINK JUDGE KRONSTADT DOES NOT -- HE'S ONE OF OUR RARE DISTRICT JUDGES IF MEMORY SERVES -- DOES NOT PRECLUDE POST-CUTOFF DISCOVERY RELATED TO DISPUTES BROUGHT TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE. AND IN ADDITION I THINK I HAVE THE AUTHORITY UNDER RULE 29 TO ALLOW THE DEPOSITION AND RELATED DISCOVERY TO OCCUR AFTER A CUTOFF AS LONG AS IT'S NOT LIKELY TO INTERFERE WITH OTHER THINGS GOING ON IN THE CASE. AND, SO, IF IT'S NOT GOING TO INTERFERE WITH AND BE A BASIS FOR RAISING THE ISSUES IN, SAY, THE CLASS CERT STUFF, OR IF THIS DEPOSITION IS GOING TO AFFECT TIMING FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY -- WHICH I THINK JUST GOT RECENTLY CHANGED -- I THINK -- I THINK I'LL BE OKAY. AND I THINK HE'LL BE OKAY WITH ME GIVING YOU ALL A NEW SCHEDULE BASED ON WHATEVER YOU CAN NEGOTIATE. BUT, YOU KNOW, THAT PUTS US ON A DIFFERENT TRACK. SO, YOU ALL WITH ME SO FAR ON THIS? MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: MR. DROOKS. BOY, I MUST HAVE HIT A NERVE. (LAUGHTER.) MR. DROOKS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE CONSISTENTLY TOLD THE PLAINTIFFS A FEW THINGS.

FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE FOUR LAWYERS ON THE CASE. ANY

TIME WE CAN GET ANY ONE OF THEM TO WHEREVER IN THE COUNTRY

THESE THIRD-PARTY DEPOSITIONS ARE TAKING PLACE, WE'LL BE THERE.

1

2

86

WE'VE TOLD THEM THAT WE CAN LIVE --3 4 THE COURT: DOES HERBALIFE QUESTION THESE WITNESSES? 5 OR ARE YOU JUST MONITORING THE --6 MR. DROOKS: WE HAVEN'T ASKED ANY QUESTIONS YET AS 7 FAR AS I CAN RECALL. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, SOMEBODY COULD JUST PUT 9 AN IPHONE ON THE TABLE WITH FACETIME. AND OFF YOU GO. 10 MR. DROOKS: I JUST NEED SOMEONE WHO'S FAMILIAR WITH THE CASE. 11 12 THE COURT: GOT IT. MR. DROOKS: AND I GET HIM THERE. AND THAT'S IT. 13 14 HAVEN'T STOOD IN THE WAY OF SCHEDULING. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MR. DROOKS: SECOND, WE'VE TOLD THEM FROM THE 17 BEGINNING THAT WE COULD ACCEPT THEIR CALCULATION AS TO NUMBER 18 OF DEPOSITIONS ALREADY TAKEN AND GO TO ABOUT 12 IF THEY COULD 19 TELL US WHO THEY WERE AND PROMISE US THAT THAT WAS IT. 20 THE COURT: IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN ABOUT 40 SECONDS. 21 MR. DROOKS: RIGHT. 22 OUR -- AND WE HAVE TOLD THEM CLEARLY THAT WE WOULD 23 NOT OBJECT TO DEPOSITIONS OCCURRING AFTER THE DISCOVERY CUTOFF 24 SO LONG AS WE HAD AN AGREED -- WE HAD AGREED ON WHO THOSE 25 DEPONENTS WERE, THAT THAT WAS THE LIMIT. AND THAT, YOU KNOW,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

87 WITNESSES HAVE ISSUES. AND WE WOULD NOT OBJECT TO HAVING THEM OCCUR AFTER THE DISCOVERY CUTOFF. THE COURT: GOOD. MR. DROOKS: MY ONLY CONCERN THERE --THE COURT: I KNEW YOU WERE BUILDING TO SOMETHING. MR. DROOKS: NO. MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT WE HAVE A SUMMARY JUDGMENT DEADLINE IN MARCH. WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT ANY OF THESE DEPOSITIONS ARE GOING TO IMPLICATE SUMMARY JUDGMENT. WE JUST DON'T WANT TO BE HIT WITH A 56 -- IS IT (E) OR (F) MOTION SAYING THAT THEY NEED TO COMPLETE THEIR DISCOVERY IN ORDER TO OPPOSE OUR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. DROOKS: WE'D LIKE TO GET THAT HEARD ON A TIMELY BASIS. THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THEN, LET'S GET PEOPLE GOING ON -- LEGITIMATE -- LEGITIMATE POINT OBVIOUSLY. AND I'M -- I MEAN, I GET IT. SO, I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE WAY FORWARD HERE. I MEAN, I -- I HAVE YOU ALL HERE. YOU FLEW ACROSS THE COUNTRY. YOU'RE FROM FLORIDA AS WELL? MR. CATLETT: PHOENIX. THE COURT: THAT'S NOT FAR --MR. CATLETT: NOT THAT FAR.

THE COURT: I'VE HEARD OF THAT ONE.

1 MR. ADAR. 2 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD PROPOSE THEY KNOW THAT LESLIE STANFORD AND 3 4 SUSAN PETERSON ARE GOING TO GET DEPOSED IN FEBRUARY. THAT'S 5 BEEN IN THE BRIEFS. IT'S NOT DISPUTED. WE DON'T HAVE DATES 6 YET. 7 AND WHAT WE'D LIKE TODAY AT A MINIMUM IS SOME SORT OF 8 CONCRETE DATE WHERE THEY CAN BE PRODUCED. THE DOCUMENTS WILL 9 BE PRODUCED. AND THEN TWO WEEKS LATER WE'LL DEPOSE THEM. AND 10 WE'LL GIVE THEM THE FOUR OTHER NAMES. AND --11 THE COURT: WHAT'S BEEN --FOR -- WHAT'S BEEN THE SCOPE OF MATERIALS YOU'VE 12 13 RECEIVED FOR OTHER WITNESSES? -- EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER. 14 MR. ADAR: SO, WE'VE RECEIVED LOTS OF DOCUMENTS. 15 WE'VE RECEIVED COMMUNICATIONS WITH HERBALIFE REGARDING CONTENT 16 THAT IS DISCUSSED AT THESE EVENTS. AND HERBALIFE --17 THE COURT: SORRY. HOW MANY PAGES HAVE YOU GOTTEN? 18 THIS IS THE ONE TIME I CARE ABOUT NUMBERS. MR. ADAR: BETWEEN 10 AND 40,000 PER NONPARTY. MAYBE 19 20 THERE'S ONE WHERE IT HAS 65,000 AS WELL. SO, TENS OF THOUSANDS 21 OF PAGES PER NONPARTY. 22 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 23 THE COURT: SO, YOU'VE REQUESTED -- YOU'VE MADE 24 REQUESTS IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PEOPLE. AND YOU GET 60 --25 THAT'S LIKE CASES AND CASES OF MATERIAL.

1 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'VE BEEN BUSY. 2 WE'VE -- IT'S -- REVIEWING THE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN 3 TIME CONSUMING BUT HELPFUL AND RELEVANT. 4 WE DON'T WANT IRRELEVANT DOCUMENTS. WE DON'T LIKE 5 REVIEWING DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NOT RELEVANT TO OUR CASE. BUT 6 THESE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN PARTICULARLY RELEVANT. 7 THE COURT: THE 40,000 PAGES ARE RELEVANT TO YOUR 8 CASE? 9 MR. ADAR: I CAN'T SAY THAT EVERY SINGLE ONE WAS BUT 10 11 THE COURT: I KNOW YOU CAN'T. 12 MR. ADAR: BUT THERE HAVE BEEN -- IF WE COULD ASK 13 THEM TO GIVE US THE IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS THAT ARE RELEVANT THAT 14 WERE HELPFUL TO OUR CASE, WE'D PREFER TO FOCUS ON THOSE. BUT 15 WE'VE --16 THE COURT: WHAT'S HELPFUL TO YOUR CASE? 17 IS IT THE EMAILS? IS IT THE SOCIAL MEDIA? 18 IS IT THE TEXT ON THE PHONE? IS IT FINANCIAL RECORDS? 19 20 WHAT'S THE GOOD STUFF? 21 MR. ADAR: AVOIDING FINANCIAL RECORDS AT THE MOMENT. 22 WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OTHER ONES, YES, IT IS THE GOOD STUFF. 23 WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE EMAILS, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE 24 COMMUNICATIONS TO HERBALIFE. HERBALIFE IN CERTAIN INSTANCES 25 PROVIDED COMMENTS ON THE CONTENT FOR THESE EVENTS THAT THEY

CLAIM THEY HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH. THAT IS EXTREMELY RELEVANT AND HELPFUL.

A LOT OF TIMES THESE INDIVIDUALS WILL BE

COMMUNICATING -- FOR EXAMPLE, SAYING IN THEIR NOTES YOU SHOULD

TAKE OUT STUDENT LOAN DEBT TO BE ABLE TO FUND HERBALIFE

PURCHASES. YOU SHOULD TAKE ON CREDIT CARD DEBT TO FUND

HERBALIFE PURCHASES.

IN THE -- AND ONE PARTICULAR WITNESS THAT WAS JUST DEPOSED, THAT PARTICULAR PERSON SAID YOU SHOULD TAKE ON CREDIT CARD DEBT AND THEN DECLARED -- AND WE LEARNED IN DISCOVERY THAT THEY DECLARED OR AT LEAST THERE WERE DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING THE NOTION THAT THEY DECLARED BANKRUPTCY BECAUSE OF THE CREDIT CARD DEBT THAT THEY INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH HERBALIFE.

THESE ARE THINGS THAT WE WOULDN'T HAVE KNOWN UNLESS WE GOT IT THROUGH DISCOVERY.

AND TO OUR CREDIT AND TO THE PARTY'S CREDIT, WE HAVE SPENT THE BETTER PART -- BETWEEN JANUARY 2019 AND JANUARY 2020, WE HAVEN'T BEEN SITTING DOING NOTHING. WE'VE BEEN CONFERRING WITH MR. CATLETT AND HERBALIFE, AND WE DID REQUEST A MUCH LARGER VOLUME OF DOCUMENTS AND A LARGER SCOPE.

AND THEY HAVE AGREED, AND WE'VE CONFIRMED THIS ON THE PHONE, AND CONFIRMED THIS IN WRITING, THAT THE CURRENT UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS THAT WE ARE SEEKING IS PROPORTIONAL, AND THEY ARE NOT OBJECTING ON THE BASIS OF UNDUE BURDEN. AND THAT'S BEEN -- THAT WAS THE GRAND COMPROMISE REFERENCED IN OUR -- IN OUR

BRIEF. AND IT WAS DONE THROUGH --

1

91

2 THE COURT: BY THE WAY, NICE JOB SETTING THE AGENDA BY THE WAY. THAT TERM GOT ME. 3 4 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 DOZENS OF --6 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I'M TRYING -- I'M TRYING TO 7 FIGURE OUT -- I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE LEGITIMACY OF YOUR 8 TWO-WEEK BUFFER BECAUSE THAT'S A TIME COMMITMENT AND THAT 9 AFFECTS SCHEDULING. AND WHAT MR. CATLETT SAID ABOUT SORT OF 10 DOMINOES TUMBLING, YOU KNOW, WEIGHS -- WEIGHS ON ME AS WELL. AND I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT FLEXIBILITY I NEED TO HAVE SO 11 12 THAT THINGS DON'T CRATER SO THAT YOU CAN GET IN A ROOM AND TALK 13 TO THESE PEOPLE AND TALK INTELLIGENTLY TO THEM WHICH IS THE 14 GOAL ALL THE WAY AROUND. 15 SO, WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO? 16 YOU WANT TO GET A FIRM DATE FROM THE TWO PEOPLE 17 YOU'VE IDENTIFIED? 18 MR. ADAR: THE TWO PEOPLE THAT EVERYONE KNOWS ARE BEING DEPOSED IN FEBRUARY. 19 20 THE COURT: YEAH. AND I'M CALLING IT FIRM. MAYBE 21 "FIRMISH" IS THE WORD. BECAUSE I GOT TO FIGURE OUT WHAT'S 22 GOING TO HAPPEN. I MEAN, SOMEONE IS GOING TO HAVE TO SHOW 23 CAUSE TO MOVE THESE DATES. BUT IT MAY MAKE SENSE TO MOVE THESE 24 DATES BECAUSE I CAN'T PREDICT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. I WILL 25 NEED TO BE CONVINCED THAT PEOPLE ARE BEING REASONABLY DILIGENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

92 WITH THIS STUFF. IT'S JUST LIKE EVERYTHING ELSE I'M INVOLVED IN -- TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT. BUT YOU WANT TO GET DATES, FIRM OR FIRMISH. MR. ADAR: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE'VE HAD -- WE'VE BEEN VERY CORDIAL AND PROFESSIONAL THROUGHOUT THE CASE. THE COURT: GOOD. MR. ADAR: IF MIKE PICKS UP THE PHONE AND CALLS ME ON A FRIDAY AND SAYS I NEED TILL MONDAY, HE'S GOING TO GET IT. THAT'S NOT BEEN AN ISSUE. THE PROBLEM IS, IF HE PICKS UP THE PHONE ON A FRIDAY, AND SAYS YOU'RE NOT GETTING IT TILL 2026, THAT'S THE EXTREME SITUATION THAT WOULD BE A PROBLEM. THE COURT: HE DID NOT TELL YOU THAT. MR. ADAR: NO, HE DID NOT. I'M TRYING TO -- WITHOUT PIGEONHOLING HIM --THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. MR. ADAR: -- I'M TRYING TO THINK OF AN EXTREME EXAMPLE, YES. THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. MR. ADAR: YES. THE COURT: JUST DON'T WANT YOU TO HAVE A --MR. ADAR: NO, NO. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WELL, THEN -- OKAY. I THINK -- I THINK

WE CAN GET YOU THERE. YOU'RE GOING TO SAY FOUR OTHER NAMES,

93 1 RIGHT? 2 MR. ADAR: I'M PREPARED TO DO SO RIGHT NOW, YOUR 3 HONOR. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. 5 AND, THEN, YOU NEED TO SIT DOWN. AND HE'S GOT TO PUT 6 SOME STUFF IN PROGRESS FOR THAT. AND THEN YOU NEED TO PULL OUT 7 CALENDARS AND FIGURE OUT WHETHER IT'S LATE FEBRUARY, WHETHER 8 IT'S SOMETIME INTO MARCH. NOT TOO CLOSE TO MR. DROOKS' 9 DEADLINE IS HIS ISSUE. BUT, YOU KNOW, WE'LL SEE WHERE WE GO. 10 THAT'S YOUR GOAL TODAY? 11 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: GOT IT. I GOT IT. 13 MR. ADAR: THE BIGGEST GOAL, YES. 14 THE COURT: ALWAYS A CAVEAT. OKAY. 15 MR. ADAR: AND WHY I FLEW OUT HERE. BECAUSE IT IS 16 -- I WANT TO MAKE SURE THE COURT UNDERSTANDS THAT THESE DOCUMENTS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED, I DIDN'T WANT TO PHONE THIS IN. 17 THEY'VE BEEN CRITICAL TO OUR CASE. THEY ARE IMPORTANT. 18 19 THE COURT: GOOD. 20 MR. ADAR: THESE DEPOSITIONS ARE IMPORTANT. AND IT 21 MEANS A LOT TO OUR CASE AND TO THE PLAINTIFFS. 22 THE COURT: RIGHT. MR. CATLETT CAME FROM PHOENIX. 23 MR. ADAR: YES. 24 THE COURT: MR. CATLETT, IF WE GET DATES -- IF WE GET

NAMES, YOU CAN START YOUR PROCESS, AND WE CAN START FIGURING

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

94 OUT WHAT IT TAKES TO GET THINGS RUMBLING ON YOUR SIDE AND THEN PEOPLE INTO A ROOM. MR. CATLETT: IF WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN PLAINTIFFS AND THE DEFENDANT, AND I GET NAMES, I CAN START THAT PROCESS. I CAN'T SAY ON THE RECORD THAT I'M GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET PETERSON AND STANFORD IN FEBRUARY. WE'VE STARTED THAT PROCESS WITH THEM. WE INDICATED ABOUT A MONTH AGO TO THE PLAINTIFFS THAT WE THOUGHT WE COULD GET MS. PETERSON THE LAST WEEK OF FEBRUARY. APPARENTLY THAT HAS CHANGED. BUT I -- I UNDERSTAND THE URGENCY --THE COURT: OKAY. MR. CATLETT: -- ON BOTH SIDES. AND I'M GOING -- IF I GET THOSE SIX NAMES, I'M GOING TO START GETTING DATES. AND I'M GOING TO START GETTING MY ESI TEAM COLLECTING FROM ALL SIX OF THEM. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. MR. ADAR: PERFECT. THE COURT: I HOPE -- OH, HE SAID PERFECT. I'VE GOT IT. OKAY. -- PERF-E-C-T. GOT IT. ALL RIGHT. LET'S DO THAT. LET'S DO THAT. AND WHATEVER YOU CAN FIGURE OUT, IDENTIFYING, MOVING THINGS FORWARD -- AND THE COMPANY IS ON BOARD. THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID, MR. DROOKS?

MR. DROOKS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

I MEAN, WE HAVE THE WHOLE ISSUE OF THE 15 OR 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

95 DOCUMENT SUBPOENAS, WHICH I THINK WILL COMPLICATE MR. CATLETT'S THE COURT: OH, WE'LL GET THERE. MR. DROOKS: -- EFFORTS AT SOME POINT. THE COURT: SOMETIMES -- AND SOMETIMES YOU TAKE THE BIG ISSUE FIRST AND THEN YOU -- SOMETIMES YOU SNOWBALL FROM THE LITTLE ONE. IT'S DAVE RAMSEY. MR. DROOKS: AND, YOUR HONOR, AT SOME POINT I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO ADDRESS COST SHIFTING ON THIRD-PARTY DISCOVERY. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HERE WE GO. LET'S DO THIS. I NEED TO GIVE STAFF AND ME A BREAK. AND WE'VE BEEN GOING FOR -- WHAT TIME DID WE START? BEFORE 2:00. YEAH. ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A 10-MINUTE BREAK. MR. CATLETT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SURE. YOU GUYS CAN KEEP CHATTING. AND IF YOU WANT TO START WORKING SOMETHING OUT. IN TERMS OF A MECHANISM FOR THIS, IF WE COME TO AN AGREEMENT TODAY, IF YOU WANT TO TALK TO CLIENTS AND PARTIES AND SUBMIT SOMETHING LATER ON, WE CAN ALSO FIGURE OUT WHETHER IT MAKES SENSE TO HAVE A CALL NEXT WEEK. I'M IN TRIAL, BUT I'LL

MAKE TIME FOR THIS. WE'LL FIGURE OUT THE RESOLUTION. WE'LL

TAKE UP THE OTHER ISSUES. WE'RE NOT DONE.

96 1 MR. DROOKS: YOUR HONOR, CAN I SUGGEST 15 MINUTES? 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 MR. DROOKS: -- GIVE US A LITTLE MORE TIME TO --4 THE COURT: YES. 5 MR. DROOKS: -- DISCUSS IT OUTSIDE. 6 THE COURT: YOU'RE FINE. 7 MR. DROOKS: THANK YOU. 8 THE COURT: YOU BET. 9 (RECESS, 3:16 P.M. TO 3:33 P.M.) 10 THE COURT: OKAY. CONTINUING WITH OUR SUDDENLY 11 PRODUCTIVE DISCUSSION. 12 MR. ADAR, WHAT'S UP? 13 MR. ADAR: SO -- I CAN BE CORRECTED IF I'M WRONG. BUT I BELIEVE WE HAVE A COMPROMISE REGARDING THE DEPOSITIONS 14 15 THAT ARE GOING TO GO FORWARD. 16 THE PROBLEM IS IS THAT -- TO MR. CATLETT'S CREDIT, HE 17 DOESN'T KNOW WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS IS RIGHT NOW FOR THESE SIX INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDING THE TWO THAT WERE 19 IDENTIFIED. SO, HE CAN'T COMMIT TO DATES UNTIL HE HAS AN 20 OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. 21 THE COURT: YEP. 22 MR. ADAR: BUT HE WILL DO SO IN HASTE. 23 AND --24 THE COURT: IN HASTE?

MR. ADAR: IN HASTE.

25

97 1 THE COURT: GOT IT. 2 MR. ADAR: AND WE WILL -- IT PROBABLY WILL MAKE SENSE 3 ON THAT ISSUE TO REQUIRE US TO CONFER BY WEEK END. 4 AND I APPRECIATE YOUR HONOR'S OFFER TO SCHEDULE A 5 CALL NEXT WEEK WHERE WE CAN PERHAPS GET PEN TO PAPER IF 6 NECESSARY. BUT HOPEFULLY THE PARTIES CAN GET TOGETHER AND DO 7 AN ORDER. 8 WHERE WE HAVE AN ISSUE ARE -- SO, WE ORIGINALLY 9 REQUESTED 12 ADDITIONAL DEPOS. NOW, WE'RE ONLY REQUESTING SIX. 10 SO, THERE ARE SIX ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS REQUESTS THAT WE HAVE IN 11 ADDITION TO THE 25. THAT CREATES 31 ADDITIONAL DOCUMENT 12 REOUESTS THAT ARE THERE. AND --13 THE COURT: SO, YOU'RE ASKING FOR RECORDS TO BE PRODUCED BY FLORIDA DEFENDANTS WHO ARE NOT GOING TO BE 14 15 TESTIFYING IN THIS CASE AS DEPOSITION WITNESSES. 16 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 17 AND WE ARE ABLE AND WILLING AND ARE TRYING TO WORK 18 WITH MR. CATLETT TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY NARROWER CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS TO MAKE THE BURDEN EVEN LESS THAN IT ALREADY IS NOW 19 20 FOR THOSE 31, RECOGNIZING THAT IT COULD BE EQUALLY AS --21 THE COURT: NARROWER IN TERMS OF SEARCH TERMS? 22 NARROWER IN TERMS OF PLACES TO BE SEARCHED AND MATERIALS TO BE 23 SEIZED? 24 MR. ADAR: IN EVERY WHICH WAY WE'RE WILLING TO HAVE

25

THAT DISCUSSION.

THE PROBLEM IS IS THAT EVEN IF -- AND I'M NOT WILLING TO COMMIT TO JUST THIS ONE CATEGORY -- BUT IF WE ONLY WANTED TO KNOW THEIR COMMUNICATIONS WITH HERBALIFE REGARDING EVENTS AND ANY COMMENTS THAT HERBALIFE HAD, THE PROBLEM THAT I'M HEARING FROM MR. CATLETT IS THE ONLY WAY THEY CAN SEARCH FOR ANY RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS IS BY INITIALLY INCURRING \$15,000 OR APPROXIMATELY TO BE ABLE TO GET EVERYTHING UPLOADED. AND HE'S ASKING THAT THAT COST BE BORNE BY US.

BUT IF WE'RE ABLE TO REDUCE --

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE REASON TO DOUBT THAT?

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

I THINK THAT IT CAN'T BE THAT EVERY SINGLE REQUEST

FOR DOCUMENTS TO ANY PARTY AND ANY CASE IN THE COUNTRY REQUIRES

AN INITIAL COST OF \$15,000. THERE HAS TO BE A LESS BURDENSOME

WAY TO BE ABLE TO SEARCH FOR NARROWER DOCUMENTS.

SO, WE WOULD BE WILLING -- I ASKED WHETHER HE'S ABLE

TO ALLOCATE PERHAPS THE IPHONE COSTS -- 80 PERCENT OF THE

COSTS. AND THE EMAILS ARE ONLY 3 PERCENT OF THE COST. I DON'T

KNOW.

AND I ASKED HIM IF HE'S ABLE TO ALLOCATE THE \$15,000 TO PARTICULAR SOURCES, BUT HE WASN'T ABLE -- UNABLE TO DO SO AT THIS TIME.

THE POSITION OF THE FLORIDA DEFENDANTS HAS BEEN -AND I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S CHANGED -- THAT ANY ADDITIONAL
REQUEST, EVEN ONE, FOR ANY OF THESE ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS WOULD

BE BURDENSOME.

AND THE REASON WHY IS BECAUSE THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT IS BURDENSOME.

YOUR HONOR HAS READ OUR PAPERS. I'M NOT GOING TO
BELABOR THE POINT OTHER THAN WE HAVE NO EVIDENCE RIGHT NOW THAT
FOR ANY ONE OF THOSE 31 INDIVIDUALS THERE WOULD BE ANY BURDEN
WHATSOEVER BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T STARTED THAT PROCESS.

IT'S ENTIRELY POSSIBLE FOR, FOR EXAMPLE, GABRIEL SANDOVAL, THAT IF THEY WERE TO TRY TO REQUEST DOCUMENTS, THERE COULD BE 14 REQUESTS DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE. SHE MIGHT NOT USE FACEBOOK. SHE MIGHT NOT USE ANY OF THESE MEDIUMS. AND IT COULD BE NO BURDEN WHATSOEVER. BUT WE CAN'T HAVE A DISCUSSION REGARDING COST-SHIFTING. AND WE CAN'T HAVE A DISCUSSION REGARDING WHAT THAT BURDEN IS UNLESS WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS IS.

AND WE ARE PREPARED AND WILLING TO SUBSTANTIALLY NARROW THOSE OTHER THAN THE SIX THAT WE'VE REQUESTED. BUT THERE'S NO STARTING POINT BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO AT THEIR REQUEST PAY AN ENTRY FEE OF \$15,000 PER PARTY JUST TO START THAT DISCUSSION. AND WE THINK THAT'S NOT FAIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, KIDS. IF I HAVE TO DO THIS, I'LL DO THIS.

MR. CATLETT, STAND AT THE MICROPHONE NEXT TO MR.

ADAR. AND TELL ME WHERE THE 15,000-DOLLAR FIGURE COMES FROM.

(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

1 (COUNSEL CONFERRING.) 2 MR. CATLETT: YOUR HONOR, I -- I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL SAYING \$15,000. BUT WE CANNOT DETERMINE WHAT THE 3 UNIVERSE OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IS WITHOUT ENGAGING 4 5 OUR ESI --6 THE COURT: WELL, I MEAN, I'M LOOKING AT -- I'M 7 LOOKING AT YOUR PAPERS. 8 MR. CATLETT: SURE. 9 THE COURT: I READ THIS. YOU KNOW, TOMMY GIOIOSA --10 MR. CATLETT: RIGHT. 11 THE COURT: HE WAS A BASEBALL PLAYER, RIGHT? MR. CATLETT: HE WAS ACTUALLY. YES. 12 13 THE COURT: YEAH. YEAH. YEAH. 14 MR. DE LA CONCEPCION, MR. ADDY, AND YOU HAVE COSTS 15 ATTRIBUTABLE TO TERIS -- WHO I TAKE IS YOUR I.T. VENDOR --16 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 17 THE COURT: -- OR FORENSIC. YEAH. 18 AND THEN YOUR FIRM SPENDING TIME REVIEWING THESE MATERIALS, RIGHT? 19 20 MR. CATLETT: WITH RESPECT TO MR. GIOIOSA AND 21 MR. DE LA CONCEPCION. WITH RESPECT TO THE -- THE OTHER THREE 22 23 THE COURT: THERE'S PARALLEL -- PARALLEL LAWYERS. 24 MR. CATLETT: -- WITNESSES WE WISED UP. AND WE 25 DECIDED THAT IT WOULD BE BEST IF WE OUTSOURCED THE REVIEW TO

1 FOLKS --2 THE COURT: LEGAL FEES. LEGAL FEES. 3 MR. CATLETT: RIGHT. 4 THE COURT: GOT IT. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 5 SO, YOU KNOW, COLLECTION COSTS ARE BASED ON THE WORK 6 THAT THE VENDOR HAS TO DO. 7 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 8 THE COURT: AND IT MAY DEPEND ON WHAT THE PERSON HAS. 9 AND IT MAY DEPEND ON HOW YOU INTERPRET WHAT THE DEMAND IS. 10 IF THE REQUEST IS FOR EMAILS, WE KNOW WHAT EMAILS ARE. AND WE KNOW REASONABLY WHAT IT TAKES. 11 12 IF THE REQUEST IS FOR SOCIAL MEDIA, IF IT'S FOR EVERY PIECE OF PAPER IN A DRAWER IN A HOUSE, THAT'S SOMETHING ELSE. 13 14 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 15 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE STATE OF YOUR DISCUSSIONS 16 REGARDING ITEMS TO BE SEIZED AND THEN THINGS TO BE SEARCHED? 17 MR. CATLETT: WITH -- WITH RESPECT TO THE 25 DOCUMENT 18 SUBPOENAS -- I KNOW WE'RE NOW I GUESS DEALING WITH 31 BECAUSE WE'VE AGREED THAT WE'RE GOING TO LIMIT THE DEPOS TO SIX MORE 19 20 FOLKS. 21 THE COURT: LET'S -- LET'S SAY THE NONTESTIFYING 22 WITNESSES. 23 MR. CATLETT: OKAY. 24 THE NUMBER THAT -- WALKING IN TODAY, I THOUGHT IT WAS 25 25.

102 THE FIRST TIME I LEARNED THAT WE WERE EVEN GOING TO 1 2 BE DEALING -- POTENTIALLY DEALING WITH THOSE WAS ON DECEMBER 19TH. WE WERE SERVED BY EMAIL. 3 AND THEN DECEMBER 20TH MR. ADAR EMAILED YOUR HONOR TO 4 5 TEE UP THE DISPUTE THAT WE'RE -- THAT WE'RE HERE FOR TODAY. 6 SO, WE HAVE HAD VERY LITTLE DISCUSSION WITH 7 PLAINTIFFS ABOUT THOSE 25 DOCUMENT SUBPOENAS. 8 I'M ASSUMING THEY WANT THE SAME UNIVERSE OF 9 DOCUMENTS. 10 THE COURT: LET'S FIND OUT. MR. ADAR, WHAT DO YOU WANT? 11 12 MR. ADAR: ONCE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE BURDEN IS WE 13 ARE WILLING TO SUBSTANTIALLY NARROW IT FOR THOSE. 14 THE COURT: NO. WHAT DO YOU WANT? WHAT HAVE YOU 15 ASKED FOR? 16 MR. ADAR: WE'VE ASKED FOR THE SAME UNIVERSE OF 17 DOCUMENTS, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, YOU'VE ASKED FOR -- HELP ME OUT HERE. 19 20 EMAILS? 21 MR. ADAR: EMAILS, TEXT MESSAGES, SOCIAL MEDIA, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN THEIR POSSESSION, CUSTODY, OR CONTROL 23 REGARDING THESE EVENTS --24 THE COURT: EVERYTHING ELSE.

MR. ADAR: -- THAT HAVE BEEN SPECIFICALLY -- WELL,

25

2

WE'VE NARROWED IT. AND WE'VE MADE TREMENDOUS PROGRESS TO BE

ABLE TO DO THAT. AND WE'VE USED SEARCH TERMS TO BE ABLE TO

103

3 NARROW IT FURTHER. 4 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. THE SEARCH TERMS ARE ONCE 5 THEY GET THEIR ARMS AROUND STUFF. 6 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: MY QUESTION IS WHAT HAVE YOU ASKED THEM 8 TO GET THEIR ARMS AROUND? -- EMAIL, SOCIAL MEDIA, TEXTS, AND 9 OTHER PIECES OF PAPER. 10 CORRECT? 11 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOU DON'T SEE THAT AS BEING 13 POTENTIALLY BURDENSOME RIGHT OFF THE BAT? 14 MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MR. ADAR: I'VE RESPONDED TO REQUESTS WHERE IT ONLY 17 REQUIRED MINIMAL COSTS, IF ANYTHING, TO COPY TO BE ABLE TO 18 OBTAIN IT. FOR EXAMPLE, IF SOMEONE USES GMAIL, THERE'S A 19 20 MECHANISM TO BE ABLE TO GET THOSE DOCUMENTS. IF THERE'S ONLY 21 300 EMAILS, THEN, THAT'S NOT A COST ASSOCIATED WITH IT. WE 22 JUST DON'T KNOW. 23 AND AS WE DISCUSSED OUTSIDE --24 THE COURT: SO HE -- OKAY. 25 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO, HE WAS ASSUMING THE REQUESTS FOR THE NONTESTIFYING WITNESSES ARE ASKING FOR THE SAME THINGS.

AND YOUR ASSUMPTION IS CORRECT, MR. CATLETT.

OKAY. WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO DO NEXT?

MR. CATLETT: WE WOULD HAVE TO CONTACT EACH OF THE 25 OR 31 THIRD-PARTY WITNESSES.

THE COURT: YEP.

MR. CATLETT: WE WOULD HAVE TO EXPLAIN TO THEM THE PROCESS. TYPICALLY WE HAVE TWO CONFERENCE CALLS. WE EXPLAIN THE PROCESS. AND, THEN, WE GET TERIS ON THE LINE. AND WE WALK THROUGH A VERY DETAILED ESI CHECK-LIST THAT GOES THROUGH EVERY POTENTIAL SOURCE -- BECAUSE THERE'S BEEN NO NARROWING --

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. CATLETT: WE GO THROUGH EVERY POTENTIAL SOURCE WHERE OUR FOLKS MAY HAVE SOMETHING THAT IS RESPONSIVE TO THE CATEGORIES IN THE SUBPOENA.

THE COURT: OKAY.

THAT SOUNDS RIGHT, MR. ADAR, DOESN'T IT? YOU'VE

ASKED FOR ALL THESE THINGS. HE GOES TO HIS CLIENT AND SAYS,

WHAT HAVE YOU GOT. AND WE HAVE TO SIT DOWN WITH THE VENDOR TO

FIGURE OUT WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO GET THESE THINGS WITHIN

-- WITHIN OUR HANDS, RIGHT?

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. BUT THERE SHOULDN'T BE A FEE ASSOCIATED WITH BEING ABLE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS ARE. ONCE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF

DOCUMENTS ARE BASED ON THEIR INITIAL DISCUSSION WITH THE CLIENTS, AND WE REALIZED THAT THERE COULD BE A TREMENDOUS BURDEN FOR EACH OF THESE INDIVIDUALS, WE ARE WILLING TO WORK WITH THEM AS WE HAVE FOR EVERY OTHER ONE --

THE COURT: SO, YOU DON'T WANT MR. --

MR. ADAR: -- TO REDUCE THE BURDEN.

THE COURT: SO, YOU DON'T WANT MR. CATLETT TO TALK TO
THE VENDOR YET. YOU WANT HIM TO TALK TO HIS CLIENT AND HAVE
THE CLIENT SAY, WELL, I'VE GOT, YOU KNOW, FOUR DIFFERENT EMAIL
ADDRESSES AND I -- YEAH, I HAVE FACEBOOK. YEAH, I HAVE TEXTS
ON MY PHONE.

AND YOU WANT WHAT TO HAPPEN AFTER THAT IF THE VENDOR IS NOT INVOLVED?

MR. ADAR: WE CAN HAVE A DISCUSSION REGARDING HOW

MANY -- HOW MANY EMAILS THERE ARE. THERE ARE FIVE EMAILS OR

THERE'S THOUSANDS OF EMAILS.

THE COURT: YOU WANT -- YOU WANT THE WITNESSES TO

MAKE THAT DETERMINATION? YOU WANT THE WITNESSES TO GO INTO THE

DATA AND FIND OUT HOW MANY THINGS THEY HAVE AT GMAIL?

MR. ADAR: LET ME -- LET ME TAKE A STEP BACK.

WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF SOURCES

ARE BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW. IT COULD BE, AS YOU SAID, FOUR

EMAIL ACCOUNTS, THREE EMAIL ACCOUNTS OR WHATNOT.

I'M GOING TO -- I'M GOING TO TAKE A STEP BACK, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE NOT RIGHT NOW SITTING HERE TODAY ASKING THEM TO

PRODUCE THE SAME UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS FOR THOSE 25

NONTESTIFYING EXPERTS. WE ARE WILLING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION

WITH THEM REGARDING HOW THAT CAN BE NARROWED TO REDUCE THE

1

2

3

106

4 BURDEN. 5 WE HAVE PROPOUNDED THOSE REQUESTS. WE HAVE BEEN MET 6 WITH AN UNDUE BURDEN OBJECTION. 7 THE COURT: YEAH, BUT THIS --8 MR. ADAR: -- AND WE ARE WILLING TO WORK TO NARROW IT 9 EVEN FURTHER. 10 THE COURT: HOW? 11 MR. ADAR: BY HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH THEM REGARDING 12 HOW IT CAN BE NARROWED. 13 THE COURT: HOW WOULD --14 MR. ADAR: YES. 15 THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT MAKING ANY SENSE. 16 MR. ADAR: SO --17 THE COURT: HOW DOES -- HOW DOES -- MR. CATLETT IS A 18 LAWYER. HE SITS IN AN OFFICE. 19 MR. ADAR: YES. 20 THE COURT: HE HAS NO IDEA WHETHER HIS CLIENT HAS 21 FIVE EMAILS OR 5 MILLION, RIGHT? 22 MR. ADAR: THAT'S CORRECT. 23 THE COURT: OKAY. 24 THERE'S ONLY TWO WAYS YOU FIND THAT OUT IS IF HIS 25 INDIVIDUAL CLIENT GOES ON TO GMAIL AND STARTS MUCKING AROUND

WITH YOUR DATA TO COUNT THEM UP OR HE HAS A QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL DO THAT.

YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE BURDEN IS. SO DOES HE.

BUT THERE'S ONLY -- IS THERE ANOTHER WAY TO FIGURE THAT OUT?

MR. ADAR: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO, EVEN LAUNCHING THE SUBPOENAS, IT'S FUNDAMENTAL THAT YOU'RE CAUSING THEM TO INCUR COSTS AND A LOT OF TIME JUST TO DO THESE BASICS BEFORE YOU CAN EVEN FIGURE OUT WHAT DO YOU REALLY WANT OR WHAT ARE YOU FACING.

MR. ADAR: WE ARE PREPARED TO FURTHER NARROW THE REQUEST BEFORE THEY HAVE TO DO THAT.

BUT BASED ON MY CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. CATLETT, IF WE WERE TO TAKE THE UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS THAT WE'RE REQUESTING, AND WE WOULD NARROW IT TO -- AND WE'RE NOT WILLING TO DO THIS JUST YET -- ONE CATEGORY OF DOCUMENTS SAYING, FOR EXAMPLE, ALL COMMUNICATIONS WITH HERBALIFE REGARDING COMMENTS ON EVENT PRESENTATIONS, THAT IN AND OF ITSELF, IT'S NOT REASONABLE THAT IT WOULD BE A 15,000-DOLLAR EXPENSE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT.

THE COURT: WELL, WHAT WOULD BE REASONABLE?

MR. ADAR: HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH THE CLIENT, WHERE DID YOU -- HOW DID YOU COMMUNICATE WITH HERBALIFE.

THE COURT: EMAIL.

MR. ADAR: OKAY. SO, THEN, THEY CAN -- ON GMAIL YOU CAN SEARCH FOR DOCUMENTS WITHOUT HAVING TO HAVE A VENDOR DO IT.

AND YOU CAN LOOK -- SO, I COMMUNICATED WITH ONE

THE COURT: SORRY?

25

109 1 MR. DROOKS: A HYUNDAI, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: AS IF. AS IF. YEAH. THEY MAY BE DOING LAMBORGHINI STUFF. I GET 3 IT. AND TO BE TOLD IT'S \$15,000, I HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING --4 5 I'M NOT IN -- I'M NOT IN THE MARKET FOR THIS STUFF RIGHT NOW. 6 AND IF YOU'RE GETTING STICKER SHOCK FROM THAT, AND IF 7 HE'S BIG-TIMING YOU, I GOT IT. BUT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY A 8 SIGNIFICANT COST THAT'S PUT IN MOTION JUST BY YOU PUSHING 9 "SEND" ON YOUR -- ON YOUR SUBPOENAS. 10 AND WHAT IT TAKES FOR THAT NUMBER TO COME DOWN OR BE MORE REALISTIC OR FOR YOU TO MAKE A JUDGMENT CALL WILL BE A 11 12 DIALOGUE. BUT WHAT YOU'RE -- WHAT YOU'RE JUST SORT OF THROWING 13 OUT THERE IS NOT -- IS NOT PRODUCTIVE AND IS NOT GOING TO GET 14 THEM THERE BECAUSE HE -- HE --15 MR. CATLETT, ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH YOUR OWN 16 CLIENTS DOING THEIR OWN DOCUMENT SEARCH? 17 MR. CATLETT: NOT TO DISPARAGE MY CLIENTS ON THE 18 RECORD, YOUR HONOR, BUT NO. IF I SEND THEM THIS SUBPOENA WITH THE DEFINITIONS AND 19 20 THE INSTRUCTIONS AND THE CATEGORIES AND ASK THEM TO JUST GO 21 THROUGH THEIR STUFF AND TELL ME WHAT THEY HAVE --22 THE COURT: IF THEY HAVE A HOME OFFICE, AND THEY HAVE 23 TO SEARCH FOR HARD-COPY DOCUMENTS, WOULD YOU SEND A PARALEGAL? 24 OR WOULD YOU HAVE THEM BOX UP THEIR OWN STUFF?

MR. CATLETT: I WOULD PROBABLY SEND A PARALEGAL. OR

110 I WOULD FIND -- I WOULD FIND SOMEONE LOCAL THAT I TRUSTED TO GO 1 2 PULL THE STUFF, MAIL IT TO ME -- ACTUALLY COPY -- OR COPY IT. 3 AND THEN I -- OR -- OR AN ASSOCIATE OR A PARALEGAL IN 4 MY OFFICE WOULD GO THROUGH IT. 5 THE COURT: YEAH. THERE ARE UNDOUBTEDLY STAGGERING 6 COSTS HERE. 7 WHETHER IT'S 15 GRAND PER PERSON -- I'M NOT CONVINCED 8 EITHER. I'M NOT. BUT IF IT'S 14 OR 13 OR 12 OR 9 OR 4, IT'S 9 ABSOLUTELY THERE. AND IT'S BAKED IN WITH WHAT YOU'VE STARTED. 10 SO, HOW DO WE FIX THIS? 11 MR. ADAR: WE FIX IT TWO WAYS, YOUR HONOR. FIRST -- AND I HAD A CONVERSATION WITH MR. CATLETT 12 13 AND -- AND I MIGHT MISPRONOUNCE HIS LAST NAME --14 SALANGA, IS THAT CORRECT? 15 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT. 16 MR. ADAR: OKAY. AND MR. SALANGA. AND ONCE WE LEARNED AND ONCE WE SAW 17 18 THE BRIEFS, AND WE SAW THAT THEY HAVE THIS MASSIVE DATA COST, WE SAID, LOOK, LET'S WORK TOGETHER TO REDUCE THE BURDEN ON THE 19 20 NON-PARTIES. 21 AND I DO WANT TO PUT AN ASTERISK REGARDING THE FACT 22 THAT THEY'RE NON-PARTIES BECAUSE AS YOU READ IN OUR BRIEFS, 23 THEY'RE NOT DISINTERESTED NON-PARTIES. AND THERE'S CASE LAW IN 24 CALIFORNIA THAT SAYS THAT IF YOU DO HAVE AN INTEREST IN THE

OUTCOME OF LITIGATION, THEN, THE UNDUE BURDEN ANALYSIS IS A

LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT.

2.1

REGARDLESS --

THE COURT: I'LL TAKE IT UP UNDER RULE 26(C) WITH COST-SHIFTING.

MR. ADAR: REGARDLESS, YOUR HONOR, WE WANT TO DO OUR BEST TO REDUCE COSTS FOR EVERYONE IN THIS CASE. WE DON'T WANT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS INCURRED FOR THE SAKE OF HAVING IT INCURRED. WE'RE NOT LOOKING TO MAKE PUNITIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS, BUT WE DO WANT RELEVANT DOCUMENTS.

SO, WE TRIED TO HAVE A DISCUSSION WITH MR. SALANGA AND MR. CATLETT AND I THINK MR. QUIGLEY FROM QUARLES & BRADY AND SAID HOW CAN WE MOVE FORWARD TO BE ABLE TO REDUCE THE BURDEN.

AND THEIR POSITION WAS ANY DOCUMENT REQUEST OR ANY DISCOVERY BEYOND THOSE THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN PROPOUNDED OR AGREED UPON IS BURDENSOME. ANY. AND THAT WAS WHERE WE WERE.

SO, WE WEREN'T ABLE TO HAVE A DIALOGUE REGARDING HOW
TO REDUCE THE BURDEN.

WE CAN DO IT UNILATERALLY. AND WE CAN DO THAT IF
YOUR HONOR WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO MAKE IT LESS BURDENSOME.
BUT TYPICALLY THE WAY THE DISCOVERY WORKS IS YOU PROPOUND
DISCOVERY REQUESTS. I HAD NO IDEA THEY ARE INCURRING \$15,000 A
POP IN INITIAL COSTS TO TERIS. THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WE
DIDN'T KNOW.

NOW THAT WE KNOW THAT, WE CAN TRY TO FIND A WAY TO

REDUCE THE BURDEN BY BEING ABLE TO REDUCE THE REQUESTS AND FIND OTHER WAYS TO BE ABLE TO GET THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE NEED.

AND THAT TYPICALLY HAPPENS THROUGH A DIALOGUE. BUT WE HAVE BEEN MET WITH A STONE WALL SAYING NO MORE.

THE COURT: AM I GOING TO KICK YOU ALL BACK IN THE HALLWAY AND COME BACK IN ANOTHER 20 MINUTES? I MEAN, HAVE IT NOW. WHAT'S -- WHAT'S THE ISSUE?

THIS IS BROKEN. HOW DO I FIX IT.

MR. CATLETT: YOUR HONOR, WE -- WE HAVE ALREADY

AGREED TO -- OR THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED TO SIX ADDITIONAL

DEPOS. WE'RE GOING TO INCUR THE COST OF DOING THE DOCUMENT

PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO THOSE SIX WITNESSES AND GO THROUGH THE

SAME UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS WE HAVE WITH THE PRIOR FIVE.

WE KNOW FROM THAT PRIOR EXPERIENCE THAT EACH IS

RUNNING ABOUT \$50,000 BETWEEN ESI VENDOR COST AND DOCUMENT -
LAWYER DOCUMENT REVIEW COSTS. THAT'S SET OUT ON PAGE 8 OF OUR

-- I THINK OUR INITIAL BRIEF.

THE COURT: I HAVE IT.

MR. CATLETT: SO, WE'RE LOOKING AT \$300,000 WITH

THOSE SIX WITNESSES, ASSUMING -- AND YOU MAY -- MR. ADAR MAY

NOT LIKE THIS ASSUMPTION -- ASSUMING THAT THE SAME UNIVERSE OF

DOCUMENTS OR THE SAME REQUESTS ARE GOING TO RESULT IN SIMILAR

VOLUME OF STUFF WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH WITH RESPECT TO THOSE SIX

WITNESSES.

IT'S OUR POSITION THAT WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO DO ANY

113 MORE THAN THAT. BUT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE WILLING TO GO THROUGH 1 2 ADDITIONAL STUFF. BUT WE -- WE DO THINK THAT COST-SHIFTING IS 3 APPROPRIATE UNDER 26(C). I AM NOT GOING TO BIG TIME. 4 PLAINTIFFS -- IF THAT OCCURS -- AND TRY TO PASS THROUGH THE 5 LAMBORGHINI TO THEM THROUGH 2016 --6 THE COURT: OKAY. DON'T -- STOP -- STOP PANDERING TO 7 ME BY USING MY OWN WORDS BACK --8 (LAUGHTER.) 9 THE COURT: -- BECAUSE THAT -- THAT JUST FALLS. 10 MR. CATLETT: IT'S NOT THE WAY I OPERATE. THE COURT: I KNOW IT'S NOT. 11 12 MR. CATLETT: WE WILL -- WE WILL CONTINUE --13 THE COURT: YOU SPEAK YOUR --14 MR. CATLETT: -- TO DO WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING IN THE 15 SAME FASHION WE HAVE BEEN DOING IT. 16 AND WE WILL TRY TO GET THROUGH THINGS AS OUICK AS 17 POSSIBLE. BUT I THINK THAT'S THE BEST WAY FOR THE COURT TO 18 INSURE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS ARE REALLY ASKING FOR AND REALLY NARROWING THINGS DOWN TO WHAT THEY ACTUALLY WANT AND NEED. 19 20 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 21 THE COURT: DID YOU ATTACH BILLS TO YOUR DECLARATION? 22 MR. CATLETT: I DO -- I DO NOT -- I DON'T THINK WE 23 ATTACHED INVOICES. 24 THE COURT: YOU WILL AT SOME POINT. 25 MR. CATLETT: CORRECT.

THE COURT: FOR THE VENDORS AND FOR THE FIRMS IF -
IF THE REQUEST IS FOR THAT. BUT IT'S CERTAINLY IN YOUR

DECLARATION.

SO, WHAT DO WE DO, GUYS?

YOU'VE ASKED. HE'S GOT. YOU WANT MORE INFORMATION
ABOUT WHAT HE'S GOT SO YOU CAN FIGURE OUT WHAT HE'S GOING TO
CHARGE YOU. YOU NEED TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT YOU'VE GOT
SO YOU CAN FIGURE OUT WHAT IT COSTS.

MR. ADAR: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A THRESHOLD

QUESTION THAT IF YOUR HONOR HAS ALREADY DECIDED IT, I

UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT COST-SHIFTING IS ONLY APPROPRIATE IF

THERE'S AN UNDUE BURDEN. THE WAY THE ANALYSIS AS I UNDERSTAND

THE RULE WORKS -- AND YOU WOULD KNOW THIS FAR BETTER THAN I -
IS THEY HAVE TO SAY WHAT THEIR BURDEN IS. THIS IS UNDER RULE

26.

AND THEN ONCE THE BURDEN IS ARTICULATED, IF IT'S AN UNDUE BURDEN, WE THEN HAVE THE OPTION OF HAVING THE COST SHIFT.

OR THE COURT WILL SAY YOU CAN GET WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR, BUT THEM I'M GOING TO SHIFT THE COST TO YOU.

IT'S NOT THE CASE WHERE EVERY SINGLE NONPARTY

SUBPOENA HAS EVERY COST SHIFTED NO MATTER WHAT IN ANY INSTANCE.

THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME SORT OF A FINDING.

AND BASED ON THAT DOCUMENT THE CASES AND ARGUMENTS WE MADE IN OUR BRIEF IT'S OUR POSITION THAT THESE ARE INTERESTED NON-PARTIES BASED ON THE BALFOUR DECISION AND OTHERS THAT WE

1 CITED. THIS IS A REASONABLE COST FOR THEM TO INCUR. 2 I DO THINK IT'S WORTH POINTING OUT --3 THE COURT: WHAT MAKES -- WHAT MAKES IT A REASONABLE 4 COST, SIR? 5 MR. ADAR: WELL, IF THEY WERE A PARTY -- YOUR HONOR, 6 THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IF THEY WERE A PARTY --7 THE COURT: RIGHT. 8 MR. ADAR: -- AND IF THEY WERE A NONPARTY. 9 THE COURT: RIGHT. 10 MR. ADAR: AND I DO THINK IT'S NOT IRRELEVANT THAT HERBALIFE IS PAYING ALL OF THIS THROUGH A JOINT DEFENSE 11 12 AGREEMENT. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT ARE ADVANCING ALL OF THESE COSTS AND PAYING FOR IT. AND THAT'S WHY THEY'VE CHIMED IN IN 13 14 THEIR BRIEFS REGARDING WHAT THE NON-PARTIES SHOULD PAY. 15 BUT I CAN TELL YOU IN THE BALFOUR DECISION THERE WAS 16 A SITUATION WHERE THERE WAS A NONPARTY. AND THERE WERE \$25,000 17 IN COSTS. AND THE COURT SAID THAT THAT WAS NOT AN UNDUE 18 BURDEN. AND IT WASN'T APPROPRIATE TO SHIFT IN THAT INSTANCE. 19 AND I THINK THAT --20 THE COURT: YEAH. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT I ASKED YOU 21 BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU SAID. YOU USED OTHER WORDS. YOU 22 SAID IT'S A REASONABLE COST. 23 WHAT MAKES THIS A REASONABLE COST? 24 MR. ADAR: THAT WAS A MISSPEAKING ON MY END, YOUR

HONOR, AND AN IMPROPER UTILIZATION OF THE STANDARD.

THE QUESTION IS WHETHER IT'S AN UNDUE BURDEN.

WHETHER IT'S REASONABLE OR NOT, IT'S MY OPINION THAT

IT IS REASONABLE BECAUSE THESE INDIVIDUALS, THEY ARE NAMED

PARTIES IN THE FLORIDA LITIGATION. BUT THEY'RE ALSO MORE

IMPORTANTLY COCONSPIRATORS IN THIS CASE. THEY ARE DIRECT

BENEFACTORS OF THE ENTERPRISE AT ISSUE.

THE COURT: SO, THAT TAKES IT OUT OF RULE 45. THAT BRINGS IT INTO RULE 26.

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ADAR: SO, IF -- WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR WAS DIRECTLY RELEVANT AND PROPORTIONAL- -- THE QUESTION IS PROPORTIONALITY AT THE END OF THE DAY.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. ADAR: AND IF IT IS PROPORTIONAL, THEN, COST
SHIFTING IS NOT ALWAYS APPROPRIATE. IT'S ONLY APPROPRIATE IN A
CERTAIN SUBSET OF INSTANCES.

AND WE DON'T THINK THAT THAT SUBSET OF INSTANCES IS APPLICABLE HERE.

THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T I GET SOME SPECIFIC

BRIEFING ON THAT. AND IF YOU NEED TO SEE SOME MORE ABOUT COST

SHARING AND SHIFTING.

BUT YOU'RE ASKING THESE INDIVIDUALS -- BE THEY THIRD

PARTIES NOMINALLY OR PARTY DEFENDANTS IN AN ACTION THAT'S GOING

FORWARD SOMEWHERE, WHETHER IT'S AN ARBITRATION OR IN THIS

117 1 DISTRICT OR IN MIAMI OR WHATEVER. 2 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: GOT IT. 3 AND YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THESE FOLKS ARE BEING 4 5 INDEMNIFIED BY A PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANY. 6 GOT IT. 7 I'M ALSO SEEING EYE-POPPING NUMBERS FROM A LEGITIMATE LAWYER WHO'S ATTESTED TO WHAT THIS IS COMING OUT TO SIMPLY FROM 8 9 THE PUSH OF A BUTTON FROM THESE WITNESSES. 10 AND I HAVE NOT MADE UP MY MIND ABOUT ANYTHING, MR. ADAR. BUT I'M REALLY SKEPTICAL ABOUT ISSUES UNDER RULE 11 12 26(B)(1) ABOUT PROPORTIONALITY AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THESE 13 MATERIALS AND HOW THEY RELATE TO THIS ACTION. AND THE BREADTH OF WHAT YOU HAVE ASKED FOR -- I 14 15 UNDERSTAND YOU'RE WILLING TO NEGOTIATE IT DOWN, BUT YOU'RE 16 STARTING FROM A POSITION THAT SAYS SEARCH FOR EVERYTHING FOR 17 EVERYTHING HAVING TO DO WITH THESE TOPICS, YOUR DEALINGS WITH 18 HERBALIFE. I MEAN, THAT'S -- IT'S GOING TO BE ON EVERY -- EVERY 19 20 PIECE OF PAPER, EVERY EMAIL THAT -- THAT -- BETWEEN THESE 21 PEOPLE AND THE COMPANY. THAT'S A LOT OF WORK. AND IT'S 22 FACIALLY A LOT OF WORK. 23 AND TO TELL ME THAT THERE'S NOT A BURDEN HERE AND TO 24 COME IN AND TELL ME THAT IT'S AUTOMATICALLY NOT AN UNDUE

BURDEN, I MEAN YOU CAN TRY THAT. BUT THERE'S AN OBVIOUS BURDEN

HERE. AND I MAY NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DEAL WITH IT.

MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR.

AND ONE POINT THAT I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT HERE IS THAT
THE UNDUE BURDEN ANALYSIS, THERE HAS BEEN A YEAR OF DISCUSSIONS
WITH MR. CATLETT AND QUARLES & BRADY. AND WE HAVE IN GOOD
FAITH GONE AND SAID THAT WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR EVERY DOCUMENT
UNDER THE SUN. WE ASKED FOR A BROADER SET OF DOCUMENTS. AND
WE'VE SUBSTANTIALLY NARROWED IT.

AND BASED ON GOOD-FAITH CONFERENCES WITH THE FLORIDA

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL WE HAVE REACHED A COMPROMISE REGARDING A

SET OF DOCUMENTS THAT WOULD NOT BE UNDULY BURDENSOME OR AT

LEAST THAT WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO THE UNDULY BURDENSOME

OBJECTION.

AND WHAT WE ASKED THE COURT TO DO IS NOT TO LOOK AT THE CUMULATIVE BURDEN ON QUARLES & BRADY AND HERBALIFE AND EVERYONE ELSE BUT TO LOOK AT THE BURDEN ON EACH INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT. AND IF THAT BURDEN -- THIS IS THE FIRST TIME TODAY THAT I HEARD WHAT THE EXPENSE WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

I APPRECIATE THE DISCUSSION THAT WE HAD ABOUT HOW ELSE ARE THEY GOING TO DO IT.

BUT, YOUR HONOR, I'VE ONLY BEEN PRACTICING A LITTLE

OVER 10 YEARS, AND IN MY EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL COURT IT DOESN'T

COST 15 TO 25 THOUSAND DOLLARS EVERY SINGLE TIME YOU REQUEST A

SINGLE DOCUMENT.

AND IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HEARING -- IF THAT'S WHAT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

119 IT'S GOING TO COST HERE, I DON'T KNOW HOW TO RESPOND RIGHT NOW. BUT WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS --THE COURT: I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR TESTIMONY FROM THE VENDOR. I LOVE TALKING TO TECH PEOPLE. LET'S HAVE A HEARING ON THIS. LET'S DO THE DISCOVERY. AND WE'LL -- I'LL CONDUCT AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING ABOUT WHAT IT TAKES TO GO OUT AND GET ALL THESE -- ALL OF THIS INFORMATION FROM INDIVIDUALS. RIGHT? I MEAN, YOU'RE NOT -- YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HERBALIFE AND GETTING STUFF OFF OF THEIR SERVER THAT THEIR I.T. PERSON JUST FLICKS A SWITCH ON. RIGHT? MR. ADAR: CORRECT. THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO 30-SOME-ODD INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. OKAY. AND ALTHOUGH YOU'RE ASKING FOR LITTLE THINGS -- AND. YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO JUST PULL OUT THE FILE CABINET AND PULL OUT THE ONE PIECE OF PAPER THAT YOU WANT. BUT IT MAY REQUIRE PULLING OUT A LOT OF FILE CABINETS. SO, YOU CAN TELL ME YOU'RE REDUCING YOUR DEMANDS, BUT YOU MAY NOT BE REDUCING THE WORK. AND I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT IT. AND I THINK WE'RE GOING TO BE SPENDING A LOT OF OUALITY TIME IF THIS BECOMES AN ISSUE ON THESE ISSUES. AND I'LL LOOK VERY, VERY SKEPTICALLY AT

A BILL BECAUSE I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE A DECISION IN THE ABSENCE

OF DATA TO FIGURE THIS OUT.

AND THEN TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE BURDEN IS AND WHETHER THE BURDEN IS -- BUT I THINK YOU UNDERMINED YOURSELF.

IF YOU WANT ME TO TALK ABOUT THE BURDEN FOR EACH OF THESE INDIVIDUAL PEOPLE, MAYBE I NEED TO KNOW NET WORTH INFORMATION FOR THOSE FOLKS.

BUT WHEN YOU SIMULTANEOUSLY TELL ME THAT IT'S ALL GOING TO PUT ON HERBALIFE'S BILL, WELL, THEN, I THINK IT DOES MAKE SENSE TO AGGREGATE THEM, DOESN'T IT? -- BECAUSE THEY'RE ALL GOING TO BE GOING INTO MR. DROOKS AND OVER TO -- OVER TO THE MOTHERSHIP OVER THERE.

MR. ADAR: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WE'VE BEEN GIVEN NEW INFORMATION BY MR. CATLETT TODAY REGARDING WHAT THESE COSTS ARE. I DIDN'T HAVE IT BEFORE THE HEARING.

WHAT I SUGGEST IS IT SOUNDS LIKE EITHER WAY WE'RE
GOING TO BE SPEAKING NEXT WEEK. WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS SPEAK
WITH MY PARTNERS, HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER CONFER WITH
MR. CATLETT TO SEE IF THERE'S A FURTHER COMPROMISE THAT CAN BE
REACHED.

AND IF NOT, AT A MINIMUM, I -- I'M UNCOMFORTABLE

COMMITTING TO HAVING THEM PRODUCE DOCUMENTS. AND THEN WE LATER

FIND OUT WHETHER WE'RE POTENTIALLY ON THE HOOK FOR A QUARTER OF

MILLION DOLLARS IN FEES WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT IT WOULD BE.

SO --

THE COURT: YEAH. YOU'RE IN A TOUGH POSITION.

MR. ADAR: WE -- WE ARE.

AND -- AND IN PRIOR EXPERIENCES IN OTHER CASES,

TYPICALLY WE'RE TOLD IF YOU WANT THESE DOCUMENTS WE ESTIMATE IT

WILL COST \$30,000. AND IF YOU'D LIKE IT, WE'D LIKE TO SHIFT

THAT TO YOU. AT LEAST WE KNOW WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF COST IS.

HERE IT'S UNKNOWN. BUT I APPRECIATE THE POSITION
THAT THEY'RE TAKING.

THE COURT: MR. CATLETT, WHAT IS -- WHAT IS THE

EFFORT INVOLVED WITH YOUR VENDORS TO GIVE AN INITIAL ESTIMATE

WHEN THEY'VE GOT THAT SUBPOENA?

MR. CATLETT: I BELIEVE IT LARGELY TURNS ON THE AMOUNT OF DATA THAT IS IN THE HANDS OF EACH INDIVIDUAL PERSON.

AND WE -- AS YOU CAN SEE ON PAGE 8 THERE'S BEEN SOME WIDE VARIATION. MR. DE LA CONCEPCION HAD A THOUSAND DOCUMENTS.

AND THE REASON I THINK -- THE REASON THERE'S A VARIATION IS BECAUSE THOSE DOCUMENTS WHEN THEY'RE ELECTRONIC

MR. GIOIOSA HAD 170,000 DOCUMENTS.

ARE IN ALL DIFFERENT KINDS OF FILE FORMATS. YOUR CELL PHONE

ALONE I THINK HAS 25 DIFFERENT FILE FORMATS OF STUFF JUST

SITTING ON IT.

SO, THE VENDOR EVEN ONCE IT GRABS IT IT'S NOT REALLY
USEFUL UNTIL IT CONVERTS THAT DATA INTO A FORM THAT IT CAN THEN
PUT INTO THE DATABASE TO SEE, OKAY, HOW MUCH DO WE HAVE HERE.
AND WHAT IS IT -- WHAT IS IT -- WHAT DOES IT ALL LOOK LIKE.

THAT'S ALL PART OF THE \$15,000 OR THE INITIAL UPFRONT

1 EXPENSE THAT WE INCUR WITH THE VENDOR. 2 THE COURT: WELL --MR. CATLETT: I ACTUALLY THINK THE -- THE LATER COST 3 -- WHEN THERE'S SOME HOSTING COSTS THEN BECAUSE IT'S THEN 4 5 SITTING ON THEIR SERVERS. AND THERE'S SOME COSTS TO BUMP THE 6 SEARCH TERMS AND GET IT IN. BUT I THINK --7 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE YOU GUYS TO COSTCO. I'LL BUY 8 YOU A 2 TERABYTE DRIVE. AND THAT'S GOING TO BE 90 BUCKS. 9 (LAUGHTER.) 10 THE COURT: I MEAN, THERE'S -- THERE'S WAYS TO DO 11 THIS. 12 AND MR. ADAR IS SKEPTICAL OF SOME OF THESE COSTS AND THE NECESSITY TO INCUR SOME OF THESE COSTS EARLY ON. 13 14 I'VE GOT TO SAY I AM TOO. I AM SKEPTICAL. BUT I 15 DON'T OUESTION FOR A SECOND THAT SOME COSTS AND SOME ESTIMATES 16 REQUIRE SOME LEG WORK. BUT, YOU KNOW, YOU'VE GOT CART AND 17 HORSE ISSUES ON BOTH SIDES. OKAY. 18 IT'S MR. ADAR'S PROBLEM BECAUSE HE'S PUTTING THIS IN MOTION WITH SOME REALLY WIDE DEMANDING -- DOCUMENT DEMANDS 19 20 WHICH THEN TRIGGER A LOT OF WORK. AND THIS IS HOW THIS IS 21 MOVING FORWARD. 22 I GOT IT. LET'S JUST SAY I'M SKEPTICAL OF BOTH OF 23 YOU. 24 SO, FIGURE IT OUT. OKAY. IF YOU WANT TO -- IF YOU 25 WANT SOME TIME TO WORK ON THIS AND TO FIGURE OUT A NEW PROTOCOL

PLAINTIFFS.

124 THE COURT: YOU'RE FINE. AND I DO ENJOY WORKING WITH 1 2 YOU ALL. THAT'S PARTLY SOMETIMES WHY I MAKE THE EFFORT. 3 MR. JACKSON, YOU WERE TAKING REALLY GOOD NOTES, 4 RIGHT? 5 MR. JACKSON: NO PROMISES, YOUR HONOR. BUT I BELIEVE 6 SO. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT AM I DOING HERE TODAY? 8 YOU GUYS ARE GOING TO TALK AND FIGURE OUT WHETHER 9 IN-CAMERA REVIEW OF THE PRIVILEGED MATERIALS OR A SUPPLEMENTAL 10 PRODUCTION OF THE PRIVILEGED MATERIALS IS THE WAY TO GO. 11 RIGHT? 12 MR. JACKSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. I'M GOING TO WRITE THAT ONE DOWN. 14 OKAY. WHAT ELSE -- WHAT WAS -- WHAT CAME AFTER THAT? 15 MR. JACKSON: PPV, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: AND --MR. JACKSON: AND WITH PPV WE WERE GOING TO CONSIDER 17 18 SOME SORT OF GENERAL PROPOSED STIPULATION AS YOU'VE DISCUSSED AND CIRCLE BACK AND TALK WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL. 19 20 THE COURT: OKAY. A FACTUAL -- A FACTUAL STIPULATION 21 FOR LIMITED PURPOSES. I GOT MY FINGERS CROSSED ON THAT ONE. 22 WHAT ELSE? 23 MR. JACKSON: AND THEN I BELIEVE ON THE DEPOSITIONS 24 -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE FOR THE RECORD IDENTIFIED WHO THE

25

SIX DEPONENTS ARE.

125 THE COURT: I DON'T CARE. I DON'T -- I DON'T NEED 1 2 THEM. 3 YOU GUYS KNOW THEM? 4 MR. JACKSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: YEAH. THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. 6 MR. ADAR: AND I TAKE IT YOUR HONOR SUSTAINED THEIR 7 OBJECTIONS ON THE BUSINESS RECORDS, CORRECT? 8 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 9 THE COURT: THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS --10 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT -- I MEAN, AS PHRASED, I'M --11 I'M NOT CLEAR I UNDERSTAND. AND I DON'T SEE HOW IT GETS HERE. 12 13 IF YOU'VE GOT SPECIFIC THINGS THAT RELATE TO SPECIFIC 14 ISSUES I'M HAPPY TO CONSIDER IT. AND YOU MAY NOT GET AN 15 OBJECTION. BUT THE WAY IT'S PHRASED, IT'S QUITE PROBLEMATIC. 16 MR. ADAR: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: I MEAN, I'LL WRITE SOMETHING ON THAT IF 18 YOU -- IF YOU WANT TO WITHDRAW IT, YOU CAN WITHDRAW IT. WON'T TAKE IT UP. BUT I'LL GIVE YOU A RULING IF YOU NEED IT. 19 20 MR. ADAR: IT SOUNDS LIKE PERHAPS WHAT WE SHOULD DO 21 IS WE SHOULD CONFER WITH THEM TO SEE IF THERE'S A SUBSET OF 22 DOCUMENTS THAT THEY'D BE WILLING TO PRODUCE. AND IF NOT, THEN, 23 THAT SUBSET CAN BE PRESENTED TO YOUR HONOR THROUGH -- I WANT TO 24 BE VERY CAUTIOUS.

CAN WE COMMUNICATE WITH THE COURT IF IT'S VIA EMAIL

126 REGARDING RESOLUTIONS THAT WE'VE REACHED ON --1 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 MR. ADAR: -- THESE ISSUES? 4 THE COURT: YES. YES. 5 MR. ADAR: OKAY. 6 THE COURT: YES. 7 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: YES. NO. I'LL -- I'LL ALWAYS ENTERTAIN 9 THAT. 10 (LAUGHTER.) 11 THE COURT: THAT'S JUST -- YEAH. OKAY. 12 AND THEN -- ALL RIGHT. SO, YOU'LL CONFER ON THE BUSINESS RECORD ISSUE. AND I HOPE I WRACKED YOU ALL AROUND ON 13 14 THAT ONE. 15 MR. ADAR: REGARDING THE DEPOSITIONS, YOUR HONOR --16 THE COURT: YES. 17 MR. ADAR: -- WE'VE AGREED THAT WE ARE GOING TO -- WE ARE NOT ASKING FOR 12 ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS. 18 IT SOUNDS LIKE THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED THAT WE ARE 19 20 GOING TO BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE SIX SPECIFIC 21 INDIVIDUALS IDENTIFIED. AND THE DOCUMENTS WILL BE PRODUCED. 22 BUT MR. CATLETT WILL HAVE TO LET US KNOW WHAT THE UNIVERSE OF 23 DOCUMENTS IS. "WE" CAN GIVE US --24 THE COURT: YOU HAVE COST AND TIME ISSUES THERE. 25 RIGHT?

127 MR. ADAR: I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE COST ISSUES FOR 1 2 THOSE SIX REQUESTS. 3 THE COURT: THEY ARE -- OH, OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH. 4 OKAY. 5 MR. ADAR: THAT IS WITH THE 31, THE -- THE COMPROMISE 6 THAT WE'VE REACHED. THE COURT: I'M WITH YOU -- I'M WITH YOU. YEAH. 7 8 YEAH. 9 MR. ADAR: AND I ACTUALLY WANT TO BE CLEAR ABOUT 10 THAT, YOUR HONOR. 11 WE ARE NOT DEALING -- THE COST-SHIFTING -- THE 12 COST-SHIFTING SYSTEM WE HAD WAS ON THE 31 NONTESTIFYING 13 DOCUMENTS. IT'S NOT DEALING, YOUR HONOR, WITH THE SIX. 14 THE COURT: NONTESTIFYING WITNESSES. 15 MR. ADAR: WITNESSES. 16 THE COURT: YEAH. 17 MR. ADAR: NOT DEALING WITH THE SIX TESTIFYING 18 WITNESSES. THERE'S NO COST ISSUE. THE COURT: I MIS- -- I MISSPOKE. 19 20 MR. ADAR: ALL RIGHT. 21 THE COURT: BUT YOU DEFINITELY HAVE AN ISSUE WITH 22 RESPECT TO --23 MR. ADAR: THE 31 NON- --24 THE COURT: NO.

25

MR. ADAR: OH.

128 THE COURT: WITH RESPECT TO THE TIMING OF PRODUCTION 1 2 OF MATERIALS THROUGH THE SIX PEOPLE YOU WANT TO SIT DOWN WITH. 3 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 4 AND REGARDING MR. DROOKS' POINT, SO LONG AS WE'RE 5 ABLE TO GET THE DOCUMENTS --6 DO YOU KNOW WHEN THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT DEADLINE IS 7 OFFHAND? 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: EARLY MARCH. 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I THINK IT'S -- I THINK IT'S 10 MARCH 9TH. 11 MR. ADAR: AS LONG AS THE DEPOSITIONS IF THEY COULD BE COMPLETED CLOSE TO THAT DATE, ALL SIX OF THEM -- WE'LL HAVE 12 13 TO SEE -- WE LIKELY WILL NOT HAVE AN ISSUE REGARDING AS LONG AS 14 WE CAN MUTUALLY AGREE WE MIGHT BE MOVING FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 15 AS WELL -- THAT THE RESPONSE --16 THE COURT: WELL, IT'S AN ISSUE -- IT'S AN ISSUE AS 17 TO WHETHER YOU USE THEM IN YOUR MOTION. AND SORT OF A SECOND 18 ISSUE AS TO WHETHER THEY USE IT --19 OH, SORRY. IT'S YOUR MOTION, RIGHT? 20 MR. DROOKS: WELL, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT MY MOTION, 21 YOUR HONOR. 22 I JUST WANT TO --23 THE COURT: BECAUSE YOUR -- BECAUSE YOUR MOTION --24 BECAUSE YOUR MOTION -- BECAUSE YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S GOING

TO PUT INTO AN OPPOSITION BECAUSE YOU DON'T KNOW THE STATE OF

1 THE EVIDENCE. 2 MR. DROOKS: I JUST DON'T WANT TO GET AN OPPOSITION 3 THAT SAYS JUDGE KRONSTADT CAN'T DECIDE OUR MOTION BECAUSE HE'S 4 STILL WAITING ON DISCOVERY. 5 THE COURT: WELL, WHEN YOU MEET AND CONFER ON YOUR 6 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, GENTLEMEN, I THINK YOU'RE GOING TO 7 TAKE THAT ISSUE UP. AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT WHAT YOU'LL BE PRESENTING AND WHAT YOU'LL BE SAYING IN OPPOSITION. 8 9 SO, I DON'T -- I DON'T KNOW THAT I CAN PREDICT YOUR 10 RULE 7 CONFERENCE AT THIS STAGE. BUT YOU'VE PUT A PIN IN 11 THERE. I'VE GOT IT. YOU FLAGGED THE ISSUE. 12 MR. ADAR: UNDERSTOOD. 13 THE COURT: FLAG -- FLAG IS WAVING UP AND ABOVE. 14 OKAY. 15 OKAY. 16 SO, YOU'RE GOING TO DISCUSS TIMING FOR THOSE 17 DEPOSITIONS. AND THEN YOU'LL CONTINUE TO CHAT ON COST AND 18 TIMING ON DOCUMENTS FOR THE NONTESTIFYING WITNESSES. 19 CORRECT? 20 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MR. ADAR: AND WOULD YOUR HONOR LIKE TO SCHEDULE A 23 CALL NOW, OR? 24 THE COURT: I'M CERTAINLY GOING TO SCHEDULE AN EMAIL. 25 I'M GOING TO DISAPPEAR INTO TRIAL NEXT WEEK BECAUSE

THE PARTIES JUST SENT ME THEIR DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS BECAUSE 1 2 THEY SCREWED THAT UP THE FIRST TIME. 3 AND I'D ALSO LIKE TO GIVE YOU TIME TO MEANINGFULLY 4 HAVE THESE DISCUSSIONS. 5 ARE YOU IN THE AIR TOMORROW OR ARE YOU OUT HERE FOR 6 7 MR. ADAR: I'M IN THE AIR IN THREE HOURS. 8 THE COURT: YEAH. OKAY. 9 (LAUGHTER.) 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, YOU'RE NOT EVEN GOING TO TALK TO THESE GUYS UNTIL MAYBE TOMORROW. 11 12 WHY DON'T YOU DO THIS. WHY DON'T YOU GET ME A JOINT 13 EMAIL JUST SORT OF GIVING ME THE STATE OF PLAY BY SAY MIDDAY 14 MONDAY. 15 IS THAT FEASIBLE? 16 MR. ADAR: I THINK THE BALL IS IN YOUR COURT, MR. 17 CATLETT. DO YOU THINK YOU'LL BE ABLE TO OBTAIN INFORMATION BY 18 FRIDAY MORNING? 19 20 MR. CATLETT: I GUESS I'M NOT EVEN EXACTLY SURE WHAT 21 INFORMATION I'M OBTAINING AT THIS POINT, BUT --22 MR. ADAR: FOR --23 MR. CATLETT: I MEAN, I THINK WE CAN -- WE CAN UPDATE 24 THE COURT ON WHERE WE'RE AT WITH RESPECT TO ANY DISCUSSIONS 25 WE'VE HAD BETWEEN NOW AND MIDDAY NEXT MONDAY.

131 THE COURT: YEAH. I MEAN, THERE'S SOME OTHER THINGS 1 2 THAT I THINK ARE DRIVING THE TRAIN HERE. BUT --3 MR. CATLETT: RIGHT. THE COURT: -- YOU KNOW, YOU'RE GOING TO GET STARTED 4 5 ON COMPILING MATERIALS FOR YOUR SIX WITNESSES. 6 MR. CATLETT: RIGHT. 7 THE COURT: SO, WE NEED TO KNOW THAT'S MOVING 8 FORWARD. 9 MR. ADAR: THAT'S WHAT I MEANT. 10 THE COURT: YEAH. 11 MR. ADAR: ONLY FOR THOSE SIX NOT THE 31 --12 MR. CATLETT: OH, OKAY. 13 YEAH. YEAH. WITH RESPECT TO THE SIX, I -- I CAN PROVIDE AN UPDATE BY MIDDAY MONDAY. 14 15 THE COURT: GOOD. 16 THERE'S THE OTHER ANCILLARY -- OR THE OTHER ISSUES 17 THAT WE JUST WENT THROUGH. 18 MR. ADAR: THAT WILL TAKE MORE --19 THE COURT: THAT YOU'RE GOING TO TALK WITH YOUR SIDE. 20 YOU'RE GOING TO HUDDLE UP. 21 GET ME AN EMAIL BY MIDDAY ON MONDAY. AND GIVE ME A 22 PROPOSAL. 23 DO YOU WANT TO TALK TO ME LATER IN THE WEEK? DO YOU 24 WANT SOME MORE TIME TO KEEP GOING ON YOUR OWN? 25 MR. ADAR: OKAY.

ANYTHING ELSE TO TAKE UP RIGHT NOW?

133 MR. ADAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 3 MR. ADAR: NONE FROM US. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. 5 MR. CATLETT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: THANK YOU, ALL. 7 I'M GOING TO DO A VERY SHORT ORDER JUST TO PUT ON THE 8 DOCKET SO JUDGE KRONSTADT KNOWS THAT WE'VE ADVANCED THE 9 DISCUSSION. IT WILL NOT BE REACHING THE MERITS OF THE MOTION 10 BECAUSE I THINK WE'VE SORT OF NEGOTIATED SOME ISSUES HERE 11 TODAY. 12 BUT THIS WAS --13 MR. ADAR: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THIS WAS HELPFUL. AND I HOPE IT WAS 14 15 HELPFUL FOR YOU ALL AS WELL. 16 MR. ADAR: VERY MUCH SO. 17 THANK YOU. 18 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. 19 MR. CATLETT: THANK YOU. 20 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:09 P.M.) 21 22 23 24

CERTIFICATE I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. /S/ DOROTHY BABYKIN 4/22/20 FEDERALLY CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBER DATED DOROTHY BABYKIN